arXiv:1609.05872v1 [hep-th] 19 Sep 2016

Generalized Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell theories and locally geometric U-folds

C. I. Lazaroiu[1] **and C. S. Shahbazi**[2]

[1] *Center for Geometry and Physics, Institute for Basic Science, Pohang, Republic of Korea 37673*
[2] *Institut de Physique Théorique, CEA-Saclay, France.*

E-mail: calin@ibs.re.kr, carlos.shabazi-alonso@cea.fr

Abstract: We give a global formulation of the coupling of four-dimensional scalar sigma models to Abelian gauge fields for the generalized situation when the "duality structure" of the Abelian gauge theory is described by a flat symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ defined over the scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The construction uses a taming of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, which encodes globally the inverse gauge couplings and theta angles of the "twisted" Abelian gauge theory in a manner that makes no use of duality frames. We show that global solutions of the equations of motion of such models give classical locally geometric U-folds. We also describe the groups of duality transformations and scalar-electromagnetic symmetries arising in such models, which involve lifting isometries of $\mathcal{M}$ to a particular class of flat automorphisms the bundle $\mathcal{S}$ and hence differ from expectations based on local analysis. The appropriate version of the Dirac quantization condition involves a discrete local system defined over $\mathcal{M}$ and gives rise to a smooth bundle of polarized Abelian varieties, endowed with a flat symplectic connection. This shows that a generalization of part of the mathematical structure familiar from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity is already present in such purely bosonic models, without any coupling to fermions and hence without any supersymmetry.

Contents

1 Scalar nonlinear sigma models coupled to gravity **4**
- 1.1 Preparations 4
- 1.2 The action functional 6
- 1.3 Local expressions 6
- 1.4 The equations of motion 6
- 1.5 Sheaves of configurations and solutions 8
- 1.6 Target space symmetries 8

2 Generalized scalar sigma models coupled to gravity and to Abelian gauge fields **9**
- 2.1 Introductory remarks 9
- 2.2 Duality structures 10
- 2.3 Electromagnetic structures 13
- 2.4 Scalar-duality and scalar-electromagnetic structures 16
- 2.5 Positively-polarized $\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}$-valued 2-forms 16
- 2.6 Generalized four-dimensional Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell theories 17
- 2.7 The sheaves of scalar-electromagnetic configurations and solutions relative to a space-time metric 20
- 2.8 Electromagnetic field strengths 20

3 Scalar-electromagnetic dualities and symmetries **21**
- 3.1 Covariantly-constant unbased automorphisms of a vector bundle with connection 21
- 3.2 Symplectic, complex and unitary unbased automorphisms 23
- 3.3 Symmetries of duality and electromagnetic structures 23
- 3.4 The action of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$ on electromagnetic and scalar-electromagnetic structures 24
- 3.5 The action of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ on electromagnetic fields 25
- 3.6 Scalar-electromagnetic dualities and symmetries 27

4 The Dirac quantization condition **28**
- 4.1 Dirac systems and integral duality structures 29
- 4.2 Integral electromagnetic structures 31
- 4.3 Integral electromagnetic fields 32
- 4.4 Integral duality transformations and integral scalar-electromagnetic symmetries 33
- 4.5 Remarks on the space of semiclassical fields 33

5 Global solutions and classical locally geometric U-folds **34**
- 5.1 U-fold interpretation of global solutions 34
- 5.2 The case when $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial 35

6 Relation to the literature **38**

7 Conclusions and further directions **39**

A Tamings and positive polarizations of symplectic vector spaces **40**
A.1 Period matrices of complex vector spaces 40
A.2 Symplectic spaces 41
A.3 Tamed symplectic spaces 42
A.4 The modular map of a symplectic space relative to a symplectic basis 45
A.5 Positive polarizations of $(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ 47
A.6 Equivalence of tamings and positive polarizations 48
A.7 The modular matrix of a positive polarization 49

B Tamings and positive polarizations of symplectic vector bundles **50**
B.1 Tamings 50
B.2 Positive polarizations 51
B.3 Correspondence between tamings and positive polarizations 51
B.4 Polarized two-forms valued in a tamed symplectic vector bundle 51
B.5 Interpretation of the fundamental form in the complexified formalism 53

C Some twisted constructions **54**
C.1 Twisted cohomology 54
C.2 Twisted wedge product and cup product 55
C.3 Twisted Hodge operator, twisted codifferential and twisted d'Alembert operator 57

D Unbased automorphisms of vector bundles **58**
D.1 Unbased morphisms of vector bundles over $\mathcal{M}$ 58
D.2 The action of unbased automorphisms on ordinary sections 58
D.3 The unbased morphism from the pull-back 60
D.4 The based isomorphism induced by an unbased automorphism 60
D.5 Relation to twisted automorphisms 61
D.6 The action of unbased automorphisms on ordinary sections of the bundle of endomorphisms 62
D.7 Conventions for the ordinary push-forward of vector fields and ordinary differential pull-back of forms 63
D.8 Twisted push-forward of bundle-valued vector fields 64
D.9 Twisted differential pull-back of bundle-valued forms 65

E Local form of the equations of motion **67**
E.1 Local form of the electromagnetic equations 67
E.2 Local form of the Einstein equations 69
E.3 Local form of the scalar equations 69
E.4 Summary of the local ESM equations 70

F Integral spaces and tori **70**
F.1 Real integral spaces and real tori 70
F.2 Integral complex spaces and complex tori 71
F.3 Integral symplectic spaces and symplectic tori 72
F.4 Integral tamed symplectic spaces and polarized Abelian varieties 74
F.5 Summary of correspondences 76

Introduction

The construction of four-dimensional Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell theories, namely nonlinear sigma models defined on an oriented four-manifold M and coupled to gravity and to Abelian gauge fields [1–5] is usually performed using a simply-connected "scalar manifold" $\mathcal{M}$ in which the scalar map $\varphi : M \to \mathcal{M}$ is valued. This assumption implies that the behavior of the Abelian gauge theory under electro-magnetic duality transformations is governed by a $2n$-dimensional symplectic vector space $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$. The gauge field strengths and their Lagrangian conjugates combine in a 2-form defined on M and valued in $\mathcal{S}_0$, which transforms under duality transformations through the action of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

When the scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is not simply connected, one can generalize such theories by promoting the symplectic vector space $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$ to a flat symplectic vector bundle $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ defined over $\mathcal{M}$; such bundles correspond to symplectic representations of the fundamental group of $\mathcal{M}$. The freedom to modify the theory in this manner arises because Abelian gauge theories defined on oriented Lorentzian four-manifolds (M, g) can be "twisted" by a flat symplectic vector bundle[1], which in the sigma model case is the φ-pullback of the *duality structure* Δ. In such a generalized sigma model coupled to Abelian gauge fields, no globally-defined "duality frames" need exist since Δ need not admit any globally-defined flat symplectic frame. As a consequence, the formulation of the theory must be reconsidered. In particular, various statements regarding global transformations [6] have to be modified, since the groups of scalar-electromagnetic duality transformations and of scalar-electromagnetic symmetries no longer have a simple direct product structure. Moreover, the Dirac quantization condition for the Abelian gauge sector changes.

In this paper, we give a general geometric construction of the coupling of four-dimensional nonlinear scalar sigma models to gravity and to Abelian gauge fields having a non-trivial duality structure Δ, by giving a global formulation of the equations of motion which is both coordinate-free and free of choices of local frames. This uses a taming [7–9] J of the symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, which encodes in a globally-valid and frame-free manner the information carried by the gauge-kinetic functions (equivalently, by the inverse coupling constants and theta angles). The "duality frames" of the traditional formulation are replaced by *locally defined* flat symplectic frames of Δ. The gauge-kinetic matrix is defined only locally and depends on choosing a local flat symplectic frame $\mathcal{E}$ supported on an open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$, giving a smooth $\mathcal{E}$-dependent function $\tau^{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{SH}_n$ valued in the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{SH}_n$. Equivalently, the gauge-kinetic matrix becomes a function $\tilde{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}_0} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathbb{SH}_n$ defined on the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of the scalar manifold and dependent on a choice of symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}_0$ of the typical fiber $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$ of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$. The second perspective amounts to viewing $\tilde{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}_0}$ as a "multivalued function" defined on $\mathcal{M}$, whose monodromy is given by the action of the holonomy representation of the flat symplectic connection D through matrix fractional transformations. The equations of motion of the model are expressed using the flat symplectic vector bundle Δ and its taming J and make no direct reference to locally-defined or frame-dependent quantities.

We describe global scalar-electromagnetic duality transformations and scalar-electromagnetic symmetries of such models, which involve lifting isometries from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{S}$ (a process which generally is obstructed and non-unique), showing that the corresponding groups need not have the direct product structure typical of the case when the duality structure is trivial. We give a geometric formulation of the Dirac quantization condition using the theory of local systems, showing that it produces a smooth bundle

[1] Even when $\mathrm{rk}\mathcal{S} = 2$, such a generalized Abelian gauge theory is not the ordinary Maxwell theory defined on (M, g), but a "twisted" version thereof.

of polarized Abelian varieties defined over the scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The Dirac lattice is replaced by a flat fiber sub-bundle $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{S}$ whose fibers Λ_p at points $p \in \mathcal{M}$ are full lattices inside the fibers $\mathcal{S}_p$ of $\mathcal{S}$. The bundle of Abelian varieties has fibers $\mathcal{S}_p/\Lambda_p$, the polarization being induced by the symplectic pairing ω_p of $\mathcal{S}_p$ together with the pointwise value J_p of the taming. This shows that a generalization of part of the structure characteristic of the projective special Kähler geometry governing $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories [10–12] is already present for general scalar sigma models coupled to gravity and to Abelian gauge fields, except that various conditions relevant to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case are relaxed. The cubic form of [11] also has a generalization to our models, being replaced by the *fundamental form* Θ of the *electromagnetic structure* $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$. This is an $End(\mathcal{S})$-valued 1-form defined on $\mathcal{M}$ which measures the failure of J to be covariantly constant with respect to D. As shown in the present paper, a non-trivial duality structure is already allowed when the model does not include fermions and hence cannot be supersymmetric. We stress that such a structure *must*[2] be present if one wishes to view $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity coupled to matter as a particular case of the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ theory, since a (highly constrained) non-trivial flat symplectic vector bundle is allowed [10–12] in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case.

We also show that globally-defined solutions of such models can be interpreted as classical locally-geometric U-folds. This interpretation — which realizes proposals of [13] — already arises in the absence of any coupling to spinors (in particular, it holds without the need for any supersymmetry), being a topological consequence of the fact that the duality structure need not be trivial. As we show in a separate paper, the models constructed here can be further generalized such as to produce a global geometric description of all classical locally-geometric U-folds.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 recalls the global formulation of four-dimensional scalar sigma models coupled to gravity. Section 2 discusses duality and electromagnetic structures and gives the geometric formulation of the general coupling of scalar sigma models to gravity and to Abelian gauge fields, when the latter are governed by an arbitrary duality structure. Section 3 discusses dualities and scalar-electromagnetic symmetries of such models, whose formulation involves lifting isometries of the scalar manifold to the vector bundle $\mathcal{S}$. Section 4 discusses the Dirac quantization condition, showing that the Dirac lattice is replaced by a discrete local system, giving rise rise to a smooth bundle of polarized Abelian varieties defined over the scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$. Section 5 discusses the U-fold interpretation of global solutions of such theories as well as the particular case when $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial but equipped with a flat symplectic connection of non-trivial holonomy. Section 6 contains a brief comparison with the literature while Section 7 concludes. Appendices A and B summarize information about tamings and positive polarizations of symplectic vector spaces and symplectic vector bundles. Appendix C discusses certain twisted constructions relevant to such models. Appendix D explains various constructions involving unbased automorphisms of vector bundles while appendix E shows that our global models are *locally* indistinguishable from those found in the literature. Appendix F discusses various categories of integral spaces and tori which are relevant to the formulation of Dirac quantization for such models.

Notations and conventions. The symbols Gp, Ab and Alg denote respectively the categories of all groups, of Abelian groups and of unital $\mathbb{R}$-algebras. The symbol Vect denotes the category of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces and linear maps. For any category $\mathcal{C}$, let $\mathcal{C}^\times$ denote its unit groupoid, i.e. the category having the same objects as $\mathcal{C}$ and whose morphisms are the isomorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$. Given an Abelian group A, let $\mathrm{Tors}(A)$ denote its torsion subgroup and $A_{\mathrm{tf}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} A/\mathrm{Tors}(A)$ be the corresponding torsion-free group; the latter is free when it is finitely-generated.

[2] Indeed, the bosonic sector of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory should be a particular case of the bosonic sector of the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ theory.

All manifolds considered are smooth, connected, Hausdorff and paracompact (hence also second countable) while all bundles and sections considered are smooth. Given a manifold N, let $\mathrm{Met}_{p,q}(N)$ denote the set of all smooth pseudo-Riemannian metrics of signature (p,q) defined on N. Let $\mathcal{P}(N)$ denote the set of paths (=piecewise-smooth curves) $\gamma : [0,1] \to N$ and $\Pi_1(N)$ denote the first homotopy groupoid of N. Given a vector space V, let V_N denote the corresponding trivial vector bundle defined on N. Let $\mathcal{X}(N)$ denote the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(N,\mathbb{R})$-module of globally-defined smooth vector fields on N. By definition, a Lorentzian manifold is a pseudo-Riemannian 4-manifold (N,g) whose pseudo-Riemannian metric g has "mostly plus" signature $(p,q) = (3,1)$.

Given vector bundles $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ over a manifold N, we denote by $Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}')$ and $Isom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}')$ the bundles of based linear morphisms and isomorphisms from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{S}'$ and by $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}') \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(N, Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}'))$ and $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}') \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(N, Isom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}'))$ the sets of based vector bundle morphisms and isomorphisms. When $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}$, we set $End(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S})$, $Aut(S) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} Isom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S})$, $\mathrm{Aut}(S) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S})$. We use the notations $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}')$, $\mathrm{Isom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}')$, $\mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ for the sets of *unbased* morphisms, isomorphisms etc.

Given a smooth map $f : N_1 \to N_2$ and a vector bundle $\mathcal{S}$ on N_2, we denote the f-pullback of $\mathcal{S}$ to N_1 by $\mathcal{S}^f$. Given a smooth section $\xi \in \Gamma(N_2,\mathcal{S})$, we denote its f-pullback by $\xi^f \in \Gamma(M_1,\mathcal{S}^f)$, calling it the *topological pullback*. We reserve the notation f^* for the *differential pullback* of tensors defined on N_2, an operation which generally involves both the topological pull-back along f and insertions of the differential $\mathrm{d}f$; an example is the differential pull-back of differential forms valued in a vector bundle.

By definition, a Hermitian pairing is complex-linear in the first variable while an *anti-Hermitian pairing* is complex linear in the second variable. A complex-valued pairing is anti-Hermitian iff its conjugate is Hermitian. The canonical symplectic pairing ω_n on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is defined through:

$$\omega_n((x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)) = x_1y_2 - y_1x_2 \quad \forall x_1,x_2,y_2,y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{0.1}$$

and its matrix in the canonical basis is denoted by:

$$\Omega_n \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n \end{bmatrix} \quad . \tag{0.2}$$

The space of matrices of size $m \times n$ with coefficients in a ring R is denoted by $\mathrm{Mat}(m,n,R)$ while the space of square matrices of size n is denoted by $\mathrm{Mat}(n,R) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Mat}(n,n,R)$. The space of symmetric square matrices of size n with coefficients in R is denoted by $\mathrm{Mat}_s(n,R)$. The complex imaginary unit is denoted by $\mathbf{i}$.

1 Scalar nonlinear sigma models coupled to gravity

We start by recalling the global construction of four-dimensional scalar nonlinear sigma models coupled to gravity. Let M be an oriented four-manifold and $\mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ be the set of Lorentzian metrics defined on M. We assume that $\mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ is non-empty (this requires $\chi(M) = 0$ when M is compact).

1.1 Preparations

The musical isomorphism $TM \simeq T^*M$ defined by $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ induces an isomorphism $T^*M \otimes T^*M \simeq End(TM)$. Combining this with the fiberwise trace $\mathrm{Tr} : End(TM) \to \mathbb{R}_M$ gives a map $\mathrm{Tr}_g : T^*M \otimes T^*M \to \mathbb{R}_M$. Let $\nu : \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M) \to \Omega^4(M)$ be the functional which associates to an element $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ its normalized volume form $\nu(g)$ and $\mathrm{R} : \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ be the functional which associates to g its scalar curvature $\mathrm{R}(g)$.

Definition 1.1. A *scalar structure* is a triplet $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$, where $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ is a Riemannian manifold (called the *scalar manifold*) and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$ is a smooth real-valued function defined on $\mathcal{M}$ (called the *scalar potential*).

Given a scalar structure $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$, we denote by $\Sigma_0 \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ the underlying scalar manifold. Let $\varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) \in \Gamma(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2(T^*M))$ be the differential pullback of $\mathcal{G}$ through φ, also known as the *first fundamental form* of φ (see [14]). This is the symmetric covariant 2-tensor defined on M through the expression:

$$\varphi^*(\mathcal{G})_m(u, v) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{G}_{\varphi(m)}(\mathrm{d}_m\varphi(u), \mathrm{d}_m\varphi(v)) \tag{1.1}$$

for all $m \in M$ and $u, v \in T_mM$. The topological pullback of $\mathcal{G}$ defines a Euclidean pairing $\mathcal{G}^\varphi : (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi \otimes (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi \to \mathbb{R}_M$ on the pulled-back bundle $(T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$. The differential $\mathrm{d}\varphi : TM \to T\mathcal{M}$ is an unbased morphism of vector bundles covering φ, which induces a based morphism $\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi} : TM \to (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$; in turn, this can be viewed as a 1-form $\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi} \in \Omega^1(M, (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi) = \Gamma(M, T^*M \otimes (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi)$ defined on M and valued in the pulled-back vector bundle $(T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$. Then:

$$\varphi^*(\mathcal{G})(X, Y) = \mathcal{G}^\varphi(\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi}(X) \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi}(Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M) \quad ,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi}(X)$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi}(Y)$ are sections of $(T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$. The *density* of φ relative to $\mathcal{G}$ and $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ is the function [14]:

$$e_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_g\varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \quad .$$

Definition 1.2. The *modified density* of a smooth map $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{M})$ relative to the Lorentzian metric $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ and to the scalar structure Σ is defined through:

$$e_\Sigma(g, \varphi) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} e_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi) + \Phi^\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_g\varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) + \Phi^\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \quad , \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Phi^\varphi = \Phi \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Together with the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g of (M, g), the φ-pullback $(\nabla^{\mathcal{G}})^\varphi$ of the Levi-Civita connection of $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ induces a connection on the vector bundle $T^*M \otimes (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$, which we denote by ∇. The *second fundamental form* (see [14]) of the map φ relative to g and $\mathcal{G}$ is the $(T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$-valued tensor field on M given by:

$$\nabla\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi} \in \Omega^1(M, T^*M \otimes (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi) = \Gamma(M, T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi) \quad ,$$

i.e.:

$$(\nabla\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi})(X, Y) = (\nabla^{\mathcal{G}})^\varphi_X(\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi}(Y)) - \widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi}(\nabla^g_X Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M) \quad .$$

One can show that $\nabla\mathrm{d}\varphi$ is a section of the bundle $\mathrm{Sym}^2(T^*M) \otimes (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$. The *tension field* of φ relative to g and $\mathcal{G}$ is defined through (see loc. cit.):

$$\theta_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Tr}_g\nabla\widetilde{\mathrm{d}\varphi} \in \Gamma(M, (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi) \quad . \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.3. The *modified tension field* of a smooth map $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{M})$ relative to the Lorentzian metric $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ and to the scalar structure Σ is defined through:

$$\theta_\Sigma(g, \varphi) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \theta_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi) - (\mathrm{grad}_{\mathcal{G}}\Phi)^\varphi \in \Gamma(M, (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi) \quad , \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathrm{grad}_{\mathcal{G}}\Phi \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ is the gradient vector field of Φ with respect to $\mathcal{G}$.

1.2 The action functional

For any relatively compact open subset $U \subset M$, the action of the scalar sigma model coupled to gravity determined by Σ and defined on M is the functional $S^U_\Sigma : \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined through:

$$S^U_\Sigma[g, \varphi] = S^U_{\mathrm{EH}}[g] + S^U_{\mathrm{sc},\Sigma}[g, \varphi] \quad , \tag{1.5}$$

where:

$$S^U_{\mathrm{EH}}[g] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_U \nu(g) \mathrm{R}(g)$$

is the Einstein-Hilbert action (κ being the Einstein constant) and:

$$S^U_{\mathrm{sc},\Sigma}[g, \varphi] = \int_U \nu(g) e_\Sigma(g, \varphi) \quad . \tag{1.6}$$

is the scalar sigma model action determined by Σ in the background metric $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$.

1.3 Local expressions

Let $d \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \dim \mathcal{M}$. Consider local charts $(U, x^\mu)_{\mu=0\ldots3}$ on M and $(\mathcal{U}, y^A)_{A=1\ldots d}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ chosen such that $\varphi(U) \subset \mathcal{U}$. Let $x = (x^0, \ldots x^3)$ and let $y^A = \varphi^A(x)$ be the local expression of the map φ in these coordinates. Let $\mathcal{G}_{AB} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$ be the local coefficients of $\mathcal{G}$ in the chart $(\mathcal{U}, y^A)$, defined in the convention $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{G}_{AB} \mathrm{d}y^A \otimes \mathrm{d}y^B$. Then:

$$\varphi^*(\mathcal{G})(x) =_U \mathcal{G}_{AB}(\varphi(x)) \partial_\mu \varphi^A(x) \partial_\nu \varphi^B(x) \mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \mathrm{d}x^\nu$$

and:

$$e_\Sigma(g, \varphi)(x) =_U \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{AB}(\varphi(x)) g^{\mu\nu}(x) \partial_\mu \varphi^A(x) \partial_\nu \varphi^B(x) + \Phi(\varphi(x)) \quad .$$

We also have $\theta_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi) =_U \theta^A_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi) \partial^\varphi_A$, where $\partial^\varphi_A \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (\partial_A)^\varphi|_U$ form a local frame of the bundle $(T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$ above U. Let Γ^C_{AB} and $K^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ be the Christoffel symbols of $\mathcal{G}$ and g computed respectively in the chosen coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ and M:

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{G}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}) =_{\mathcal{U}} \Gamma^C_{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^C}$$
$$\nabla^g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}) =_U K^\rho_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} \quad ,$$

where $\nabla^{\mathcal{G}}$ and ∇^g are the Levi-Civita connections of $\mathcal{G}$ and g, respectively. Then:

$$\theta^A_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi)(x) =_U \partial^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi^A(x) + \Gamma^A_{BC}(\varphi(x)) \partial^\mu \varphi^B(x) \partial_\mu \varphi^C(x) - g^{\mu\nu}(x) K^\rho_{\mu\nu}(x) \partial_\rho \varphi^A(x) \quad . \tag{1.7}$$

and:

$$\theta^A_\Sigma(g, \varphi)(x) = \theta^A_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi)(x) - \mathcal{G}^{AB}(\varphi(x))(\partial_B \Phi)(\varphi(x)) \quad . \tag{1.8}$$

1.4 The equations of motion

Let $\mathrm{Ric}(g)$ and $\mathrm{G}(g) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Ric}(g) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{R}(g) g$ be the Ricci and Einstein tensors of g.

Proposition 1.4. *The equations of motion (critical point equations) for g and φ derived from the action functional (1.5) are the* Einstein-Scalar (ES) equations*:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{G}(g) = \kappa \mathrm{T}_\Sigma(g,\varphi) \\ &\theta_\Sigma(g,\varphi) = 0 \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

where:

$$\mathrm{T}_\Sigma(g,\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} e_\Sigma(g,\varphi) g - \varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) \in \Gamma(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2(T^*M)) \tag{1.10}$$

is the scalar stress-energy tensor*.*

Proof. Under an infinitesimal variation of g and φ, we have:

$$(\delta S^U_{\mathrm{EH}})[g] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_U \nu(g) \mathrm{G}_{\mu\nu}(g) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \quad ,$$

and:

$$(\delta S^U_{\mathrm{sc},\Sigma})[g,\varphi] = -\int_U \nu(g) \mathcal{G}^\varphi(\delta\varphi, \theta_\Sigma(g,\varphi)) - \frac{1}{2}\int_U \nu(g) \mathrm{T}_{\mu\nu}(g,\varphi) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \quad ,$$

where the stress-energy tensor is given by:

$$\mathrm{T}_{\mu\nu}[g,\varphi] = g_{\mu\nu} e_\Sigma(g,\varphi) - 2\frac{\delta e_\Sigma(g,\varphi)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = g_{\mu\nu} e_\Sigma(g,\varphi) - \varphi^*(\mathcal{G})_{\mu\nu} \quad .$$

Thus:

$$(\delta S^U_\Sigma)[g,\varphi] = -\int_U \nu(g) \mathcal{G}^\varphi(\delta\varphi, \theta_\Sigma(g,\varphi)) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_U \nu(g) \left[\mathrm{G}_{\mu\nu}(g) - \kappa \mathrm{T}_{\mu\nu}(g,\varphi)\right] \delta g^{\mu\nu} \quad .$$

Hence the critical points of S^U_Σ are solutions of the equations:

$$\mathrm{G}_{\mu\nu}(g) = \kappa \mathrm{T}_{\mu\nu}(g,\varphi) \quad , \quad \theta_\Sigma(g,\varphi) = 0 \quad .$$

□

Using the expressions of the previous subsection, one finds that the equations of motion (1.9) coincide with the local forms usually given in the physics literature.

Remark. When $\Phi = 0$, the second equation in (1.9) reduces to the *pseudo-harmonicity equation*:

$$\theta_{\mathcal{G}}(g,\varphi) = 0 \quad , \tag{1.11}$$

whose solutions are called *pseudoharmonic maps* (or wave maps) from (M,g) to $(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{G})$. The theory of pseudo-harmonic maps is a classical subject (see [14, 15]). Most mathematical literature on Einstein equations coupled to scalar fields considers only the case when the scalar manifold is given by $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}$, endowed with a translationally-invariant metric $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ (which can be taken to be the standard metric). In that particular case, the system (1.9) is sometimes called the *Einstein-nonlinear scalar field system*. The initial value problem for that particular case is discussed in [16–18].

1.5 Sheaves of configurations and solutions

For any subset $U \subset M$, let $\mathrm{Conf}_\Sigma(U) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(U) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathcal{M})$ and let $\mathrm{Sol}_\Sigma(U) \subset \mathrm{Conf}_\Sigma(U)$ denote the set of smooth solutions of equations (1.9) defined on U, where U is endowed with the open submanifold structure induced from M. Let $\mathrm{Open}(M)$ be the small site[3] of M. Since equations (1.9) are local, the restriction to an open subset of a solution is a solution. This gives contravariant functors $\mathrm{Conf}_\Sigma : \mathrm{Open}(M) \to \mathrm{Set}$ and $\mathrm{Sol}_\Sigma : \mathrm{Open}(M) \to \mathrm{Set}$ which satisfy the gluing conditions and thus are sheaves of sets defined on M, called the *sheaves of Einstein-Scalar (ES) configurations and solutions*, respectively. Given a metric $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$, we also have a sheaf $\mathrm{Conf}^{\mathrm{sc}}_\Sigma$ of *scalar configurations* (defined through $\mathrm{Conf}^{\mathrm{sc}}_\Sigma(U) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathcal{M})$) and a sheaf $\mathrm{Sol}^g_{\Sigma,\mathrm{sc}}$ of *scalar solutions* relative to a metric $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$, where $\mathrm{Sol}^g_{\Sigma,\mathrm{sc}}(U)$ is the set of all solutions of the second equation in (1.9) which are defined on U. The sheaf $\mathrm{Sol}^g_{\Sigma,\mathrm{sc}}$ is relevant when treating g as a background metric.

1.6 Target space symmetries

Let:

$$\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \{\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) | \psi^*(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}\}$$

be the group of isometries of $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$, which is a Lie group by the second Steenrod-Myers theorem. This group acts from the left on the set $\mathrm{Conf}^{\mathrm{sc}}_\Sigma(M) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{M})$ through postcomposition:

$$\psi\varphi \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \psi \circ \varphi \tag{1.12}$$

The action (1.12) preserves Φ^φ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{M})$ if and only if[4] $\Phi \circ \psi = \Phi$.

Definition 1.5. The *automorphism group* of the scalar structure $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ is defined through:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \{\psi \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}) | \Phi \circ \psi = \Phi\} \subset \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}) \quad .$$

Notice that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ and hence is a Lie group.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{M})$. For any $\psi \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \psi\varphi) &= e_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi) \\ \theta_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \psi\varphi) &= (\widehat{\mathrm{d}\psi})^\varphi \circ \theta_{\mathcal{G}}(g, \varphi) \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

where $\widehat{\mathrm{d}\psi} \in \mathrm{Hom}(T\mathcal{M}, (T\mathcal{M})^\psi)$ is the based morphism of vector bundles induced by $\mathrm{d}\psi$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{d}\psi})^\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}((T\mathcal{M})^\varphi, (T\mathcal{M})^{\psi\circ\varphi})$ is its φ-pullback to M. When $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} e_\Sigma(g, \psi\varphi) &= e_\Sigma(g, \varphi) \\ T_\Sigma(g, \psi\varphi) &= T_\Sigma(g, \varphi) \\ \theta_\Sigma(g, \psi\varphi) &= (\widehat{\mathrm{d}\psi})^\varphi \circ \theta_\Sigma(g, \varphi) \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Proof. Follows by direct computation. □

The proposition implies that the equations of motion (1.9) are invariant under the action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$. It follows that this action preserves the set $\mathrm{Sol}_\Sigma(M)$ of global Einstein-Scalar solutions as well as the set $\mathrm{Sol}^g_{\Sigma,\mathrm{sc}}(M)$ of global scalar solutions relative to any metric $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$.

[3] The collection of all open subsets of M viewed as a category whose morphisms are inclusion maps.

[4] To see this, it suffices to take φ to be a constant map.

2 Generalized scalar sigma models coupled to gravity and to Abelian gauge fields

In this section we give a global, frame-free and coordinate-free description of the equations of motion of the scalar sigma model coupled to gravity and to an arbitrary number n of Abelian gauge fields — also known as the Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell (ESM) theory — for the generalized case when the Abelian gauge fields have a non-trivial "duality structure". This theory is *locally* equivalent with that discussed in the supergravity literature, to which it reduces globally only when the duality structure is trivial. As we explain in a separate publication, the generalized models constructed in this section are physically allowed because the Maxwell equations defined on a non-simply connected oriented Lorentzian four-manifold can be "twisted" by a flat symplectic vector bundle.

2.1 Introductory remarks

Before introducing the mathematical formalism, it may be worth outlining how it captures the local description found in the supergravity literature. Let (M, g) be a Lorentzian four-manifold and $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ be a scalar structure. When coupling the scalar sigma model defined by Σ on (M, g) to n Abelian gauge fields with a trivial "duality structure", one specifies a symmetric complex-valued gauge-kinetic matrix $\tau(p) = \theta(p) + i\gamma(p) \in \mathrm{Mat}_s(n, \mathbb{C})$ for each point p of the scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The real-valued symmetric matrices $\gamma(p)$ and $\theta(p)$ encode respectively the inverse gauge couplings and the theta angles of the Abelian gauge theory. Since the kinetic term in the electromagnetic action written in any duality frame must produce a positive-definite energy density, the imaginary part $\gamma(p)$ must be strictly positive-definite, hence $\tau(p)$ is an element of the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{SH}_n$. This gives a function $\tau : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{SH}_n$, which we take to be smooth. Traditionally [1], one arranges the Abelian gauge field strengths defined on M into a vector-valued two-form $\hat{F} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (the generalized "Faraday tensor") and associates to it the vector-valued 2-form $\hat{G} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \theta \circ \varphi|_U \hat{F} - \gamma \circ \varphi|_U \ *_g \hat{F} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of "Lagrangian conjugate field strengths", where $*_g$ is the Hodge operator of (M, g). The vector-valued 2-form $\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \hat{F} \oplus \hat{G} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ obeys the algebraic *polarization condition*:

$$*_g \hat{\mathcal{V}} = -(\hat{J} \circ \varphi) \ \hat{\mathcal{V}} \quad ,$$

where $\hat{J} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathrm{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is the smooth matrix-valued function given by:

$$\hat{J}(p) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(p)^{-1}\theta(p) & -\gamma(p)^{-1} \\ \gamma(p) + \theta(p)\gamma(p)^{-1}\theta(p) & -\theta(p)\gamma(p)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad .$$

Consider the modified Hodge operator $\star_{g,\hat{J},\varphi} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} *_g \otimes \hat{J}^\varphi : \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \Omega^{4-k}(M, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ where $\hat{J}^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \hat{J} \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathrm{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$. This operator squares to the identity on $\Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and the polarization condition states that $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is self-dual with respect to $\star_{g,J,\varphi}$:

$$\star_{g,J,\varphi} \hat{\mathcal{V}} = \hat{\mathcal{V}} \quad .$$

The Maxwell equations for the field strengths (including the Bianchi identities) amount to the condition $\mathrm{d}\hat{\mathcal{V}} = 0$, which we shall call the *electromagnetic equation*. This formulation allows one to view $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ as a self-dual vector-valued 2-form field defined on M, where self-duality is understood with respect to the modified Hodge operator $\star$ (which, beyond the space-time metric g, depends on both J and φ). Under an electro-magnetic duality transformation, $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ changes by a symplectic transformation acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (where $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is endowed with its canonical symplectic form (0.1)), while the gauge-kinetic function τ

changes by the corresponding matrix modular transformation [1, 2]. To formulate this construction in a frame-free manner, it suffices to notice (see [8, 9]) that a choice of symplectic basis of a real symplectic vector space $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$ of dimension $2n$ induces a bijection between the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{SH}_n$ and the space of tamings of $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$, which takes an element $\tau(p) \in \mathbb{SH}_n$ into the taming $J(p) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ whose matrix $\hat{J}(p)$ in the given symplectic basis is expressed in terms of τ through the formula given above. This means that τ can be recovered uniquely from the map $\mathcal{M} \ni p \to J(p) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ and from a choice of symplectic basis of $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$. When J is fixed, changes of symplectic basis of $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$ correspond to matrix modular transformations of τ (see Appendix A). In particular, symplectic bases of $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$ can be identified with "duality frames". The polarization condition is independent of the choice of "duality frame" and the duality-invariant information contained in τ is encoded by the smooth map $J : \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$, where $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$ is the space of tamings of $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$.

In the generalized theory constructed below, the symplectic vector space $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$ is promoted to a *duality structure*, i.e. to a flat symplectic vector bundle $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ defined on $\mathcal{M}$ and having typical fiber $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$, where D is the flat ω-compatible connection on $\mathcal{S}$. The taming of $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0)$ is promoted to a taming J of the symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ (where J need *not* be covariantly-constant with respect to D). The choice of such a bundle taming defines an *electromagnetic structure* $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ on $\mathcal{M}$. The vector-valued 2-form $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is promoted to a 2-form $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ defined on space-time and valued in the φ-pullback of the vector bundle $\mathcal{S}$. This 2-form is subject to a generalized version of the polarization condition which can be expressed in a frame-free manner using the φ-pullback J^φ of the bundle taming:

$$*_g \mathcal{V} = -J^\varphi \mathcal{V} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \star_{g,J,\varphi} \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V} \quad ,$$

where $\star_{g,J,\varphi} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \star_g \otimes J^\varphi : \Omega^k(M, \mathcal{S}) \to \Omega^{4-k}(M, \mathcal{S})$ is the Hodge operator of (M, g) "twisted" by the complex vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, J^\varphi)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}$ obeys the following version of the electromagnetic equations:

$$\mathrm{d}_{D^\varphi} \mathcal{V} = 0 \quad ,$$

where $\mathrm{d}_{D^\varphi} : \Omega^k(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi) \to \Omega^{k+1}(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ is the exterior differential of M twisted by the pulled-back flat connection D^φ. In this generalized theory, "duality frames" correspond to *local* flat symplectic frames of Δ. The generalized theory reduces to the ordinary one when D is a trivial flat connection, i.e. when D has trivial holonomy along each loop in $\mathcal{M}$. In particular, it is necessarily equivalent with the latter when $\mathcal{M}$ is simply-connected. When $\pi_1(M) \neq 1$ and D has non-trivial holonomy, no globally-defined "duality frame" exists and the generalized theory is only *locally* equivalent with the ordinary one. In that case, the Abelian gauge theory coupled to a background scalar field φ can be understood by "patching" ordinary theories using electro-magnetic duality transformations and in this sense its global solutions are of "U-fold" type (cf. [13]).

We now proceed to give the mathematical formulation, referring the reader to Appendices A and B for information on tamings of symplectic vector spaces and of vector bundles. The proof of the fact that the generalized theory defined below is locally equivalent with that described in the supergravity literature can be found in Appendix E.

2.2 Duality structures

Let N be a manifold.

The category of duality structures defined on N.

Definition 2.1. A *duality structure* (or *flat symplectic vector bundle*) defined on N is a triple $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$, where:

(a) $\mathcal{S}$ is a real vector bundle of even rank defined on N.

(b) $\omega : \mathcal{S} \times_N \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}_N$ is a symplectic pairing on $\mathcal{S}$, i.e. a non-degenerate and antisymmetric fiberwise-bilinear pairing defined on $\mathcal{S}$.

(c) $D : \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S}) \to \Omega^1(N, \mathcal{S})$ is a flat and ω-compatible connection on $\mathcal{S}$.

The *rank* of a duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is defined to be the rank of the underlying vector bundle $\mathcal{S}$.

Compatibility of D with ω means that the following condition holds for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(N)$ and all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S})$:

$$\omega(D_X \xi_1, \xi_2) + \omega(\xi_1, D_X \xi_2) = X(\omega(\xi_1, \xi_2)) \quad . \tag{2.1}$$

Notice that the rank of a duality structure is necessarily even.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Delta_i = (\mathcal{S}_i, D_i, \omega_i)$ with $i = 1, 2$ be two duality structures defined on N. A *morphism of duality structures* from Δ_1 to Δ_2 is a based morphism of vector bundles $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2)$ which satisfies the following two conditions:

1. $f : (\mathcal{S}_1, \omega_1) \to (\mathcal{S}_2, \omega_2)$ is a morphism of symplectic vector bundles, i.e. we have:

$$\omega_2(f(\xi), f(\xi')) = \omega_1(\xi, \xi') \quad \forall \xi, \xi' \in \Gamma(N, S_1) \quad .$$

2. $f : (\mathcal{S}_1, D_1) \to (\mathcal{S}_2, D_2)$ is a morphism of vector bundles with connection, i.e. we have $D_2 \circ f = (\mathrm{id}_{\Omega^1(N)} \otimes f) \circ D_1$, where $f : \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S}_1) \to \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S}_2)$ denotes the map induced on sections.

With this notion of morphism, duality structures defined on N form a category which we denote by $\mathrm{DS}(N)$. Notice that any morphism $f : (\mathcal{S}_1, D_1, \omega_1) \to (\mathcal{S}_2, D_2, \omega_2)$ of duality structures is a monomorphism of vector bundles, i.e. we have $\ker f = 0$. For any $x \in N$, the fiber $f_x : (\mathcal{S}_{1,x}, \omega_{1,x}) \to (\mathcal{S}_{2,x}, \omega_{2,x})$ is a morphism in the category Symp of finite-dimensional symplectic vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ (see Appendix A.2). In particular, f_x is injective for all $x \in N$.

The parallel transport functor. Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be a duality structure of rank $2n$ defined on N. Let T^Δ_γ be the parallel transport of D along a path $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(N)$. The compatibility condition (2.1) amounts to the requirement that ω is preserved by the parallel transport of D in the sense that the following relation holds for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(N)$:

$$\omega_{\gamma(1)}(T^\Delta_\gamma(s_1), T^\Delta_\gamma(s_2)) = \omega_{\gamma(0)}(s_1, s_2) \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{\gamma(0)} \quad .$$

Let $\Delta_i = (\mathcal{S}_i, D_i, \omega_i)$ with $i = 1, 2$ be two duality structures defined on N. A morphism of symplectic vector bundles $f : (\mathcal{S}_1, \omega_1) \to (\mathcal{S}_2, \omega_2)$ is a morphism of duality structures from $(\mathcal{S}_1, D_1, \omega_1)$ to $(\mathcal{S}_2, D_2, \omega_2)$ iff it satisfies the following condition:

$$f_{\gamma(1)} \circ T^{\Delta_1}_\gamma = T^{\Delta_2}_\gamma \circ f_{\gamma(0)} \quad . \tag{2.2}$$

Recall that $\Pi_1(N)$ denotes the first homotopy groupoid of N.

Definition 2.3. The category of *symplectic local systems defined on* N is the category $[\Pi_1(N), \mathrm{Symp}^\times]$ of functors from $\Pi_1(N)$ to the groupoid $\mathrm{Symp}^\times$ and natural transformations of such.

An object of $[\Pi_1(N), \mathrm{Symp}^\times]$ can be viewed as a $\mathrm{Symp}^\times$-valued local system defined on N as explained, for example, in [30].

Definition 2.4. The *parallel transport functor* of the duality structure Δ is the functor $T_\Delta : \Pi_1(N) \to \mathrm{Symp}^\times$ which associates to any point $x \in N$ the symplectic vector space $T_\Delta(x) = (\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x)$ and to any homotopy class $c \in \Pi_1(N)$ with fixed initial point x and fixed final point y the invertible symplectic morphism $T_\Delta(c) = T^\Delta_\gamma : (\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{S}_y, \omega_y)$, where $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ is any path which represents the class c.

Notice that T_Δ is well-defined since the connection D is flat and symplectic. Moreover, T_Δ determines the duality structure Δ. Relation (2.2) implies that a morphism of duality structures $f : \Delta_1 \to \Delta_2$ gives a natural transformation from T_{Δ_1} to T_{Δ_2}. In fact, the map which takes Δ into T_Δ and f into the corresponding natural transformation gives an equivalence between the categories $\mathrm{DS}(N)$ and $[\Pi_1(N), \mathrm{Symp}^\times]$.

Classification of duality structures. Let x be any point of N and $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be a duality structure of rank $2n$ defined on N. The holonomy of D along piecewise-smooth loops based at x induces a morphism of groups:

$$\mathrm{hol}^x_D : \pi_1(N, x) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x) \quad , \tag{2.3}$$

whose image:

$$\mathrm{Hol}^x_D \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathrm{hol}^x_D(\pi_1(N, x)) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x)$$

is the holonomy group of D at x. Noticing that $\pi_1(N, x) = \mathrm{End}_{\Pi_1(N)}(x)$, we have:

$$\mathrm{hol}^x_D = T_\Delta|_{\pi_1(N,x)} \quad , \quad \mathrm{Hol}^x_D = T_\Delta(\pi_1(N, x)) \quad .$$

In fact, D is uniquely determined by its holonomy representation hol^x_D, while the symplectic gauge equivalence class of D is determined by the orbit of hol^x_D under the conjugation action of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x)$. Since N is connected, the isomorphism type of Hol^x_D does not depend on x and we denote it by Hol_D. Let $\tilde{N}$ be the universal covering space of N, viewed as a principal $\pi_1(N, x)$-bundle over N, where $\pi_1(N, x)$ acts freely and transitively on the fibers through deck transformations. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic with the vector bundle associated to $\tilde{N}$ through the holonomy representation hol^x_D:

$$\mathcal{S} \simeq \tilde{N} \times_{\mathrm{hol}^x_D} \mathcal{S}_x \quad .$$

Moreover, the symplectic pairing ω is induced by ω_x while the flat symplectic connection D is induced by the trivial flat connection on the trivial bundle $\tilde{N} \times \mathcal{S}_x$. It follows that isomorphism classes of duality structures of rank $2n$ defined on N are in bijection with points of the symplectic character variety:

$$C_{\pi_1(N)}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))/\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \quad ,$$

where $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ acts by conjugation.

Duality frames. Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be a duality structure of rank $2n$ defined on N.

Definition 2.5. A *duality frame* of Δ defined on an open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset N$ is a local frame $\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (e_1, \ldots e_n, f_1, \ldots f_n)$ of $\mathcal{S}$ defined on $\mathcal{U}$ which satisfies the following two conditions:

(a) $\mathcal{E}$ is a symplectic frame of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, i.e.:

$$\omega(e_i, e_j) = \omega(f_i, f_j) = 0 \quad , \quad \omega(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i, j = 1 \ldots n \quad .$$

(b) $\mathcal{E}$ is a flat frame of $(\mathcal{S}, D)$, i.e.:

$$D(e_i) = D(f_i) = 0 \quad \forall i = 1 \dots n \quad .$$

Remark. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset N$ be an open subset and $x \in \mathcal{U}$ be a point. Then $\mathcal{U}$ supports a duality frame of Δ iff $\mathrm{hol}_D^x(j_*(\pi_1(\mathcal{U}, x))) = 1$, where $j_* : \pi_1(\mathcal{U}, x) \to \pi_1(N, x)$ is the morphism of groups induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{U} \subset N$ (this morphism need not be injective !). In particular, any open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of N for which $j_*(\pi_1(\mathcal{U}, x)) = 0$ (for example, a simply-connected domain) supports a duality frame.

Trivial duality structures.

Definition 2.6. A duality structure defined on N is called *trivial* if it admits a globally-defined duality frame, i.e. a duality frame defined on all of N.

A duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is trivial iff D is a trivial flat connection, i.e. iff Hol_D is the trivial group. Equivalently, Δ is trivial iff T_Δ is a trivial symplectic local system, which means that $T_\Delta(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Pi_1(N)}(x, y))$ is a singleton subset of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Symp}^\times}(T_\Delta(x), T_\Delta(y))$ for any $x, y \in N$. In this case, D is determined up to a symplectic gauge transformation and Δ is isomorphic with the duality structure whose underlying vector bundle is the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_N = N \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, whose flat connection is the trivial connection $\mathrm{d}|_{\Omega^0(N)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ and whose symplectic pairing is the constant pairing induced by the canonical symplectic pairing ω_0 of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Any duality structure defined on a simply-connected manifold is necessarily trivial (in this case, the symplectic character variety is reduced to a point). When N is not simply-connected, a duality structure Δ defined on N can be non-trivial even if the underlying symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial; that situation is discussed in Section 5.2. We refer the reader to Appendix C for certain twisted constructions associated to duality structures.

2.3 Electromagnetic structures

Let N be a manifold. This subsection assumes familiarity with the notion of tamings (a.k.a. positive compatible complex structures) [7–9] on symplectic vector spaces and symplectic vector bundles, for which we refer the reader to loc. cit. and to Appendices A and B.

The category of electromagnetic structures defined on N. Recall that a complex structure on a real vector bundle $\mathcal{S}$ defined over N is an endomorphism $J \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$. When $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is a symplectic vector bundle, a complex structure J on $\mathcal{S}$ is called compatible with ω if $\omega(J\xi_1, J\xi_2) = \omega(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S})$. A compatible complex structure J induces a symmetric bilinear pairing $Q = Q_{J,\omega}$ on $\mathcal{S}$, defined through:

$$Q(\xi_1, \xi_2) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \omega(J\xi_1, \xi_2) = -\omega(\xi_1, J\xi_2) \tag{2.4}$$

and a Hermitian form $h = h_{J,\omega} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} Q + \mathbf{i}\omega$ on the complex vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, J)$. A compatible complex structure is called a *taming* of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ if Q is positive-definite, which is equivalent with the requirement that h is a Hermitian scalar product on the complex vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, J)$.

Remark. The symplectic geometry literature [7–9] generally uses the convention that a taming of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is an ω-compatible complex structure J for which the symmetric pairing (2.4) is *negative* definite. By contrast, the convention used in the present paper is that common in the Abelian variety literature [33]. The two conventions are related by either of the substitutions $\omega \to -\omega$ or $J \to -J$.

Definition 2.7. An *electromagnetic structure* (or *tamed flat symplectic vector bundle*) defined on N is a quadruplet $\Xi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$, where $\Xi_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is a duality structure defined on N (called the *duality structure underlying* Ξ) and J is a taming of the symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$. The *rank* of Ξ is defined to be the rank of the underlying duality structure Ξ_0, i.e. the rank of the real vector bundle $\mathcal{S}$.

Notice that J need not be covariantly-constant with respect to D. Thus D is generally *not* a complex-linear connection on the Hermitian vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, J, h)$, but only a connection which is symplectic relative to Imh. The space $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S}, \omega) \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{S})$ of tamings of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is a non-empty and contractible topological space [7, Sec. 2.6].

Definition 2.8. Let $\Xi_1 = (\mathcal{S}_1, D_1, J_1, \omega_1)$ and $\Xi_2 = (\mathcal{S}_1, D_1, J_1, \omega_1)$ be two electromagnetic structures defined on N. A *morphism of electromagnetic structures* from Ξ_1 to Ξ_2 is a morphism of duality structures $f : (\mathcal{S}_1, D_1, \omega_1) \to (\mathcal{S}_2, D_2, \omega_2)$ such that $J_2 \circ f = f \circ J_1$.

Thus a morphism of electromagnetic structures is a morphism of the underlying duality structures which is also a complex-linear morphism between the underlying complex vector spaces $(\mathcal{S}_1, J_1)$ and $(\mathcal{S}_2, J_2)$. Equivalently, it is a morphism between the associated Hermitian vector spaces which intertwines the flat connections D_1 and D_2. With this notion of morphism, electromagnetic structures defined on N form a category which we denote by $\mathrm{ES}(N)$. This fibers over the category of duality structures $\mathrm{DS}(N)$, the fiber at a duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ being given by the set of those electromagnetic structures Ξ whose underlying duality structure Ξ_0 equals Δ. This fiber can be identified with the set of tamings $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$. Accordingly, the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathrm{ES}(N)$ fibers over the disjoint union of character varieties $\sqcup_{n \geq 0} C_{\pi_1(N)}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$.

The fundamental form of an electromagnetic structure. Let $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ be an electromagnetic structure defined on N and $h = Q + \mathbf{i}\omega$ be the Hermitian scalar product defined by ω and J on $\mathcal{S}$. Let $D^{\mathrm{ad}} : \Gamma(N, End(\mathcal{S})) \to \Omega^1(N, End(\mathcal{S}))$ be the adjoint connection of D, i.e. the connection induced by D on the vector bundle $End(\mathcal{S})$. For any $A \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}) = \Gamma(N, End(\mathcal{S}))$, we have:

$$D^{\mathrm{ad}}(A) = D \circ A - (\mathrm{id}_{\Omega^1(N)} \otimes A) \circ D \quad ,$$

where A is viewed as a map $A : \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S}) \to \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S})$. Thus:

$$D^{\mathrm{ad}}_X(A) = D_X \circ A - A \circ D_X \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(N) \quad .$$

Definition 2.9. The *fundamental form* of Ξ is the $End(\mathcal{S})$-valued 1-form defined on N through the relation:

$$\Theta_\Xi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} D^{\mathrm{ad}}(J) \in \Omega^1(N, End(\mathcal{S})) \quad . \tag{2.5}$$

We have $\Theta_\Xi(X) = D_X \circ J - J \circ D_X \in \Gamma(N, End(\mathcal{S}))$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(N)$. Let $\Theta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Theta_\Xi$.

Proposition 2.10. *For all $X \in \mathcal{X}(N)$ and all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S})$, we have:*

$$J \circ \Theta(X) = -\Theta(X) \circ J \tag{2.6}$$

$$\omega(\Theta(X)\xi_1, \xi_2) = -\omega(\xi_1, \Theta(X)\xi_2) \tag{2.7}$$

$$Q(\Theta(X)\xi_1, \xi_2) = Q(\xi_1, \Theta(X)\xi_2) \quad . \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, $\Theta(X)$ is an antilinear self-adjoint endomorphism of the Hermitian vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, J, h)$:

$$h(\Theta(X)\xi_1, \xi_2) = \overline{h(\xi_1, \Theta(X)\xi_2)} \quad . \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. The first relation follows by applying D_X^{ad} to the identity $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$, using the fact that D_X^{ad} is a derivation of the composition of $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S})$. For the second relation, we compute:

$$\omega(\Theta(X)\xi_1,\xi_2) = \omega(D_X(J\xi_1),\xi_2) - \omega(J(D_X\xi_1),\xi_2) = \omega(D_X(J\xi_1),\xi_2) + \omega(D_X\xi_1,J\xi_2) =$$
$$X[\omega(J\xi_1,\xi_2)+\omega(\xi_1,J\xi_2)] - \omega(J\xi_1,D_X\xi_2) - \omega(\xi_1,D_X(J\xi_2)) = \omega(\xi_1,J(D_X\xi_2)) - \omega(\xi_1,D_X(J\xi_2))$$
$$= -\omega(\xi_1,\Theta(X)\xi_2) \quad ,$$

where we used J-invariance of ω as well as relation (2.1). For the third relation, we compute:

$$Q(\Theta(X)\xi_1,\xi_2) = \omega(J\Theta(X)\xi_1,\xi_2) = -\omega(\Theta(X)J\xi_1,\xi_2) = \omega(J\xi_1,\Theta(X)\xi_2) = Q(\xi_1,\Theta(X)\xi_2) \quad ,$$

where in the second equality we used (2.6) and in the third equality we used (2.7). Property (2.6) means that $\Theta(X)$ is J-antilinear, while properties (2.7) and (2.8) are equivalent with (2.9), which means that we have:

$$\Theta(X)^\dagger = \Theta(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(N) \quad , \tag{2.10}$$

where $\Theta(X)^\dagger$ is the antilinear adjoint of $\Theta(X)$ with respect to h. Hence $\Theta(X)$ is an antilinear self-adjoint endomorphism of the Hermitian vector bundle $(\mathcal{S},J,h)$. □

Definition 2.11. Let $\Theta_c \in \Omega^1(N, Hom(\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S},\mathbb{C}))$ be defined through:

$$\Theta_c(X)(\xi_1,\xi_2) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} h(\xi_1,\Theta(X)\xi_2) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(N,\mathbb{C}) \quad , \tag{2.11}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(N)$ and all $\xi_1,\xi_2 \in \Gamma(N,\mathcal{S})$.

Let $\mathcal{S}^\vee = Hom_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S},\mathbb{C})$ be the complex-linear dual of the complex vector bundle $(\mathcal{S},J)$. Let $\mathrm{Sym}^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}^\vee)$ denote the second symmetric power (over $\mathbb{C}$) of the complex vector bundle $\mathcal{S}^\vee$.

Proposition 2.12. *We have $\Theta_c \in \Omega^1(N,\mathrm{Sym}^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}^\vee))$. Thus, for every $X \in \mathcal{X}(N)$, the $\mathbb{C}$-valued pairing $\Theta_c(X) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S},\mathbb{C})$ is symmetric and complex-bilinear with respect to the complex structure J.*

Proof. Follows from (2.11) and (2.9) using the fact that h is J-Hermitian while $\Theta(X)$ is J-antilinear. □

Since h is non-degenerate, the bundle-valued one-form $\Theta_c \in \Omega^1(N,\mathrm{Sym}^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}^\vee))$ encodes the same information as the fundamental form Θ.

Unitary electromagnetic structures.

Definition 2.13. An electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (\mathcal{S},D,J,\omega)$ is called *unitary* if $\Theta_\Xi = 0$, i.e. if J is covariantly constant with respect to D:

$$D_X \circ J = J \circ D_X \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(N)$$

By definition, the *category* $\mathrm{UES}(N)$ *of unitary electromagnetic structures* on N is the full sub-category of $\mathrm{ES}(N)$ whose objects are the unitary electromagnetic structures. When an electromagnetic structure Ξ is unitary, the connection D preserves both J and ω, so $(\mathcal{S},D,J,h_{J,\omega})$ is a flat Hermitian vector bundle, i.e. a Hermitian vector bundle endowed with a flat complex-linear connection which is compatible with the Hermitian pairing. This gives an equivalence of categories between $\mathrm{UES}(N)$ and the category of flat Hermitian vector bundles defined on N. A unitary electromagnetic structure defines a parallel transport

functor valued in the unit groupoid of the category Herm of finite-dimensional Hermitian vector spaces. This gives an equivalence of categories between $\mathrm{UES}(N)$ and the functor category $[\pi_1(N), \mathrm{Herm}^{\times}]$. Thus isomorphism classes of unitary electromagnetic structures of rank $2n$ are in bijection with isomorphism classes of flat Hermitian vector bundles and hence correspond to points of the character variety:

$$C_{\pi_1(N)}(\mathrm{U}(n)) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \mathrm{U}(n))/\mathrm{U}(n) \quad ,$$

where $\mathrm{U}(n)$ acts by conjugation. In particular, unitary electromagnetic structures over N admit a finite-dimensional classification (unlike arbitrary electromagnetic structures).

2.4 Scalar-duality and scalar-electromagnetic structures

Definition 2.14. A *scalar-duality structure* is an ordered system (Σ, Δ), where:

1. $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ is a scalar structure
2. $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is an electromagnetic structure defined on $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.15. A *scalar-electromagnetic structure* is an ordered system $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$, where:

1. $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ is a scalar structure
2. $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ is an electromagnetic structure defined on $\mathcal{M}$.

In this case, $\mathcal{D}_0 \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (\Sigma, \Xi_0)$ (where $\Xi_0 = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is the underlying duality structure of Ξ) is called the *underlying scalar-duality structure of* $\mathcal{D}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure as in the definition and $\sharp_{\mathcal{G}} : T^*\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M}$ be the musical isomorphism defined by $\mathcal{G}$.

Definition 2.16. An $End(\mathcal{S})$-valued vector field defined on $\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth global section of the vector bundle $T\mathcal{M} \otimes End(\mathcal{S})$.

Let $\mathcal{X}(M, End(\mathcal{S})) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M} \otimes End(\mathcal{S}))$ be the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$-module of $End(\mathcal{S})$-valued vector fields defined on $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.17. The *fundamental field* of the scalar-electromagnetic structure $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ is the $End(\mathcal{S})$-valued vector field:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (\sharp_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{End(\mathcal{S})})(\Theta_{\Xi}) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) \quad , \tag{2.12}$$

where Θ_{Ξ} is the fundamental form of the electromagnetic structure Ξ.

2.5 Positively-polarized $\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}$-valued 2-forms

Let (M, g) be a Lorentzian four-manifold. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure with underlying scalar structure $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ and underlying electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$. Let $\varphi : M \to \mathcal{M}$ be a smooth map.

Definition 2.18. The φ-pullback of the electromagnetic structure Ξ defined on $\mathcal{M}$ is the electromagnetic structure $\Xi^{\varphi} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}, D^{\varphi}, J^{\varphi}, \omega^{\varphi})$ defined on M, where D^{φ} denotes the pull-back of the connection D.

The Hodge operator $*_g : \wedge T^*M \to \wedge T^*M$ of (M, g) induces the endomorphism $\boldsymbol{*}_g \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} *_g \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}}$ of the *twisted exterior bundle* $\wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \wedge T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^{\varphi}$.

Definition 2.19. The *twisted Hodge operator* of Ξ^φ is the bundle endomorphism of $\wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ defined through:

$$\star_{g,J^\varphi} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} *_g \otimes J^\varphi = *_g \circ J^\varphi = J^\varphi \circ *_g \quad .$$

Let:

$$\alpha \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \oplus_{k=0}^4 (-1)^k \mathrm{id}_{\wedge^k T^*M} \tag{2.13}$$

be the *main automorphism* of the exterior bundle $\wedge T^*M$. We have:

$$\star_{g,J^\varphi}^2 = \alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}^\varphi} \quad . \tag{2.14}$$

The operator $\star_{g,J^\varphi}$ preserves the sub-bundle $\wedge^2_N(\mathcal{S}^\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi$ of the twisted exterior bundle, on which it squares to plus the identity. Accordingly, we have a direct sum decomposition:

$$\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi = (\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi)^+ \oplus (\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi)^- \quad ,$$

where $(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi)^\pm$ are the sub-bundles of eigenvectors of $\star_{g,J^\varphi}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues ± 1.

Definition 2.20. An $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$-valued 2-form $\eta \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ defined on M is called *positively polarized* with respect to g and J^φ if it is a section of the vector bundle $(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi)^+$, which amounts to the requirement that it satisfies the *positive polarization condition*:

$$\star_{g,J^\varphi} \eta = \eta \quad \text{i.e.} \quad *_g \eta = -J^\varphi \eta \quad . \tag{2.15}$$

For any open subset U of M, let $g_U \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} g|_U$, $\varphi_U \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi|_U$ and:

$$\Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}(U) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(U, (\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi)^+) = \{\eta \in \Omega^2(U, \mathcal{S}^\varphi) | \star_{g,J^\varphi} \eta = \eta\}$$

denote the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$-submodule of $\Omega^2(U, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ consisting of those 2-forms defined on U which are positively polarized with respect to $g|_U$ and $J^\varphi|_U$. This defines a sheaf of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-modules $\Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}$ on M, namely the sheaf of smooth sections of the bundle $(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi)^+$. The globally-defined and positively-polarized $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$-valued forms are the global sections of this sheaf. Notice that $\eta \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ is positively polarized iff $\star_{g,J^\varphi}\eta$ is (because $\star_{g,J^\varphi}$ squares to the identity on $\Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$).

2.6 Generalized four-dimensional Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell theories

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure with underlying scalar structure $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ and underlying electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$. Let Q denote the Euclidean scalar product induced by ω and J on $\mathcal{S}$ (see (2.4)). Let M be a four-manifold.

Preparations. Let $\varphi : M \to \mathcal{M}$ be a smooth map and $\Xi^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{S}^\varphi, D^\varphi, J^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ be the pulled-back electromagnetic structure defined on M. Before describing our models, we introduce certain operations which enter the geometric formulation of the equations of motion. Let $\wedge_M \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \wedge T^*M$ be the exterior bundle of M and $\wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \wedge_M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi$ be the twisted exterior bundle. Let α be the main automorphism of $\wedge_M$ (see (2.13)).

Definition 2.21. The *twisted wedge product* on $\wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ is the $\wedge_M$-valued fiberwise bilinear pairing $\wedge_\omega : \wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi) \times_M \wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi) \to \wedge_M$ which is determined uniquely by the condition (we write $\wedge_\omega$ in infix notation):

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1) \wedge_\omega (\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2) = \alpha(\rho_2)\omega(\xi_1, \xi_2)\rho_1 \wedge \rho_2 \tag{2.16}$$

for all $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \Omega(M)$ and all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$.

Notice that the twisted wedge product depends only on the pulled-back symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$. More information about this operation can be found in Appendix C.2. Given any vector bundle $\mathcal{T}$ on M, we trivially extend the twisted wedge product to a $\mathcal{T} \otimes \wedge_M$-valued pairing (denoted by the same symbol) between the bundles $\mathcal{T} \otimes \wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ and $\wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi)$. Thus:

$$(t \otimes \eta_1) \wedge_\omega \eta_2 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} t \otimes (\eta_1 \wedge_\omega \eta_2) \quad , \quad \forall t \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{T}) \;\; \forall \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi) \quad .$$

Let $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ be a Lorentzian metric on M. The *exterior pairing* $(\ ,\ )_g$ is the pseudo-Euclidean metric induced by g on the exterior bundle $\wedge_M$.

Definition 2.22. The *twisted exterior pairing* $(\ ,\ ) := (\ ,\ )_{g,Q^\varphi}$ is the unique pseudo-Euclidean scalar product on the twisted exterior bundle $\wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ which satisfies:

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1, \rho_2 \otimes \xi_2)_{g,Q^\varphi} = (\rho_1, \rho_2)_g Q^\varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2)$$

for any $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \Omega(M)$ and any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$.

For any vector bundle $\mathcal{T}$ defined on M, we trivially extend the twisted exterior pairing to a $\mathcal{T}$-valued pairing (denoted by the same symbol) between the bundles $\mathcal{T} \otimes \wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ and $\wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi)$. Thus:

$$(t \otimes \eta_1, \eta_2)_{g,Q^\varphi} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} t \otimes (\eta_1, \eta_2)_{g,Q^\varphi} \quad \forall t \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{T}) \;\; \forall \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \wedge_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi) \quad .$$

The *inner g-contraction of 2-tensors* is the bundle morphism $\oslash_g : (\otimes^2 T^*M)^{\otimes 2} \to \otimes^2 T^*M$ which is uniquely determined by the condition:

$$(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) \oslash_g (\omega_3 \otimes \omega_4) = (\omega_2, \omega_3)_g \omega_1 \otimes \omega_4 \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4 \in \Omega^1(M) \quad .$$

Viewing $\wedge^2 T^*M$ as the sub-bundle of antisymmetric 2-tensors inside $\otimes^2 T^*M$, the morphism $\oslash_g$ restricts to a morphism $\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M \stackrel{\oslash_g}{\to} \otimes^2 T^*M$, which is called the *inner g-contraction of 2-forms*.

Definition 2.23. The *twisted inner contraction* of $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$-valued 2-forms is the unique morphism of vector bundles $\oslash := \oslash_{g,J,\omega,\varphi} : \wedge^2_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi) \times_M \wedge^2_M(\mathcal{S}^\varphi) \to \otimes^2(T^*M)$ which satisfies:

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1) \oslash_{\Xi,g,\varphi} (\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2) = Q^\varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2)\rho_1 \oslash_g \rho_2$$

for all $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \Omega^2(M)$ and all $\xi_2, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$.

Remark. For any $\rho \in \Omega^2(M)$, we have $\rho \oslash_g \rho \in \Gamma(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2(T^*M))$. For any $\eta \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$, we have $\eta \oslash \eta \in \Gamma(M, \mathrm{Sym}^2(T^*M))$.

Let $\Psi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Psi_{\mathcal{D}} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M} \otimes End(\mathcal{S}))$ be the fundamental field of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\Psi^\varphi \in \Gamma(M, (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi \otimes End(\mathcal{S}^\varphi))$ be its pullback through φ.

Definition 2.24. Let $\eta \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ be a $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$-valued 2-form. The *η-average* of Ψ^φ is the following section of $(T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$:

$$(\Psi^\varphi \eta, \eta)_{g,Q^\varphi} \in \Gamma(M, (T\mathcal{M})^\varphi) \quad .$$

Let $\star \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \star_{g,J,\varphi}$. Using relation (C.4), we find:

$$(\Psi^{\varphi}\eta, \eta)\nu = (\Psi^{\varphi}\eta) \wedge_{\omega} \star\eta \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^{\varphi}) \quad .$$

When η is positively polarized, we have $\star\eta = \eta$ and hence:

$$(\Psi^{\varphi}\eta, \eta)\nu = (\Psi^{\varphi}\eta) \wedge_{\omega} \eta \quad \forall \eta \in \Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}(M) \quad .$$

General Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell models.

Definition 2.25. The *sheaf of Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell (ESM) configurations* $\mathrm{Conf}_{\mathcal{D}}$ determined by $\mathcal{D}$ on M is the sheaf of sets defined through:

$$\mathrm{Conf}_{\mathcal{D}}(U) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) | g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(U), \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{V} \in \Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}(U)\}$$

for all open subsets U of M, with the obvious restriction maps. An element $(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) \in \mathrm{Conf}_{\mathcal{D}}(U)$ is called a *local ESM configuration* of type $\mathcal{D}$ defined on U. The *set of global configurations* determined by $\mathcal{D}$ on M is the set:

$$\mathrm{Conf}_{\mathcal{D}}(M) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) | g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M), \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{V} \in \Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}(M)\} \quad .$$

of global sections of this sheaf. An element $(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) \in \mathrm{Conf}_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ is called a *global ESM configuration* of type $\mathcal{D}$ defined on M.

Definition 2.26. Let U be an open subset of M. The *Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell (ESM) equations* determined by $\mathcal{D}$ on U are the following equations for local configurations $(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) \in \mathrm{Conf}_{\mathcal{D}}(U)$:

1. The Einstein equations:

$$\mathrm{G}(g) = \kappa\, \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) \quad , \tag{2.17}$$

   with energy-momentum tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{D}}$ given by:

$$\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T_{\Sigma}(g, \varphi) + 2\, \mathcal{V} \oslash \mathcal{V} \quad . \tag{2.18}$$

2. The scalar equations:

$$\theta_{\Sigma}(g, \varphi) - \frac{1}{2}(*\mathcal{V}, \Psi^{\varphi}\mathcal{V}) = 0 \quad . \tag{2.19}$$

3. The electromagnetic equations:

$$\mathrm{d}_{D^{\varphi}}\mathcal{V} = 0 \quad . \tag{2.20}$$

A *local ESM solution* of type $\mathcal{D}$ defined on U is a smooth solution $(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V})$ of these equations which is defined on U. A *global ESM solution* of type $\mathcal{D}$ is a smooth solution of these equations which is defined on M. The *sheaf of local ESM solutions* $\mathrm{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}}$ determined by $\mathcal{D}$ is the sheaf of sets defined on M whose set of sections on an open subset $U \subset M$ is the set of all local solutions of type $\mathcal{D}$ defined on U.

Notice that $\mathrm{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathrm{Conf}_{\mathcal{D}}$. In Appendix E, we show that the equations listed above reproduce *locally* the ordinary equations of motion used the supergravity literature (see, for example [2, 5]) upon choosing a local duality frame of $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$. We stress that such a frame need not exist globally, since the flat connection D may have non-trivial holonomy when the scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is not simply-connected. We refer the reader to Section 6 for comparison with the usual model discussed in the supergravity literature — a model which assumes a trivial duality structure. One motivation to consider the models defined above is that they generalize the bosonic sector of all four-dimensional ungauged supergravity theories and hence they afford a generalization of such theories.

2.7 The sheaves of scalar-electromagnetic configurations and solutions relative to a space-time metric

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure, where $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ and $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$. Let $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ be a Lorentzian metric on M.

Definition 2.27. The *sheaf of local scalar-electromagnetic configurations* $\mathrm{Conf}^g_{\mathcal{D}}$ relative to g is the sheaf of sets defined on M whose set of sections above any open subset U of M is defined through:

$$\mathrm{Conf}^g_{\mathcal{D}}(U) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{(\varphi, \mathcal{V}) | \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathcal{M}), \mathcal{V} \in \Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}(U)\} \quad .$$

The *set of global scalar-electromagnetic configurations* relative to g is the set $\mathrm{Conf}^g_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ of global sections of this sheaf.

Definition 2.28. The *sheaf of local scalar-electromagnetic solutions* relative to g is the sheaf of sets defined on M whose set of sections $\mathrm{Sol}^g_{\mathcal{D}}(U)$ on an open subset U of M is defined as the set of all pairs $(\varphi, \mathcal{V}) \in \mathrm{Conf}^g_{\mathcal{D}}(U)$ such that φ and $\mathcal{V}$ satisfy equations (2.19) and (2.20) on U. The *set of global scalar-electromagnetic solutions* relative to g is the set $\mathrm{Sol}^g_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ of global sections of $\mathrm{Sol}^g_{\mathcal{D}}$.

2.8 Electromagnetic field strengths

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure with underlying scalar structure $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ and underlying electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$.

Definition 2.29. An *electromagnetic field strength* on M with respect to $\mathcal{D}$ and relative to $g \in \mathrm{Met}_{3,1}(M)$ and to a map $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{M})$ is an $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$-valued 2-form $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ which satisfies the following two conditions:

1. $\mathcal{V}$ is positively polarized with respect to g and J^φ, i.e. we have $\star_{g,J^\varphi}\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}$.
2. $\mathcal{V}$ is d_{D^φ}-closed, i.e.:
$$\mathrm{d}_{D^\varphi}\mathcal{V} = 0 \quad . \tag{2.21}$$

The second condition is called *the electromagnetic equation*.

For any open subset U of M, let:

$$\Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}_{\mathrm{cl}}(U) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{\mathcal{V} \in \Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}(U) | \mathrm{d}_{D^\varphi}\mathcal{V} = 0\} \tag{2.22}$$

denote the set of electromagnetic field strengths defined on U, which is an (infinite-dimensional) subspace of the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}(U)$. Together with the obvious restriction maps, this defines the *sheaf of electromagnetic field strengths* $\Omega^{\Xi,g,\varphi}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ relative to φ and g, which is a locally-constant sheaf of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces defined on M. Notice that $\mathcal{V}$ is a globally-defined electromagnetic field strength iff $\star_{g,J^\varphi}\mathcal{V}$ is. The positive polarization condition implies that an electromagnetic field strength $\mathcal{V}$ is both d_{D^φ} and δ_{D^φ}-closed:

$$\mathrm{d}_{D^\varphi}\mathcal{V} = \delta_{D^\varphi}\mathcal{V} = 0$$

and hence it satisfies the twisted d'Alembert equation $\Box_{D^\varphi}\mathcal{V} = 0$, where the operators δ_{D^φ} and $\Box_{D^\varphi}$ are defined in Appendix C.

3 Scalar-electromagnetic dualities and symmetries

In this section, we describe the groups of scalar-electromagnetic duality transformations and scalar-electromagnetic symmetries for ESM models with non-trivial duality structure. As we shall see below, the definitions of these groups and of their actions on the sets of configurations and solutions are rather subtle in the general case. In particular, the duality group constructed below can differ markedly from that commonly found in the supergravity literature (which considers only trivial duality structures). This section uses certain constructions involving unbased automorphisms of vector bundles, for which we refer the reader to Appendix D.

3.1 Covariantly-constant unbased automorphisms of a vector bundle with connection

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a manifold and $\mathcal{S}$ be a real vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ denote the group of unbased automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{X}(M,\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathcal{X}(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})} \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ and $\Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \Omega(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})} \Gamma(M,\mathcal{S})$ denote the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})$-modules of $\mathcal{S}$-valued vector fields and $\mathcal{S}$-valued differential forms defined on $\mathcal{M}$. Any unbased automorphism $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ covering a diffeomorphism $f_0 \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ determines an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\mathbf{f} : \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ as well as $\mathbb{R}$-linear push-forward and pull-back operations $f_* : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$, and $f^* : \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \to \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ and an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\mathbf{Ad}(f) : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$, whose precise definitions and properties are given in Appendix D. We have $f^*(\xi) = \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \Omega^0(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$. On the other hand, f induces an isomorphism $\hat{f} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}^{f_0}$, where $\mathcal{S}^{f_0}$ is the f_0-pullback of $\mathcal{S}$. Let $D : \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ be a connection on $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 3.1. An unbased automorphism $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ is called *covariantly constant* with respect to D if:

$$f^* \circ D = D \circ \mathbf{f}^{-1} \quad . \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.2. *The following statements are equivalent for $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$:*

(a) f is covariantly constant with respect to D.

(b) We have:

$$D_{(f_0)_*(X)}\, \mathbf{f}(\xi) = \mathbf{f}(D_X(\xi)) \quad , \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \quad . \tag{3.2}$$

(c) We have:

$$D^{f_0} \circ \hat{f} = \hat{f} \circ D \quad , \tag{3.3}$$

i.e. $\hat{f}$ is an isomorphism of bundles with connection from $(\mathcal{S}, D)$ to $(\mathcal{S}^{f_0}, D^{f_0})$, where $D^{f_0} : \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}^{f_0}) \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$ is the pulled-back connection.

Proof. Relation (D.30) implies that (3.1) is equivalent with the condition $\mathbf{f}^{-1}(D_{(f_0)_*(X)}\xi) = D_X(\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi))$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ and all $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$. Multiplying both sides with $\mathbf{f}$ and replacing ξ with $\mathbf{f}(\xi)$ shows that this relation is equivalent with (3.2). For any $\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$, the pull-back connection D^ψ on $\mathcal{S}^\psi$ is characterized by the condition:

$$D^\psi_X(\xi^\psi) = (D_{(\mathrm{d}\psi)(X)}\xi)^\psi \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad .$$

For any section $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$, we have $\xi^\psi = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)^{-1}(\xi_\psi)$. Substituting this into the relation above shows that D^ψ is given by:

$$D^\psi_X = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)^{-1} \circ D_{\psi_*(X)} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \quad , \tag{3.4}$$

where we used the fact that $\psi_*(X)_\psi = (\mathrm{d}\psi)(X)$. Using this relation and equation (D.9) shows that (3.3) is equivalent with (3.2). □

Covariantly constant automorphisms form a subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},D)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$. An unbased automorphism $f\in\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ is covariantly constant iff it is compatible with the parallel transport T of D in the sense that the following relation holds for all $\gamma\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$:

$$f_{\gamma(1)}\circ T_\gamma = T_{f_0\circ\gamma}\circ f_{\gamma(0)} \quad .$$

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S},D)$ denote the group of *based* automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}$ which are covariantly constant with respect to D. We have a short exact sequence:

$$1\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S},D)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},D)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathcal{S},D}(\mathcal{M})\to 1 \quad ,$$

where $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathcal{S},D}(\mathcal{M})$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M})$ consisting of those diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$ which admit a lift to a covariantly constant unbased automorphism of $(\mathcal{S},D)$. When D is flat, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S},D)$ of based covariantly constant automorphisms is isomorphic with the commutant inside $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_p)$ of the holonomy group Hol_D^p of D at any point $p\in\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let D be a flat connection on $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathrm{d}_D:\Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})\to\Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ be the de Rham operator twisted by D. For any $f\in\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},D)$, we have:*

$$f^*\circ\mathrm{d}_D = \mathrm{d}_D\circ f^* \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mathrm{d}_{D^{f_0}}\circ\hat{f} = \hat{f}\circ\mathrm{d}_D \quad , \tag{3.6}$$

where $\hat{f}$ denotes $\mathrm{id}_{\Omega(\mathcal{M})}\otimes\hat{f}$. In particular, f^* induces $\mathbb{R}$-linear automorphisms of the twisted cohomology spaces $H^k(M,\mathcal{S})$ while $\hat{f}$ induces $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphisms $H^k(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})\xrightarrow{\sim}H^k(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$.

Proof. For any $\rho\in\Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$ and $\xi\in\Gamma(M,\mathcal{S})$, we have:

$$\mathrm{d}_D(\rho\otimes\xi) = (\mathrm{d}\rho)\otimes\xi + (-1)^k\rho\wedge D\xi \quad .$$

Using (3.1) and Proposition D.15, this gives:

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(\mathrm{d}_D(\rho\otimes\xi)) &= f_0^*(\mathrm{d}\rho)\otimes\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) + (-1)^k f_0^*(\rho)\wedge f^*(D\xi) \\ &= (\mathrm{d}f_0^*(\rho))\otimes\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) + (-1)^k f_0^*(\rho)\wedge D(\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi)) = \mathrm{d}_D(f^*(\rho\otimes\xi)) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

We also compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}_{D^{f_0}}(\hat{f}(\rho\otimes\xi)) &= \mathrm{d}_{D^{f_0}}(\rho\otimes\hat{f}(\xi)) = (\mathrm{d}\rho)\otimes\hat{f}(\xi) + (-1)^k\rho\wedge D^{f_0}(\hat{f}(\xi)) \\ &= (\mathrm{d}\rho)\otimes\hat{f}(\xi) + (-1)^k\rho\wedge\hat{f}(D\xi) = \hat{f}(\mathrm{d}_D(\rho\otimes\xi)) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where we used relation (3.3). □

Let $D^{\mathrm{ad}}:\Gamma(\mathcal{M},End(\mathcal{S}))\to\Omega^1(\mathcal{M},End(\mathcal{S}))$ be the adjoint connection of D.

Proposition 3.4. *For any $f\in\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},D)$, we have:*

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*\circ D^{\mathrm{ad}} = D^{\mathrm{ad}}\circ\mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1}) \quad . \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. For any $T\in\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S})$, we have $D^{\mathrm{ad}}(T)\in\Omega^1(\mathcal{M},End(\mathcal{S}))$. For any $\xi\in\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$, relation (D.31) gives:

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*(D^{\mathrm{ad}}(T))(\xi) = f^*(D^{\mathrm{ad}}(T)(\mathbf{f}(\xi))) = f^*(D(T\mathbf{f}(\xi)))-f^*(TD(\mathbf{f}(\xi))) = f^*(D(T\mathbf{f}(\xi)))-(\mathbf{f}^{-1}T\mathbf{f})f^*(D(\mathbf{f}(\xi))) \quad ,$$

where in the last equality we used (D.29). Using (3.1), this gives:

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*(D^{\mathrm{ad}}(T))(\xi) = D((\mathbf{f}^{-1}T\mathbf{f})(\xi))-(\mathbf{f}^{-1}T\mathbf{f})D(\xi) = (D\circ\mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(T)-\mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(T)\circ D)(\xi) = (D^{\mathrm{ad}}\circ\mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(T))(\xi) \,,$$

where in the second equality we used (D.21). Since ξ and T are arbitrary, this gives (3.7). □

3.2 Symplectic, complex and unitary unbased automorphisms

Let $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ be a symplectic vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}$ and J be a taming of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$.

Definition 3.5. An unbased automorphism $f\in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ is called:

1. *symplectic*, if f preserves ω in the sense that f_p is a symplectic isomorphism from $(\mathcal{S}_p,\omega_p)$ to $(\mathcal{S}_{f_0(p)},\omega_{f_0(p)})$ for all $p\in\mathcal{M}$.

2. *complex*, if f preserves J in the sense that $f_p\circ J_p = J_{f_0(p)}\circ f_p$ for all $p\in\mathcal{M}$.

3. *unitary*, if f is both symplectic and complex.

Notice that f is symplectic iff $\hat{f}$ is an isomorphism of symplectic vector bundles from $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ to $(\mathcal{S}^{f_0},\omega^{f_0})$ and f is complex iff $\hat{f}$ is an isomorphism of complex vector bundles from $(\mathcal{S},J)$ to $(\mathcal{S}^{f_0},J^{f_0})$. Finally, f is unitary iff it is an isomorphism of tamed symplectic vector bundles from $(\mathcal{S},J,\omega)$ to $(\mathcal{S}^{f_0},J^{f_0},\omega^{f_0})$. Relation (D.19) implies that f is complex iff $\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)=J$. Symplectic, complex and unitary automorphisms form subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$, which we denote by respectively by $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},\omega)$, $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},J)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},J,\omega)$. We have:

$$\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},J,\omega)=\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},\omega)\cap\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},J)\quad .$$

For any $f\in\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ and any complex structure J of $\mathcal{S}$, the endomorphism $\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)$ (see Appendix D) is again a complex structure of $\mathcal{S}$. For any $f\in\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ and any taming J of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$, the endomorphism $\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)$ is a taming of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ while $\mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f})(J)$ is a taming of $(\mathcal{S}^{f_0},\omega^{f_0})$. Relation (D.3) implies that $f\in\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ is symplectic iff the following condition is satisfied:

$$\omega(\mathbf{f}(\xi_1),\mathbf{f}(\xi_2))=\omega(\xi_1,\xi_2)\circ f_0^{-1}\quad,\quad\forall\xi_1,\xi_2\in\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})\quad . \tag{3.8}$$

3.3 Symmetries of duality and electromagnetic structures

Let $\Delta=(\mathcal{S},D,\omega)$ be a duality structure on $\mathcal{M}$ and J be a taming of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$. Let $\Xi=(\mathcal{S},D,J,\omega)$ be the corresponding electromagnetic structure with underlying duality structure $\Xi_0=\Delta$.

Definition 3.6. An *unbased* automorphism $f\in\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ is called:

1. A *symmetry of the duality structure* Δ, if f is symplectic with respect to ω and covariantly constant with respect to D.
2. A *symmetry of the electromagnetic structure* Ξ, if f is complex with respect to J and is a symmetry of the duality structure Δ, i.e. if f is unitary and covariantly-constant with respect to D.

Let $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)=\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},D,\omega)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Xi)=\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},D,J,\omega)$ denote the groups of symmetries of Δ and Ξ. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Xi)=\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Xi_0)\cap\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S},J)=\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},D)\cap\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},J,\omega)\\&\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)=\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},\omega)\cap\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},D)\quad .\end{aligned}$$

3.4 The action of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$ on electromagnetic and scalar-electromagnetic structures

Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be a fixed duality structure on $\mathcal{M}$. Given a taming J of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ and a symplectic automorphism $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, the endomorphism $\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)$ is again a taming of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$. Hence for any electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ having Δ as its underlying duality structure, the quadruplet:

$$\Xi_f \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{S}, D, \mathbf{Ad}(f)(J), \omega) \tag{3.9}$$

is again an electromagnetic structure having Δ as its underlying duality structure. This defines a left action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ on the set $\mathrm{ES}_\Delta(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ of all electromagnetic structures whose underlying duality structure equals Δ. Let $Q_{J,\omega}$ denote the Euclidean scalar product induced on $\mathcal{S}$ by ω and J (see (2.4)).

Proposition 3.7. *For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, we have:*

$$Q^{f_0}_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega}(\hat{f}(\xi_1), \hat{f}(\xi_2)) = Q_{J,\omega}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \quad , \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \quad , \tag{3.10}$$

where $Q^{f_0}_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (Q_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega})^{f_0} : \mathcal{S}^{f_0} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{S}^{f_0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the f_0-pullback of $Q_{\mathbf{Ad}(J),\omega}$.

Proof. For any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$, we have:

$$Q_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega}(\mathbf{f}(\xi_1), \mathbf{f}(\xi_2)) = \omega(\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)(\mathbf{f}(\xi_1)), \mathbf{f}(\xi_2)) = \omega(\mathbf{f}(J\xi_1), \mathbf{f}(\xi_2)) = \omega(J\xi_1, \xi_2) \circ f_0^{-1} = Q_{J,\omega}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \circ f_0^{-1} \ ,$$

where we used (D.21) and (3.8). Using relation (D.11), this gives:

$$Q^{f_0}_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega}(\hat{f}(\xi_1), \hat{f}(\xi_2)) = Q^{f_0}_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega}(\mathbf{f}(\xi_1)^{f_0}, \mathbf{f}(\xi_2)^{f_0}) = Q_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega}(\mathbf{f}(\xi_1), \mathbf{f}(\xi_2)) \circ f_0 = Q_{J,\omega}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \ .$$

□

The action of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ on $\mathrm{ES}_\Delta(\mathcal{M})$ restricts to an action of the subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta) \subset \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ on $\mathrm{ES}_\Delta(\mathcal{M})$. For $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$, the fundamental forms $\Theta_\Xi, \Theta_{\Xi_f} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$ of Ξ and Ξ_f are related as follows:

Proposition 3.8. *For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$, we have:*

$$\Theta_{\Xi_f} = \mathrm{Ad}(f^{-1})^*(\Theta_\Xi) \ . \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Replacing f with f^{-1} in relation (3.7) gives $\mathrm{Ad}(f^{-1})^* \circ D^{\mathrm{ad}} = D^{\mathrm{ad}} \circ \mathbf{Ad}(f)$. Thus:

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f^{-1})^*(\Theta_\Xi) = \mathrm{Ad}(f^{-1})^*(D^{\mathrm{ad}}(J)) = D^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)) = \Theta_{\Xi_f} \ .$$

□

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure, where $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ and $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$, with underlying duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$. For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$, consider the scalar-electromagnetic structure:

$$\mathcal{D}_f \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\Sigma_f, \Xi_f) \ , \tag{3.12}$$

where:

$$\Sigma_f \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{M}, (f_0^{-1})^*(\mathcal{G}), \Phi \circ f_0^{-1}) \ . \tag{3.13}$$

This defines a left action of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$ on the set of scalar-electromagnetic structures whose scalar manifold is $\mathcal{M}$ and whose underlying duality structure is Δ. The fundamental fields of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}_f$ are related as follows:

Proposition 3.9. *For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$, we have:*

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{D}_f} = \mathrm{Ad}(f)_*(\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}) \quad . \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. Proposition D.18 (with $\mathcal{S}$ replaced by $End(\mathcal{S})$ and f replaced by $\mathrm{Ad}(f)$) implies that the following relation holds for any $\Theta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$:

$$[\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*(\Theta)]^{\sharp_{f_0^*(\mathcal{G})}} = (\mathrm{Ad}(f^{-1}))_*(\Theta^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}}) \quad . \tag{3.15}$$

Thus:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{D}_f} = (\Theta_{\Xi_f})^{\sharp_{(f_0^{-1})^*(\mathcal{G})}} = [\mathrm{Ad}(f^{-1})^*(\Theta_\Xi)]^{\sharp_{(f_0^{-1})^*(\mathcal{G})}} = \mathrm{Ad}(f)_*[(\Theta_\Xi)^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}}] = \mathrm{Ad}(f)_*(\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}) \quad ,$$

where in the second equality we used (3.11) and in the third equality we used (3.15) with f replaced by f^{-1}. □

3.5 The action of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ on electromagnetic fields

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure, where $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ and $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$, with underlying duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$. Let M be a four-manifold and $\varphi : M \to \mathcal{M}$ be a smooth map. The pull-back of the based isomorphism $\hat{f} : \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}^{f_0}$ gives a based isomorphism of vector bundles $\hat{f}^\varphi : \mathcal{S}^\varphi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}^{f_0 \circ \varphi} = (\mathcal{S}^{f_0})^\varphi$. This extends to an isomorphism from $\wedge T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^\varphi$ to $\wedge T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^{f_0 \circ \varphi}$ (where the action on $\wedge T^*M$ is trivial) and hence induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$-modules which we denote by the same symbol:

$$\hat{f}^\varphi \equiv \mathrm{id}_{\Omega(\mathcal{M})} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})} \hat{f}^\varphi : \Omega(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi) \to \Omega(M, \mathcal{S}^{f_0 \circ \varphi}) \quad . \tag{3.16}$$

We have:

$$\hat{f}^\varphi(\rho \otimes \xi) = \rho \otimes \hat{f}^\varphi(\xi) \quad , \quad \forall \rho \in \Omega(M) \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi) \quad .$$

Lemma 3.10. *For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, D)$, we have:*

$$\mathrm{d}_{D^{f_0 \circ \varphi}} \circ \hat{f}^\varphi = \hat{f}^\varphi \circ \mathrm{d}_{D^\varphi} \quad . \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. For any $\rho \in \Omega^k(M)$ and any $\xi \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$, we have:

$$(\mathrm{d}_{D^{f_0 \circ \varphi}} \circ \hat{f}^\varphi)(\rho \otimes \xi) = (\mathrm{d}\rho) \otimes \hat{f}^\varphi(\xi) + (-1)^k \rho \wedge D^{f_0 \circ \varphi}(\hat{f}^\varphi(\xi)) \quad . \tag{3.18}$$

Relation (3.3) implies:

$$D^{f_0 \circ \varphi} \circ \hat{f}^\varphi = \hat{f}^\varphi \circ D^\varphi \quad .$$

Hence (3.18) becomes:

$$(\mathrm{d}_{D^{f_0 \circ \varphi}} \circ \hat{f}^\varphi)(\rho \otimes \xi) = (\mathrm{d}\rho) \otimes \hat{f}^\varphi(\xi) + (-1)^k \rho \wedge \hat{f}^\varphi(D^\varphi(\xi)) = (\hat{f}^\varphi \circ \mathrm{d}_{D^\varphi})(\rho \otimes \xi) \quad .$$

□

Proposition 3.11. *The following properties hold:*

1. *For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, we have:*

$$\hat{f}^\varphi(\Omega^{\Xi, g, \varphi}(M)) = \Omega^{\Xi_f, g, f_0 \circ \varphi}(M)$$

Thus a 2-form $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ satisfies the positive polarization condition with respect to g and J in the scalar field background φ iff $\hat{f}^\varphi(\mathcal{V})$ satisfies the positive polarization condition with respect to g and $\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)$ in the scalar field background $f_0 \circ \varphi$.

2. *For any* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$, *we have:*

$$\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\Omega_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\Xi,g,\varphi}(M)) = \Omega_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\Xi_f,g,f_0\circ\varphi}(M) \quad .$$

Thus, when the duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ *is fixed, a 2-form* $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^{\varphi})$ *is an electromagnetic field strength with respect to* g *and* J *in the scalar field background* φ *iff* $\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V})$ *is an electromagnetic field strength with respect to* g *and* $\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)$ *in the scalar field background* $f_0 \circ \varphi$.

Proof.

1. We have $\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)^{f_0} = \mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f})(J)$ by relation (D.20). Thus $\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)^{f_0\circ\varphi} \circ \hat{f}^{\varphi} = \mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f})(J)^{\varphi} \circ \hat{f}^{\varphi} = [\mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f})(J) \circ \hat{f}]^{\varphi} = (\hat{f} \circ J)^{\varphi} = \hat{f}^{\varphi} \circ J^{\varphi}$, where we used (D.20). Since $*_g$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}$, we also have $*_g \circ \hat{f}^{\varphi} = \hat{f}^{\varphi} \circ *_g$. Hence a 2-form $\eta \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^{\varphi})$ satisfies the positive polarization condition $*_g\eta = -J^{\varphi}\eta$ iff the 2-form $\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\eta) \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^{f_0\circ\varphi})$ satisfies the positive polarization condition $*_g\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\eta) = -\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J)^{f_0\circ\varphi}\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\eta)$.

2. Relation (3.17) implies that $\eta \in \Omega(M, \mathcal{S}^{\varphi})$ is $\mathrm{d}_{D^{\varphi}}$-closed iff $\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\eta)$ is $\mathrm{d}_{D^{f_0\circ\varphi}}$-closed. Combining this with the statement at point 1. gives the conclusion.

□

Let $Q_{J,\omega}$ denote the scalar product induced on $\mathcal{S}$ by J and ω and $(\ ,\ )_{g,J,\omega,\varphi} = (\ ,\ )_{g,Q^{\varphi}_{J,\omega}}$ denote the pseudo-Euclidean scalar product induced by g and $Q^{\varphi}_{J,\omega}$ on $\wedge T^*M \otimes \mathcal{S}^{\varphi}$ (See subsection 2.6).

Lemma 3.12. *For any* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ *and* $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^{\varphi})$, *we have:*

$$(\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V}_1), \hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V}_2))_{g,\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega,f_0\circ\varphi} = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)_{g,J,\omega,\varphi} \quad . \tag{3.19}$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\mathcal{V}_i = \rho_i \otimes \xi_i$ with $\rho_i \in \Omega^2(M)$ and $\xi_i \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^{\varphi})$ (where $i = 1, 2$). Then:

$$(\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V}_1), \hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V}_2))_{g,\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega,f_0\circ\varphi} = (\rho_1, \rho_2)_g Q^{f_0\circ\varphi}_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega}(\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\xi_1), \hat{f}^{\varphi}(\xi_2)) = (\rho_1, \rho_2)_g Q^{\varphi}_{J,\omega}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)_{g,J,\omega,\varphi} \ ,$$

where $(\ ,\ )_g$ denotes the Euclidean scalar product induced by g on the exterior bundle $\wedge T^*M$ and we used relation (3.10). □

Recall the twisted inner contraction $\oslash := \oslash_{g,J,\omega,\varphi} : \wedge^2_M(\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}) \times_M \wedge^2_M(\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}) \to \otimes^2(T^*M)$ of Definition 2.23.

Proposition 3.13. *The following properties hold for any* $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^{\varphi})$:

1. *For any* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, *we have:*

$$\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V}_1) \oslash_{g,\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega,f_0\circ\varphi} \hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V}_2) = \mathcal{V}_1 \oslash_{g,J,\omega,\varphi} \mathcal{V}_2 \quad . \tag{3.20}$$

2. *For any* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$, *we have:*

$$(\Psi^{f_0\circ\varphi}_{\mathcal{D}_f}\hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V}_1), \hat{f}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{V}_2))_{g,\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega,f_0\circ\varphi} = (\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0})^{\varphi}((\Psi^{\varphi}_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)_{g,J,\omega,\varphi}) \quad , \tag{3.21}$$

where $(\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0})^{\varphi} : (T\mathcal{M})^{\varphi} \to (T\mathcal{M})^{f_0\circ\varphi}$ *is the* φ*-pullback of the map* $\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0} : T\mathcal{M} \to (T\mathcal{M})^{f_0}$ *induced by the differential* $\mathrm{d}f_0 : T\mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\mathcal{V}_i = \rho_i \otimes \xi_i$, with $\rho_i \in \Omega^2(M)$ and $\xi_i \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$. Then:

$$\hat{f}^\varphi(\mathcal{V}_1) \oslash_{g,\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega,f_0\circ\varphi} \hat{f}^\varphi(\mathcal{V}_2) = Q^{f_0\circ\varphi}_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega}(\hat{f}^\varphi(\xi_1), \hat{f}^\varphi(\xi_2))\rho_1 \oslash_g \rho_2 = Q^\varphi_{J,\omega}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\rho_1 \oslash_g \rho_2 = \mathcal{V}_1 \oslash_{g,J,\varphi,\omega} \mathcal{V}_2 \ , \tag{3.22}$$

where we used (3.10). This shows that (3.20) holds. Using relations (3.14) and (D.27), we find:

$$R_{\hat{f}}(\Psi^{f_0}_{\mathcal{D}_f}) = R_{\hat{f}}(\mathrm{Ad}(f)_*(\Psi_{\mathcal{D}})^{f_0}) = (\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0} \otimes L_{\hat{f}})(\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}) \ .$$

Remembering that $(\ ,\ )_{g,J,\omega,\varphi}$ is extended trivially to $(T\mathcal{M})^\varphi$ (see Subsection 2.6), this gives:

$$\begin{aligned}&(\Psi^{f_0\circ\varphi}_{\mathcal{D}_f}\hat{f}^\varphi(\mathcal{V}_1), \hat{f}^\varphi(\mathcal{V}_2))_{g,\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega,f_0\circ\varphi} = ((\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0} \otimes L_{\hat{f}})^\varphi(\Psi^\varphi_{\mathcal{D}})(\mathcal{V}_1), \hat{f}^\varphi(\mathcal{V}_2))_{g,\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),\omega,f_0\circ\varphi} = \\ &= (\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0})^\varphi((\hat{f}^\varphi(\Psi^\varphi_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{V}_1), \hat{f}^\varphi(\mathcal{V}_2))_{\mathbf{Ad}(f)(J),f_0\circ\varphi}) = (\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0})^\varphi((\Psi^\varphi_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)_{g,J,\varphi,\omega}) \ ,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used relation (3.19). Thus (3.21) holds. □

3.6 Scalar-electromagnetic dualities and symmetries

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure with underlying scalar structure $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ and underlying electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$. Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be the underlying duality structure of Ξ and $\mathcal{D}_0 = (\Sigma, \Delta)$ be the underlying scalar-duality structure of $\mathcal{D}$. Fixing a Lorentzian metric $g \in Met_{3,1}(M)$, consider the set:

$$\mathrm{Conf}^g_{\mathcal{D}_0}(M) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \cup_{J' \in \mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)} \mathrm{Conf}^g_{(\Sigma,(\mathcal{S},D,J',\omega))}(M) \ . \tag{3.23}$$

Definition 3.14. The *scalar-electromagnetic duality group* of $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta)$ defined through:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta) | f_0 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)\} = \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Delta) | f_0 \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}) \ \&\ \Phi \circ f_0 = \Phi)\} \ .$$

An element of this group is called a *scalar-electromagnetic duality*. The *duality action* is the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ on the set $\mathrm{Conf}^g_{\mathcal{D}_0}(M)$ given by:

$$f \diamond (\varphi, \mathcal{V}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (f_0 \circ \varphi, \hat{f}^\varphi(\mathcal{V})) \ \ \forall f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) \ .$$

For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$, we have $\Sigma_f = \Sigma$ and $\mathcal{D}_f = (\Sigma, \Xi_f)$, where Σ_f and $\mathcal{D}_f$ were defined in (3.13) and (3.12).

Theorem 3.15. *For any $g \in Met_{3,1}(M)$ and any scalar-electromagnetic duality transformation $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$, we have:*

$$f \diamond \mathrm{Sol}^g_{\mathcal{D}}(M) = \mathrm{Sol}^g_{\mathcal{D}_f}(M) \ . \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. Follows immediately from Proposition 1.6, Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 3.13 upon using the form of the scalar-electromagnetic equations (2.19) and (2.20). □

Definition 3.16. The *scalar-electromagnetic symmetry group* of $\mathcal{D}$ is the subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ of $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Xi)$ defined through:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Xi) | f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)\} = \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\Xi) | f_0 \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}) \ \&\ \Phi \circ f_0 = \Phi\} \ .$$

An element of this group is called a *scalar-electromagnetic symmetry*.

We have:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) | \mathbf{Ad}(f)(J) = J\} \quad .$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$.

Corollary 3.17. *For all $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, we have:*

$$f \diamond \mathrm{Sol}^g_{\mathcal{D}}(M) = \mathrm{Sol}^g_{\mathcal{D}}(M) \quad .$$

Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ consists of symmetries of the scalar-electromagnetic equations of motion (2.19) and (2.20), for any fixed Lorentzian metric g.

Proof. For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, we have $D_f = \mathcal{D}$ and the desired statement follows from Theorem 3.15. □

We have short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Delta) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^{\Delta}(\Sigma) \to 1 \\ 1 \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Xi) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^{\Xi}(\Sigma) \to 1 \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\Xi)$ are the groups of *based* symmetries of Δ and Ξ, i.e. the groups of automorphism of Δ and Ξ in the categories $DS(\mathcal{M})$ and $ES(\mathcal{M})$, respectively. The groups appearing in the right hand side consist of those automorphisms of the scalar structure Σ which respectively admit lifts to scalar-electromagnetic dualities of $\mathcal{D}_0 = (\Sigma, \Delta)$ and scalar-electromagnetic symmetries of $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$. Fixing a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$, we can identify $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$ with the commutant of Hol^p_D inside the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_p, \omega_p) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, the exact sequences above show that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ are Lie groups.

Remark. Consider the case when the duality structure Δ is trivial. Then $\mathrm{Hol}^p_D = 1$ and we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. In this case, we have $\mathrm{Aut}^{\Delta}(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$. Moreover, the first exact sequence above splits, giving an isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$. This recovers the scalar-electromagnetic duality group traditionally discussed in the supergravity literature. The taming J can be identified with a smooth map $\tau : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{SH}_n$ into the Siegel upper half space (where $\mathrm{rk}\mathcal{S} = 2n$) and we have isomorphisms of groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Aut}(\Xi) &\simeq \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) | A \bullet \tau = \tau\} \\ \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) &\simeq \{(\psi, A) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) | \tau \circ \psi = A \bullet \tau\} \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where $\bullet$ is the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{SH}_n$ by matrix fractional transformations (see Appendix A). The electromagnetic structure Ξ is unitary iff τ is a constant map, in which case we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\Xi) \simeq \mathrm{U}(n)$, $\mathrm{Aut}^{\Xi}(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{U}(n) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$. It is clear from the above that the group of scalar-electromagnetic dualities is considerably more complicated in the general case when the duality structure Δ is nontrivial.

4 The Dirac quantization condition

In this section, we explain how the Dirac quantization condition can be formulated when the model has a non-trivial duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ defined on the scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$. In this situation, the Dirac lattice is replaced by a *Dirac system*, defined as a smooth fiber sub-bundle Λ of full lattices inside $\mathcal{S}$, which is preserved by the parallel transport of the flat connection D and which has the property that

ω is integer-valued when restricted to Λ. Pairs $\boldsymbol{\Delta} = (\Delta, \Lambda)$ are called *integral duality structures*. The fibers of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ are objects of a category Symp_0 of *integral symplectic spaces*, which is discussed in Appendix F. Integral duality structures correspond to local systems $T_{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}$ valued in the unit groupoid of Symp_0. Any integral duality structure has a *type* $\mathbf{t}$, which is a vector of positive integers obeying certain divisibility properties. Integral duality structures of type $\mathbf{t}$ defined over $\mathcal{M}$ are classified by points of the $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$-character variety of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$, where $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is the modified Siegel modular group of type $\mathbf{t}$. A taming J of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ defines an *integral electromagnetic structure* $\boldsymbol{\Xi} = (\Xi, \Lambda)$, where $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ is the electromagnetic structure defined by J and Δ. The fibers of an integral electromagnetic structure are *integral tamed symplectic spaces*, being objects of a category $\mathrm{TamedSymp}_0$ which is discussed in Appendix F. As explained in Appendix F, there exists a commutative diagram of categories and functors whose vertical arrows are equivalences and whose horizontal arrows are forgetful functors (this is one of the sides of diagram (F.2)):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{TamedSymp}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Symp}_0 \\ {\scriptstyle X_h}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle X_s} \\ \mathrm{AbVar} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TorSymp} \end{array}$$

Here, TorSymp denotes the category of symplectic tori and AbVar is the category of polarized complex Abelian varieties. The vertical equivalences are given by taking the quotient through the lattice. The equivalence of categories X_s allows one to encode the data of an integral duality structure using a bundle $\mathcal{X}_s(\boldsymbol{\Delta})$ of symplectic tori, endowed with a flat Ehresmann connection whose transport preserves the symplectic structure of the fibers. The equivalence of categories X_h allows one to encode the data of an integral electromagnetic structure using a (smooth) bundle $\mathcal{X}_s(\boldsymbol{\Xi})$ of polarized Abelian varieties, endowed with a flat Ehresmann connection whose transport preserves the symplectic structure of the torus fibers but need not preserve their complex structure.

A smooth scalar map $\varphi : M \to \mathcal{M}$ induces a pulled-back integral electromagnetic structure $\Xi^{\varphi} = (\mathcal{S}^{\varphi}, D^{\varphi}, J^{\varphi}, \omega^{\varphi}, \Lambda^{\varphi})$ defined on M. The Dirac quantization condition requires that the de Rham cohomology class $[\mathcal{V}] \in H^2_{\mathrm{d}_{D^{\varphi}}}(M, \mathcal{S}^{\varphi})$ of the electromagnetic field strength $\mathcal{V}$ of a *semiclassical* (as opposed to classical) Abelian gauge field configuration be *integral* in the sense that it belongs to the image through the universal coefficient map of the second cohomology of M with coefficients in the Symp_0-valued local system $T_{\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\varphi}}$ defined by the pulled-back integral duality structure $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\varphi} = (\Delta^{\varphi}, \Lambda^{\varphi})$. This condition indicates that a geometric model of semiclassical Abelian field configurations can be constructed using a certain version of twisted differential cohomology.

4.1 Dirac systems and integral duality structures

Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be a duality structure of rank $2n$ defined over a manifold N. As explained in Appendix F, an *integral symplectic space* is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ containing a full lattice on which the symplectic pairing takes integer values. Integral symplectic spaces form a category denoted by Symp_0. The elementary divisor theorem implies that an integral symplectic space of dimension $2n$ is determined up to isomorphism by its *type* $\mathbf{t}$, which is an element of the set Div^n of ordered n-uples of positive integers $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ satisfying the divisibility conditions $t_1 | t_2 | \ldots | t_n$. The integral symplectic space is called *principal* if its type equals $\boldsymbol{\delta}(n) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathrm{Div}^n$.

Definition 4.1. A *Dirac system* for Δ is a fiber sub-bundle $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{S}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

1. For any $x \in N$, the triple $(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ is an integral symplectic space, i.e. Λ_x is a full lattice inside $\mathcal{S}_x$ and we have $\omega_x(\Lambda_x, \Lambda_x) \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

2. Λ is invariant under the parallel transport T^Δ of D, in the sense that the following condition is satisfied for any path $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(N)$:
$$T^\Delta_\gamma(\Lambda_{\gamma(0)}) = \Lambda_{\gamma(1)} \quad . \tag{4.1}$$

For every $x \in N$, the lattice $\Lambda_x \subset \mathcal{S}_x$ is called the *Dirac lattice defined by* Λ *at the point* x. A duality structure is called *semiclassical* if it admits a Dirac system.

Definition 4.2. An *integral duality structure* defined on N is a pair $\mathbf{\Delta} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\Delta, \Lambda)$, where Δ is is a duality structure defined on N and Λ is a Dirac system for Δ.

Since T^Δ_γ is an isomorphism of symplectic vector spaces from $(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(0)}, \omega_{\gamma(0)})$ to $(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(1)}, \omega_{\gamma(1)})$ and N is connected, relation (4.1) implies that the type $\mathbf{t}$ of the integral symplectic space $(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ (see Appendix F) does not depend on the point $x \in N$. This quantity is denoted $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{\Delta})$ and called the *type* of the integral duality structure $\mathbf{\Delta}$. The integral duality structure $\mathbf{\Delta}$ (and the corresponding Dirac system Λ) is called *principal* if $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{\Delta}) = \boldsymbol{\delta}(n)$, i.e. if every fiber $(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ is a principal integral symplectic space.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\Delta_1, \Lambda_1)$ and $\mathbf{\Delta}_2 = (\Delta_2, \Lambda_2)$ be two integral duality structures defined on N. A *morphism of of integral duality structures* from $\mathbf{\Delta}_1$ to $\mathbf{\Delta}_2$ is a morphism of duality structures $f : \Delta_1 \to \Delta_2$ such that $f(\Lambda_1) \subset \Lambda_2$.

With this definition, integral duality structures defined on N form a category which we denote by $\mathrm{DS}_0(N)$. Let $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\Delta, \Lambda)$ be an integral duality structure defined on N, where $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$.

Definition 4.4. The *parallel transport functor of* $\mathbf{\Delta}$ is the functor $T_{\mathbf{\Delta}} : \Pi_1(N) \to \mathrm{Symp}^\times_0$ which associates to any point $x \in N$ the integral symplectic space $T_{\mathbf{\Delta}}(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ and to any homotopy class $c \in \Pi_1(N)$ with fixed endpoints the isomorphism of integral symplectic spaces $T_{\mathbf{\Delta}}(c) = T^\Delta_\gamma : (\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(0)}, \omega_{\gamma(0)}, \Lambda_{\gamma(0)}) \to (\mathcal{S}_{\gamma(1)}, \omega_{\gamma(1)}, \Lambda_{\gamma(1)})$, where $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ is any path representing c and T^Δ_γ is the parallel transport of D along γ.

Clearly $T_{\mathbf{\Delta}}$ is a well-defined $\mathrm{Symp}^\times_0$-valued local system on N. The correspondence which associates $T_{\mathbf{\Delta}}$ to $\mathbf{\Delta}$ gives an equivalence of categories between $\mathrm{DS}_0(N)$ and the functor category $[\Pi_1(N), \mathrm{Symp}^\times_0]$. The parallel transport functors $T_{\mathbf{\Delta}}$ and T_Δ of $\mathbf{\Delta}$ and Δ are related through:
$$T_\Delta = \mathcal{F} \circ T_{\mathbf{\Delta}} \quad ,$$
where $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{Symp}^\times_0 \to \mathrm{Symp}^\times$ is the surjective functor which forgets the lattice. Hence giving a Dirac system on Δ amounts to specifying a lift of T_Δ along $\mathcal{F}$. As explained in the next paragraph, the obstruction to existence of such a lift can be described using the holonomy of D.

Classification of integral duality structures. Let $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega, \Lambda)$ be an integral duality structure and consider a point $x \in N$. Then the Dirac lattice $\Lambda_x \subset \mathcal{S}_x$ at x is invariant under the tautological (fundamental) action of the holonomy group Hol^x_D (see (2.2)). Hence the latter consists of automorphisms of the integral symplectic space $(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$:
$$\mathrm{Hol}^x_D = T_{\mathbf{\Delta}}(\pi_1(N, x)) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x) \quad .$$

Accordingly, the holonomy representation (2.3) at x gives a group morphism:

$$\mathrm{hol}_D^x = T_{\mathbf{\Delta}}|_{\pi_1(N,x)} : \pi_1(N,x) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x) \quad .$$

Let $\mathbf{t}$ be the type of $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$. By Proposition F.12, any integral symplectic basis of $(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ induces an isomorphism of integral symplectic spaces $(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x) \simeq (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_n, \Lambda_{\mathbf{t}})$ and an isomorphism of groups $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ is modified Siegel modular group of type $\mathbf{t}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}}$ is the full lattice inside $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined as in (F.1). Let $\tilde{N} \to N$ be the universal covering space (viewed as a principal $\pi_1(N,x)$-bundle defined over N). Then Λ is isomorphic with the fiber bundle associated to $\tilde{N}$ through the corestriction of hol_D^x to Λ_x:

$$\Lambda \simeq \tilde{N} \times_{\mathrm{hol}_D^x} \Lambda_x \quad .$$

This implies that the set of isomorphism classes of integral duality structures of type $\mathbf{t}$ defined on N is in bijection with the character variety:

$$C_{\pi_1(N)}(\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z}))/\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \quad .$$

In particular, a duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ admits a Dirac system of type $\mathbf{t}$ iff its holonomy representation hol_D^x can be conjugated such that its image lies inside the group $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$.

The bundle of symplectic tori defined by an integral duality structure. Let $\mathbf{\Delta} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega, \Lambda)$ be an integral duality structure or rank $2n$ and type $\mathbf{t} \in \mathrm{Div}^n$, defined on N. For any $x \in N$, the integral symplectic space $(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ defines a symplectic torus $X_s(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ of type $\mathbf{t}$. When x varies in N, these symplectic tori fit into a fiber bundle $\mathcal{X}_s(\mathbf{\Delta})$, endowed with a complete flat Ehresmann connection[5] $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{\Delta}}$induced by D. The Ehresmann transport of this connection proceeds through isomorphisms of symplectic tori, so it preserves the Abelian group structure and the symplectic structure of the fibers. In particular, the holonomy group of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{\Delta}}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 4.5. The fiber bundle with connection $(\mathcal{X}_s(\mathbf{\Delta}), \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{\Delta}})$ is called the *bundle of symplectic tori* defined by the integral duality structure $\mathbf{\Delta}$.

4.2 Integral electromagnetic structures

The formulation of the Dirac quantization condition for our models involves the notion of integral electromagnetic structure, which we discuss in this subsection.

Definition 4.6. An *integral electromagnetic structure* defined on N is a pair $\mathbf{\Xi} = (\Xi, \Lambda)$, where $\Xi = (\Delta, J)$ is an electromagnetic structure defined on N and Λ is a Dirac system for the underlying duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ of Ξ. The type of the integral duality structure $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega, \Lambda)$ is called the *type* $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{\Xi})$ of $\mathbf{\Xi}$:

$$\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{\Xi}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{\Delta}) \quad .$$

Let $\mathbf{\Xi} = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega, \Lambda)$ be an integral electromagnetic structure of real rank $2n$ and type $\mathbf{t}$, with underlying duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$. Thus $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega, \Lambda)$ is an integral duality structure of type $\mathbf{t}$. For every $x \in N$, the fiber $(\mathcal{S}_x, J_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ is an integral tamed symplectic space (see Appendix F), which defines a polarized Abelian variety $X_h(\mathcal{S}_x, J_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$ of type $\mathbf{t}$. The underlying complex and

[5] A complement of the vertical distribution inside the tangent bundle of the total space.

symplectic tori of this Abelian variety are given by $X_c(\mathcal{S}_x, J_x, \Lambda_x)$ and $X_s(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x)$, where X_c is defined in Appendix F. When x varies in N, these polarized Abelian varieties fit into a smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{X}_h(\boldsymbol{\Xi})$, whose fiber at $x \in N$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{X}_h(\boldsymbol{\Xi})_x = X_h(\mathcal{S}_x, J_x, \omega_x, \Lambda_x) \quad .$$

Forgetting the complex structure on the fibers of $\mathcal{X}_h(\boldsymbol{\Xi})$ produces the bundle of symplectic tori $\mathcal{X}_s(\boldsymbol{\Delta})$. As above, the connection D induces a complete integrable Ehresmann connection $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{\Xi}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_h(\boldsymbol{\Delta})$. The Ehresmann transport of $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}$ is through isomorphisms of *symplectic* tori, so it preserves the group structure and symplectic structure of the fibers but it need not preserve their complex structure. In particular, the holonomy group of $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 4.7. The fiber bundle with connection $(\mathcal{X}_h(\boldsymbol{\Xi}), \mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{\Xi}})$ is called the *bundle of polarized Abelian varieties* defined by the integral electromagnetic structure $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$.

4.3 Integral electromagnetic fields

Let (M, g) be a Lorentzian four-manifold and $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ be a Riemannian manifold. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{M})$ be a smooth map from M to $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Xi} = (\Xi, \Lambda)$ be an integral electromagnetic structure defined on $\mathcal{M}$, with underlying electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ and underlying duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$. Then the system $\boldsymbol{\Xi}^\varphi = (\Xi^\varphi, \Lambda^\varphi)$ is an electromagnetic structure on M, where Λ^φ is the φ-pullback of the fiber sub-bundle $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{S}$. We have $\Xi^\varphi = (\Delta^\varphi, J^\varphi)$, with $\Delta^\varphi = (\mathcal{S}^\varphi, D^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\Delta^\varphi, \Lambda^\varphi)$ denote the underlying integral duality structure.

The twisted de Rham theorem implies that the twisted de Rham cohomology space $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}^\varphi_D}(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ is naturally isomorphic (as a graded vector space) with the singular cohomology space $H^\bullet(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ with coefficients in the local system defined by $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, D^\varphi)$ (which in turn can be viewed as the sheaf cohomology of the sheaf $\mathfrak{S}^\varphi$ of flat local sections of $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, D^\varphi)$). We shall identify these cohomology spaces in what follows and denote them by $H(N, \Delta^\varphi)$:

$$H(M, \Delta^\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}_{D^\varphi}}(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi) \equiv H^\bullet(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi) \equiv H^\bullet(M, \mathfrak{S}^\varphi) \quad .$$

Let $H^\bullet(M, \boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi)$ be the twisted singular cohomology of M with coefficients in the $\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{sp}}_0$-valued local system $T_{\boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi}$ determined by the integral duality structure $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi$. Since $\mathcal{S}^\varphi = \Lambda^\varphi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, the coefficient sequence gives a map $j_* : H^\bullet(M, \boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi) \to H^\bullet(M, \Delta^\varphi)$, whose image is a lattice $H^\bullet_{\Lambda^\varphi}(M, \Delta^\varphi) \subset H^\bullet(M, \Delta^\varphi)$. We have $H^\bullet_{\Lambda^\varphi}(M, \Delta^\varphi) \simeq H^\bullet(M, \boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi)_{\mathrm{tf}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} H^\bullet(M, \boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi)/\mathrm{Tors}(H^\bullet(M, \boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi))$ and $H^\bullet(M, \Delta^\varphi) \simeq H^\bullet(M, \boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 4.8. An electromagnetic field $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ is called Λ^φ*-integral* if its D^φ-twisted cohomology class $[\mathcal{V}] \in H^2(M, \Delta^\varphi)$ belongs to $H^2_{\Lambda^\varphi}(M, \Delta^\varphi)$:

$$[\mathcal{V}] \in H^2_{\Lambda^\varphi}(M, \Delta^\varphi) = j_*(H^2(M, \boldsymbol{\Delta}^\varphi)) \quad . \tag{4.2}$$

The condition that $\mathcal{V}$ be integral is called the *(twisted) Dirac quantization condition* defined by the integral electromagnetic structure $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$.

4.4 Integral duality transformations and integral scalar-electromagnetic symmetries

Definition 4.9. An *integral scalar-duality structure* is a pair $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_0, \Lambda)$, where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} = (\Sigma, \Delta)$ is a scalar-duality structure and Λ is a Dirac system for Δ.

Definition 4.10. An *integral scalar-electromagnetic structure* is a pair $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} = (\mathcal{D}, \Lambda)$, where $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ is a scalar-electromagnetic structure and Λ is a Dirac system for the underlying duality structure Δ of Ξ.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} = (\mathcal{D}, \Lambda)$ be an integral scalar-electromagnetic structure with $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi, \mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$. Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$, $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ and $\Xi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ be the underlying scalar, duality and electromagnetic structures of $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Delta} = (\Delta, \Lambda)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Xi} = (\Xi, \Lambda)$ be the underlying integral duality structure and integral electromagnetic structure. Let $\mathcal{D}_0 = (\Sigma, \Delta)$ be the underlying scalar-duality structure and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_0 = (\mathcal{D}_0, \Lambda)$ be the underlying integral scalar-duality structure.

Definition 4.11. The *integral duality group* defined by the integral scalar-duality structure $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_0$ is the following subgroup of the duality group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) = \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}_{\Sigma}(\Delta)$:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_0) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) | f(\Lambda) = \Lambda\} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) \quad .$$

Elements of this group are called *integral duality transformations*.

Definition 4.12. The *integral scalar-electromagnetic group* defined by the integral scalar-electromagnetic structure $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}$ is the following subgroup of the scalar-electromagnetic group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}_{\Sigma}(\Xi)$:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) | f(\Lambda) = \Lambda\} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \quad .$$

Elements of this group are called *integral scalar-electromagnetic symmetries*.

Notice that $\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_0)$.

Remark. Consider the case when the duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is trivial. Then the parallel transport of D identifies the data encoded by the the integral duality structure $\boldsymbol{\Delta} = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega, \Lambda)$ with an integral symplectic space $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0, \Lambda_0)$, which we can take to be the fiber of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ at some fixed point of $\mathcal{M}$. In this case, it is easy to see that:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0, \Lambda_0) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \quad ,$$

where $\mathbf{t}$ is the type of $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0, \Lambda_0)$. This recovers statements familiar from the supergravity literature, which apply only to the case of trivial duality structures. Notice that most of the supergravity literature considers only the case of principal Dirac lattices.

4.5 Remarks on the space of semiclassical fields

Since $H^2_{\Lambda^\varphi}(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ does not capture the torsion part of the group $H^2(M, \Lambda^\varphi)$, the integrality condition (4.2) is weaker than the condition which is expected to constrain the semiclassical fields of the quantized Abelian gauge theory in the background metric g and background scalar field φ (when an appropriate quantization can be expected, such as when the space-time (M, g) is globally hyperbolic). In this case, the natural model for semiclassical fields is provided by a twisted version of differential cohomology. Since we plan to discuss this in a separate paper, we only make some brief remarks about this aspect.

Consider an integral symplectic space $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0, \Lambda_0)$ defined on N. One can define a version of differential cohomology valued in such objects, which satisfies a variant of the axioms of [23, 24]; an explicit construction can be given for example using Cheeger-Simons characters valued in the (affine) symplectic torus $\mathcal{S}_0/\Lambda_0$ defined by $(\mathcal{S}_0, \omega_0, \Lambda_0)$. This can be promoted to a twisted version $\check{H}^k(N,T)$, whereby the coefficient object is replaced by a local system $T : \Pi_1(N) \to \mathrm{Symp}_0^\times$. Given an integral electromagnetic structure $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ whose underlying integral duality structure $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ corresponds to the local system T, the correct model for the set of semiclassical Abelian gauge fields is provided by a certain subset of $\check{H}^2(N,T)$; the curvature $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{curv}(\alpha)$ of any element α of this subset is a polarized closed 2-form which satisfies the integrality condition (4.2). When (M,g) is globally hyperbolic, the initial value problem for the twisted electromagnetic field is well-posed by the results of [25] (because the twisted d'Alembert operator is normally hyperbolic) and restriction to a Cauchy hypersurface allows one to describe explicitly the space of semiclassical fields. In that case, one can use a version of the method of [29] to quantize the electromagnetic theory in a manner which reproduces this model for the space of semiclassical fields. It turns out that elements $\alpha \in \check{H}^2(N,T)$ classify affine symplectic T^{2n}-bundles[6] with connection. This allows one to represent $\mathcal{V}$ as the curvature of a connection on such a bundle, which gives the geometric interpretation of semiclassical Abelian gauge fields.

5 Global solutions and classical locally geometric U-folds

In this section we show that, when the duality structure is non-trivial, then general solutions of twisted ESM theories can be interpreted as locally geometric U-folds. We also discuss the case when $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial but the scalar-manifold is not simply-connected and D is a non-trivial flat connection (i.e. D has non-trivial holonomy).

5.1 U-fold interpretation of global solutions

Let M be a four-manifold and $\mathcal{D} = (\Sigma, \Xi)$ be a scalar-electromagnetic structure with underlying scalar structure $\Sigma = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}, \Phi)$ and underlying electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$. Let $(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) \in \mathrm{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ be a global solution of the ESM equations defined by $\mathcal{D}$ on M. Let $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ be a good open cover of $\mathcal{M}$. Since each $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is contractible, we can find a flat a symplectic local frame $\mathcal{E}_\alpha = (e_1^{(\alpha)} \dots e_n^{(\alpha)}, f_1^{(\alpha)} \dots f_n^{(\alpha)}) = (u_1^{(\alpha)} \dots u_{2n}^{(\alpha)})$ of $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ defined over $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$, which trivializes Δ over $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta$. When $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} \neq \emptyset$, we have $u_M^{(\beta)} =_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta}} (f_{\alpha\beta})^N{}_M u_N^{(\alpha)}$ for some uniquely-defined matrix $f_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, where we use the Einstein summation convention. When $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} = \emptyset$, we set $f_{\alpha\beta} = I_{2n}$. Then $(f_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha,\beta\in I}$ satisfy the cocycle conditions:

$$f_{\alpha\beta} f_{\beta\delta} =_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta\delta}} f_{\alpha\delta} \quad , \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta\delta} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \cap \mathcal{U}_\delta$. Let $U_\alpha \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. Then $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ is an open cover of M and $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^\varphi \overset{\text{def.}}{=} ((e_1^{(\alpha)})^\varphi, \dots, (e_n^{(\alpha)})^\varphi, (f_1^{(\alpha)})^\varphi, \dots, (f_n^{(\alpha)})^\varphi) = ((u_1^{(\alpha)})^\varphi, \dots, (u_{2n}^{(\alpha)})^\varphi)$ are flat symplectic local frames of $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, D^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ defined over U_α. Define:

$$(g_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\alpha) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (g|_{U_\alpha}, \varphi|_{U_\alpha}, \mathcal{V}|_{U_\alpha}) \in \mathrm{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}}(U_\alpha) \quad . \tag{5.2}$$

When $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} \neq \emptyset$, we have $U_{\alpha\beta} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta}) \neq \emptyset$ and:

$$(u_M^{(\beta)})^\varphi =_{U_{\alpha\beta}} (f_{\alpha\beta})^N{}_M (u_N^{(\alpha)})^\varphi \tag{5.3}$$

[6] Non-principal fiber bundles with fiber a symplectic $2n$-torus and whose structure group reduces to the affine symplectic group of such a torus.

as well as:

$$g_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} = g_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \ , \qquad \varphi_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} = \varphi_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \ \ , \ \ \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} = \mathcal{V}_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \ \ . \tag{5.4}$$

Expand:

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha = \mathcal{V}_\alpha^M \otimes (u_M^{(\alpha)})^\varphi = F_\alpha^i \otimes (e_i^{(\alpha)})^\varphi + G_\alpha^i \otimes (f_i^{(\alpha)})^\varphi \ \ , \ \ \text{with} \ \ \mathcal{V}_\alpha^M \in \Omega^2(U_\alpha) \ \ , \tag{5.5}$$

where we use Einstein summation over $M = 1 \dots 2n$ and $i = 1 \dots n$ and we set $F_\alpha^i \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{V}_\alpha^i$ and $G_\alpha^i \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{V}_\alpha^{i+n}$ for all $i = 1 \dots n$. Combining this with (5.3) gives:

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha^M = (f_{\alpha\beta})^M{}_N \mathcal{V}_\beta^N \ \ .$$

Let $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_\alpha^1 \\ \dots \\ \mathcal{V}_\alpha^{2n} \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega^2(U_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$. The relation above reads:

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha =_{U_{\alpha\beta}} f_{\alpha\beta} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\beta \ \ , \tag{5.6}$$

showing that when going from patch to patch one must perform a locally-defined duality transformation $f_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Thus global solutions are "glued" from local solutions using duality transformations.

Conversely, given a good open cover $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of M and elements $f_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the cocycle condition (5.1), any family of local solutions $(g_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\alpha) \in \mathrm{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}}(U_\alpha)$ (where $U_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$) which satisfies conditions (5.4) corresponds to a global scalar-electromagnetic solution $(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V})$ of the ESM theory of type $\mathcal{D}$. When the ESM theory is the bosonic sector of a four-dimensional supergravity, the elements $f_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ correspond to locally-defined U-duality transformations. These observations justify the following:

Definition 5.1. A *locally geometric classical U-fold of type* $\mathcal{D}$ defined on M is a global solution $(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) \in \mathrm{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ of the equations of motion of the ESM theory defined by $\mathcal{D}$ on M.

5.2 The case when $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial

Recall that a symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is called *symplectically trivial* if it admits a globally-defined symplectic frame. Symplectic triviality of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ implies topological triviality of $\mathcal{S}$, but the converse is *not* true. If P_0 denotes the principal $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$-bundle of frames of $\mathcal{S}$ and P denotes the principal $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$-bundle of symplectic frames of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, then $\mathcal{S}$ is topologically trivial iff P_0 is trivial while $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial iff P is trivial. Notice that P_0 is associated to P through the inclusion morphism $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. The symplectic frame bundle P reduces to a principal $\mathrm{U}(n)$-bundle upon choosing a taming on $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, two-different choices giving isomorphic reductions. This also follows from the fact that the groups $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{U}(n)$ are homotopy equivalent, the latter being a maximal compact form of the former. The Chern-classes $c_k(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ of a symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ are defined as the Chern classes of the unitary vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}, \omega, J)$, where J is any taming on $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$. A necessary (but generally not sufficient) condition that $(\mathcal{S}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ be symplectically trivial is that $c_k(\mathcal{S}, \omega) = 0$ for all $k = 1 \dots \dim \mathcal{M}$.

When $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \neq 1$ and $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial, there exist flat symplectic connections D on $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ which have non-trivial holonomy. Hence a duality structure $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ defined on a non-simply connected scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$ can be nontrivial even when $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial.

Let $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ be an electromagnetic structure defined on $\mathcal{M}$ such that $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically trivial and let $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \dots e_n, f_1 \dots f_n) = (u_1 \dots u_{2n})$ be a global symplectic frame of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$. Let $\hat{A} =$

$(A^M_N)_{M,N=1\ldots 2n} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{Mat}(2n,\mathbb{R}))$ be the matrix-valued connection 1-form of D with respect to $\mathcal{E}$, whose entries are defined through:

$$Du_M = A^N_M u_N \quad .$$

The condition that A is flat reads:

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{A} + \hat{A} \wedge \hat{A} = 0 \quad . \tag{5.7}$$

The matrix $\hat{J} = (J^M_N)_{M,N=1\ldots 2n}$ of the taming J with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ has entries defined through:

$$Ju_M = J^N_M u_N \quad .$$

Consider a solution $(g, \varphi, \mathcal{V}) \in \mathrm{Sol}_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$ of the ESM equations. Then the pulled-back symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ is symplectically trivial and $\mathcal{E}$ induces a global symplectic frame $\mathcal{E}^\varphi = (e^\varphi_1, \ldots, e^\varphi_n, f^\varphi_1, \ldots, f^\varphi_n) = (u^\varphi_1, \ldots, u^\varphi_{2n})$ of $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$. The matrix-valued connection 1-form $\hat{A}^\varphi \in \Omega^1(M, \mathrm{Mat}(2n,\mathbb{R}))$ of the pulled-back connection D^φ with respect to $\mathcal{E}^\varphi$ has entries:

$$(A^\varphi)^M_N = \varphi^*(A^M_N) \in \Omega^1(M) \quad ,$$

while the matrix $\hat{J}^\varphi$ of the pulled-back taming J^φ with respect to this frame has entries:

$$(J^\varphi)^M_N = J^M_N \circ \varphi \quad .$$

Writing:

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^M \otimes u^\varphi_M \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{V}^M \in \Omega^2(M) \tag{5.8}$$

shows that $\mathcal{V}$ is globally equivalent with the vector-valued 2-form $\hat{\mathcal{V}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}^1 \\ \ldots \\ \mathcal{V}^{2n} \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$. The polarization condition $*\mathcal{V} = -J^\varphi \mathcal{V}$ amounts to:

$$*\hat{\mathcal{V}} = -\hat{J}^\varphi \hat{\mathcal{V}}$$

while the electromagnetic equation takes the form:

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{\mathcal{V}} + \hat{A} \wedge \hat{\mathcal{V}} = 0 \quad .$$

We distinguish the cases:

1. When the duality structure $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is trivial, we can find a globally-defined *flat* symplectic frame of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, i.e. a global symplectic frame $\mathcal{E} = (u_1 \ldots u_{2n})$ such that $D(u_M) = 0$ for all $M = 1 \ldots 2n$. In this case, we have $\hat{A} = 0$ and the electromagnetic equations reduce to the condition that the vector-valued globally-defined one-form $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is closed.
2. When the duality structure $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is not trivial, $\hat{A}$ is nonzero with respect to any globally-defined symplectic frame $\mathcal{E}$ and hence $\hat{A}^\varphi$ will be nonzero if φ is generic enough. Thus $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ cannot, in general, be globally identified with a *closed* vector-valued 2-form defined on M.

Relation to the construction of [3, 4]. References [3, 4] consider the particular setting of the bosonic sector of *extended* ($\mathcal{N} \geq 2$) supergravity theories, taking the scalar manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ to be a *simply-connected* symmetric space of non-compact type (which therefore is contractible [7]) of the form $\mathcal{M} = G/H$. In this case, we have $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}) = G$. The assumption that $\mathcal{M}$ is contractible insures that the principal H-bundle P_H defined over $\mathcal{M}$ by the natural projection $\pi : G \to \mathcal{M} = G/H$ is trivial, and hence there exists a global smooth choice of coset representatives, i.e a smooth global section of P_H (such a section is denoted L in [3, eq. (3.2)] and [4, eq. (3.2)]). This assumption also allows loc. cit. to use globally-defined coordinates and thus to ignore all topological aspects. For that particular case, any duality structure is necessarily trivial since $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) = 1$. This means that the flat symplectic connection D has trivial holonomy in the situation of loc. cit., being gauge-equivalent to the trivial connection. Hence even the very special setting discussed in the present subsection is more general than that considered in loc. cit. This is because a symplectically trivial duality structure on a scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$ which is *not* simply connected need not be trivial, since its flat connection D may have non-trivial holonomy. When $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \neq 1$, there generally exists an infinity of gauge-equivalence classes of non-trivial flat connections, to which the construction of [3, 4] cannot apply globally unless it is first modified using the results of the present paper.

Conditions for symplectic triviality of $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$. The pulled-back bundle $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ may be symplectically trivial even when $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ is symplectically non-trivial. In this case, the twisted Abelian gauge theory defined on (M, g) by the pulled-back electromagnetic structure $\Xi^\varphi = (\mathcal{S}^\varphi, D^\varphi, J^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ can be described as a theory of vector-valued 2-forms $\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}^1 \\ \dots \\ \mathcal{V}^{2n} \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$, where $\mathcal{V}^M$ are the coefficients of $\mathcal{V}$ in a globally-defined symplectic frame $\mathbf{u}_1 \dots \mathbf{u}_{2n}$ of $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$ (which need not be the pull-back of a symplectic frame of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$):

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^M \otimes \mathbf{u}_M \quad .$$

Most of the formulas above apply, except that A^φ and J^φ are no longer the pull-back of objects defined on $\mathcal{M}$. Every symplectic vector bundle over a (not-necessarily compact) four-manifold is associated to a U(2) principal bundle [31]. Thus $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ is symplectically trivial iff its first and second Chern classes vanish. Since $c_k(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi) = \varphi^*(c_k(\mathcal{S}, \omega))$, this amounts to the conditions:

$$\varphi^*(c_1(\mathcal{S}, \omega)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^*(c_2(\mathcal{S}, \omega)) = 0 \quad . \tag{5.9}$$

Let us give some sufficient conditions for symplectic triviality of $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$. Recall that an electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (S, D, J, \omega)$ defined on M is unitary iff the parallel transport of D preserves J, which means that $(\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ is a flat Hermitian vector bundle. Accordingly, the holonomy bundle of $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is a flat principal $\mathrm{U}(n)$-bundle.

Definition 5.2. A unitary electromagnetic structure $\Xi = (S, D, J, \omega)$ defined on $\mathcal{M}$ is called *reduced* if the structure group of its holonomy bundle reduces to U(2).

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that $H^*(M, \mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion. Then the φ-pull-back of a reduced unitary electromagnetic structure defined over $\mathcal{M}$ is symplectically trivial.*

[7] As explained in [13], the symmetric spaces traditionally considered in the extended supergravity literature are contractible, being diffeomorphic with $\mathbb{R}^N$ for some positive integer $N > 0$.

Proof. Let $\Xi = (\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ be a reduced unitary electromagnetic structure defined on $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\Xi^\varphi = (\mathcal{S}^\varphi, D^\varphi, J^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ is associated to a flat principal U(2)-bundle defined over M. By Chern-Weil theory, this implies that the first two Chern classes of $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ are pure torsion, while the higher Chern classes vanish. Since $H^*(M, \mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion, it follows that conditions (5.9) are satisfied. □

Proposition 5.4. *Assume that M is non-compact and that $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ admits a real Lagrangian subbundle. Then $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ is symplectically trivial.*

Proof. Since $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ admits a Lagrangian subbundle, by [8, Proposition 3.1.6.] the symplectic frame bundle of $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ admits a reduction to a $\mathrm{O}(n)$-principal bundle. By [8, Proposition 4.4.6.], the odd-dimensional Chern-classes of $(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ are zero and thus $c_1(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi) = 0$. On the other hand, $c_2(\mathcal{S}^\varphi, \omega^\varphi)$ vanishes since $H^4(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by non-compactness of M. □

6 Relation to the literature

The fact that flat symplectic vector bundles arise in four-dimensional *extended* supergravity theories (theories with $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ local supersymmetry) is known since the work of [10–12] on (projective) special Kähler geometry. In the situation of references [10–12], one deals with an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory coupled to scalars and to Abelian gauge fields and the duality structure $\Delta = (\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ relevant to loc. cit. is highly constrained by other data due to supersymmetry.

In contrast to the above, the theory considered in the present paper is a *purely bosonic* sigma model coupled to gravity and to Abelian gauge fields and the duality structure Δ is arbitrarily chosen and introduced without any need to couple the model to fermions and without any requirement of supersymmetry. Such a generalization of the ordinary Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell theory is allowed because Abelian gauge theories defined on oriented Lorentzian four-manifolds can be "twisted" by a flat symplectic vector bundle — a fact which has nothing to do with fermions or supersymmetry. A generally non-trivial duality structure structure *must* in fact be present in the purely bosonic theory, if one wishes to view $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity as a particular case of $\mathcal{N} = 0$ theories. The models considered in this paper provide a wide generalization of the traditional ESM theory (which corresponds to the case of trivial duality structures). To our knowledge, this extension of Einstein-Scalar-Maxwell theory was not studied systematically before. As we showed in the present paper, our generalized models possess some of the features and structures which were noticed previously in models with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. In particular, the Dirac quantization condition produces a smooth bundle of polarized Abelian varieties defined over the scalar manifold, a feature which does not require any coupling to fermions and hence is unrelated to supersymmetry.

The crucial object allowing for a general and frame-free formulation of our models is the taming J of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$, which encodes the gauge couplings and theta angles of the Abelian gauge theory in a manner that makes no mention of any choice of local flat symplectic frame. Picking such a local frame $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ (supported on a simply-connected open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{M}$) allows one to *locally* encode the taming using a function $\tau^{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{SH}_n$ valued in the Siegel upper half space, whose entries $\tau^{\mathcal{E}}_{ij}$ are the gauge-kinetic functions appearing in references such as [2–4] (which discuss only the case of extended supergravity theories with trivial duality structure). Unlike the taming J, the gauge-kinetic functions depend on the local frame $\mathcal{E}$, so they are both frame-dependent and only locally defined. The reliance of the supergravity literature on the gauge-kinetic functions forces the traditional formulation to be frame-dependent and thus not truly geometric. That formulation cannot work globally unless the duality structure $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$

is trivial, since a globally-defined flat symplectic frame only exists in that case. The relation with the construction of [3, 4] is discussed briefly in Subsection 5.2.

Two Lie groups play an important role in the general theory, namely the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}_D \subset \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ of the flat symplectic connection D and the group of duality transformations $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$. The nature of these groups depends markedly on whether or not the duality structure is trivial, showing one difference between the generalized theories constructed in this paper and those traditionally considered in the supergravity literature:

(a) In the traditional case of a trivial duality structure Δ_0 of rank $2n$, we have $\mathrm{Hol}_D = 1$ and the classical duality group is $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\Delta_0)$. We have $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta_0) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_0,\omega_0) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$, where $(\mathcal{S}_0,\omega_0)$ is the typical fiber of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$. When passing to the semiclassical theory, the Dirac system Λ can be identified with a lattice $\Lambda_0 \subset \mathcal{S}_0$, which is the Dirac lattice of the traditional model. Accordingly, the integral duality structure $\boldsymbol{\Delta} = (\Delta_0,\Lambda)$ of the semiclassical theory can be identified with the integral symplectic vector space $(\mathcal{S}_0,\omega_0,\Lambda_0)$, whose type we denote by $\mathbf{t}$. The semiclassical duality group is the group of integral duality transformations $\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_0) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})$, where $\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_0,\omega_0,\Lambda_0) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$ is the group consisting of those symplectomorphisms of $(\mathcal{S}_0,\omega_0)$ which stabilize the Dirac lattice Λ_0. In the principal case $\mathbf{t} = \boldsymbol{\delta}(n)$, this subgroup is isomorphic with $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$, while in general it is a modified Siegel modular group.

(b) The situation is much more complicated when the duality structure Δ is non-trivial. In this case, we have $\mathrm{Hol}_D \neq 1$ and the classical duality group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ *does not* decompose as a product of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$ need *not* be a full symplectic group. The structure of the groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ depends on the holonomy representation $\mathrm{hol}_D : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$. In the semiclassical theory, the holonomy group Hol_D for an integral duality structure $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ of type $\mathbf{t}$ must be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_0)$ of semiclassical duality transformations can be quite different from $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$.

It should be clear from these remarks that our models behave quite differently from those considered traditionally in the supergravity literature. These theories may seem exotic even in the simple case when $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ is symplectically trivial but the flat symplectic connection D has non-trivial holonomy, as illustrated in Subsection 5.2.

7 Conclusions and further directions

We gave a globally-valid, coordinate-free and frame-free formulation of four-dimensional bosonic sigma models coupled to gravity and to Abelian gauge fields for the general case when the duality structure of the Abelian gauge theory is described by a non-trivial flat symplectic vector bundle $(\mathcal{S},D,\omega)$ defined over the scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}$. We showed that such a model is naturally described using a taming J of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$, which encodes the inverse gauge couplings and theta angles of the Abelian gauge theory in a globally well-defined and frame-free manner. We discussed the groups of duality transformations and electro-magnetic symmetries of such models, which involve lifting isometries of the scalar manifold to the bundle $\mathcal{S}$. We also gave the mathematical formulation of Dirac quantization for such theories, which involves certain discrete local systems and leads to a smooth bundle of polarized Abelian varieties defined over $\mathcal{M}$. We also showed that global classical solutions of such models are classical locally geometric U-folds.

Our generalized formulation of the Abelian gauge sector involves a twist by the duality structure and treats that sector as a twisted version of a theory of self-dual forms. As we will show in a different publication, this allows one to approach the quantization of that sector by adapting methods used in

the theory of self-dual form fields [26–29]. Among other aspects, this involves a version of differential cohomology twisted by local coefficients.

The coupled system consisting of equations (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20) can be approached from various points of view. For example, it would be interesting to study the initial value problem for this system. One could also use it to construct classical U-fold solutions and to study their general properties. One advantage of our approach it that it allows one to address various questions regarding this class of U-folds using the well-developed tools of global and geometric analysis.

We comment briefly on the construction of solutions with non-trivial duality structure. As pointed out in [13] (where some simple examples were given), it is easy to construct examples of non-simply connected scalar manifolds $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ by considering quotients $\mathcal{M}_0/\Gamma$ of simply-connected scalar manifolds $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{G}_0)$ through discrete subgroups Γ of the isometry group $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{G}_0)$. Non-trivial duality structures on such quotients arise from symplectic representations of Γ. When starting from a simply-connected scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}_0$ endowed with a scalar potential $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathbb{R})$, one can take Γ to be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{G}_0, \Phi_0)$. This insures that Φ_0 descends to a scalar potential Φ on $\mathcal{M}$. As explained in loc. cit., the most interesting examples of U-fold solutions are those for which the space-time also has non-trivial fundamental group. Such space-times can also be produced through quotient constructions, being generic among Lorentzian four-manifolds which satisfy the usual causality conditions. When (M, g) is globally-hyperbolic with a Cauchy hypersurface C, we have $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(C)$, so it suffices to consider situations when C is not simply connected. It is clear from these observations that classical U-folds of the type described in this paper are abundant.

As we will show in separate paper, the models constructed here can be extended further to a class of theories which afford a general, globally-geometric description of classical locally-geometric U-folds in four dimensions. The present paper is part of a larger program aimed at understanding the global geometric structure of supergravity theories. As pointed out in [21, 22], this involves clarifying not only the global structure of the bosonic sector (for which the work presented here constitutes one step) but also addressing a number of questions in spin geometry, which have remained largely unexplored until recently.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Tomás Ortin for discussions and correspondence. The work of C. I. L. is supported by grant IBS-R003-S1. The work of C.S.S. is supported by the ERC Starting Grant 259133 Observable String.

A Tamings and positive polarizations of symplectic vector spaces

We recall some well-known facts regarding tamings of symplectic vector spaces and positive polarizations of their complexifications (see [8, 9]).

A.1 Period matrices of complex vector spaces

Let V be an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of real dimension $2n$ and $J \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ be a complex structure on V (thus $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}_S$). This makes V into a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ upon using the multiplication of scalars defined through:

$$(\alpha + \mathbf{i}\beta)\xi \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \alpha\xi + \beta J\xi \quad , \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall \xi \in V \quad .$$

Consider a basis $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \dots e_{2n})$ of V over $\mathbb{R}$ and a basis $\mathcal{W} = (w_1 \dots w_n)$ of (V, J) over $\mathbb{C}$; thus $(w_1, \dots w_n, Jw_1, \dots, Jw_n)$ is a basis of V over $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition A.1. The *fundamental matrix* of (V, J) with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ is the matrix $\Pi := \Pi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{W}} \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, 2n, \mathbb{C})$ defined through:

$$e_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Pi_{k,\alpha} w_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [(\mathrm{Re}\Pi_{k,\alpha}) w_k + (\mathrm{Im}\Pi_{k,\alpha}) J w_k] \quad (\alpha = 1 \dots 2n) \quad . \tag{A.1}$$

Notice that Π has rank n as a complex matrix, i.e. its columns are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that this amounts to the condition that the determinant of square matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \Pi \\ \bar{\Pi} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}(2n, 2n, \mathbb{C})$ is non-zero. Let:

$$\Lambda \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{m_1 e_1 + \ldots + m_{2n} e_n | m_1, \dots, m_{2n} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

be the full lattice spanned by $\mathcal{E}$ in V. The $\Pi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ is the period matrix of the complex torus $X_c(V, J, \Lambda)$ determined by the integral complex vector space (V, J, Λ), computed with respect to the integral basis $\mathcal{E}$ of Λ and the complex basis $\mathcal{W}$ of (V, J) (see [33]).

A.2 Symplectic spaces

By definition, a *symplectic space* is a finite-dimensional symplectic vector space (V, ω) defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Given two symplectic spaces (V_1, ω_1) and (V_2, ω_2) over $\mathbb{R}$, a *symplectic morphism* from (V_1, ω_1) to (V_2, ω_2) is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $f : V_1 \to V_2$ such that $\omega_2(f(\xi_1), f(\xi_2)) = \omega_1(\xi_1, \xi_2)$. Such a map is necessarily injective ($\ker f = 0$) but it need not be surjective. Symplectic vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ and symplectic morphisms form a category denoted Symp. Given a symplectic space (V, ω), let $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega)$ denote its group of automorphisms in the category Symp:

$$\mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \omega) | \omega \circ (f \otimes f) = \omega\} \quad ;$$

the elements of this group are called *symplectomorphisms of* (V, ω). We set $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega_n)$. Notice that $\dim \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) = n(2n+1)$.

A linear transformation $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ is symplectic with respect to ω iff its matrix $M := M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ in the symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies the condition:

$$M^T \Omega_n M = \Omega_n \quad , \tag{A.2}$$

whose solution set inside $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ equals the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Recall that the entries of $M = (M_{\alpha,\beta})_{\alpha,\beta=1\dots 2n}$, are defined through the relations:

$$\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{ji} e_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{n+ji} f_i \quad , \quad \varphi(f_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{jn+i} e_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{n+j,n+i} f_j \quad , \tag{A.3}$$

which take the form $\varphi(\mathcal{E}) = M^T \mathcal{E}$ upon viewing $\mathcal{E}$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{E}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (\varphi(e_1) \dots \varphi(e_n), \varphi(f_1), \dots \varphi(f_n))$ as a column vectors. We have:

$$M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2) = M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi_1) M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi_2) = M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi_2) M_{\varphi_2(\mathcal{E})}(\varphi_1) \quad \forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega) \quad .$$

and:

$$M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi^{-1}) = M_{\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{E})}(\varphi)^{-1} \quad .$$

Writing:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \quad , \tag{A.4}$$

where A, B, C, D are real square matrices of size n, relations (A.3) become:

$$\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ji} e_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ji} f_j \quad , \quad \varphi(f_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{ji} e_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ji} f_j \quad . \tag{A.5}$$

Equations (A.2) amount to the conditions:

$$A^T C = C^T A \quad , \quad B^T D = D^T B \quad , \quad A^T D - C^T B = I_n \quad ,$$

which are equivalent with:

$$AB^T = BA^T \quad , \quad CD^T = DC^T \quad , \quad AD^T - BC^T = I_n \quad .$$

Given $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, each of the following matrices is again an element of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$:

$$M^T = \begin{bmatrix} A^T & C^T \\ B^T & D^T \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} D^T & -B^T \\ -C^T & A^T \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad M^{-T} = \begin{bmatrix} D & -C \\ -B & A \end{bmatrix} \quad . \tag{A.6}$$

A.3 Tamed symplectic spaces

The category of tamed symplectic spaces. Let (V, ω) be a symplectic space of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2n$. A complex structure $J \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ ($J^2 = -\mathrm{id}_V$) is called *compatible with* ω if $\omega(Jv_1, Jv_2) = \omega(v_1, v_2)$ for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$. Given such a complex structure, the $\mathbb{R}$-valued bilinear pairing $Q := Q_{J,\omega} : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ defined through:

$$Q(v_1, v_2) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \omega(Jv_1, v_2) = -\omega(v_1, Jv_2) \quad , \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V \tag{A.7}$$

is symmetric, non-degenerate and J-compatible, the latter meaning that it satisfies $Q(Jv_1, Jv_2) = Q(v_1, v_2)$ for all v_1, v_2 in V.

Definition A.2. We say that J is a *taming*[8] of ω if $Q_{J,\omega}$ is positive-definite (and hence a Euclidean scalar product on V). In this case, the triple (V, J, ω) is called a *tamed symplectic space*.

Definition A.3. Let (V_1, J_1, ω_1) and (V_2, J_2, ω_2) be two tamed symplectic spaces. An $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $f : V_1 \to V_2$ is called a *morphism of tamed symplectic spaces* if the following conditions hold:

1. f is a morphism of symplectic spaces, i.e.:

$$\omega_2(f(\xi_1), f(\xi_2)) = \omega_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) \quad , \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in V_1$$

2. f is a morphism of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces, i.e.:

$$J_2 \circ f = f \circ J_1 \quad .$$

With this definition, tamed symplectic spaces form a category denoted TamedSymp.

Remark. References [8, 9] use a different convention for the definition of Q and h, which is related to ours by replacing ω with $-\omega$. Our convention for Q and h agrees with that of [33].

[8] Also known as a positive ω-compatible complex structure.

Relation to the category of Hermitian spaces. By definition, a *Hermitian space* is a finite-dimensional Hermitian vector space. We view any Hermitian space as a triple (V, J, h), where V is the underlying real vector space, $J \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ is the complex structure of V and $h : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ is the Hermitian pairing. Then a morphism of Hermitian spaces $f : (V_1, J_1, h_1) \to (V_2, J_2, h_2)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $f : V_1 \to V_2$ such that $f \circ J_1 = J_2 \circ f$ and such that $h_2(f(\xi_1), f(\xi_2)) = h_1(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in V_1$. Notice that such a morphism is necessarily injective ($\ker f = 0$). Let Herm denote the category of Hermitian spaces and morphisms of such. Consider the functors $F : \mathrm{TamedSymp} \to \mathrm{Herm}$ and $G : \mathrm{Herm} \to \mathrm{TamedSymp}$ defined as follows:

- Definition of F. Given a tamed symplectic space (V, J, ω), the map $h_{J,\omega} : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ given by:

$$h_{J,\omega}(v_1, v_2) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} Q_{J,\omega}(v_1, v_2) + \mathbf{i}\omega(v_1, v_2) = \omega(Jv_1, v_2) + \mathbf{i}\omega(v_1, v_2) \quad , \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V \tag{A.8}$$

  is a Hermitian scalar product on the complex vector space (V, J) (complex-linear in the first variable) such that $\mathrm{Re}h_{J,\omega} = Q_{J,\omega}$ and $\mathrm{Im}h_{J,\omega} = \omega$. If $f : (V_1, \omega_1, J_1) \to (V_2, J_2, \omega_2)$ is a morphism of tamed symplectic spaces, then f is also a morphism of Hermitian vector spaces from $(V_1, J_1, h_{J_1,\omega_1})$ to $(V_2, J_2, h_{J_2,\omega_2})$. By definition, the functor F takes a tamed symplectic space (V, J, ω) into the Hermitian space $F(V, J, \omega) = (V, J, h_{J,\omega})$ and the a morphism of tamed symplectic spaces $f : (V_1, J_1, \omega_1) \to (V_2, J_2, \omega_2)$ into the morphism of Hermitian spaces $F(f) = f : (V_1, J_1, h_{J_1,\omega_1}) \to (V_2, J_2, h_{J_2,\omega_2})$.

- Definition of G. Given a Hermitian space (V, J, h), the Hermitian pairing h determines a symplectic pairing $\omega_h \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Im}h$ and a Euclidean scalar product $Q_h \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Re}h$ on V which satisfy (A.7). A morphism of Hermitian spaces $f : (V_1, J_1, h_1) \to (V_2, J_2, h_2)$ is also a morphism of tamed symplectic spaces $f : (V_1, J_1, \omega_{h_1}) \to (V_2, J_2, \omega_{h_2})$. The functor F sends a Hermitian space (V, J, h) into the tamed symplectic space $G(V, J, h) = (V, J, \omega_h)$ and a morphism f of Hermitian spaces into the morphism $G(f) = f$ of tamed symplectic spaces.

The proof of the following statement is immediate:

Proposition A.4. *The functors F and G are mutually quasi-inverse, thus giving and equivalence of categories between* TamedSymp *and* Herm.

Remark. Notice that $h_{J,\omega}$ is completely determined by the J-compatible Euclidean scalar product $Q_{J,\omega}$, since $\omega = \mathrm{Im}h_{J,\omega}$ can be recovered as $\omega(v_1, v_2) = Q_{J,\omega}(v_1, Jv_2)$.

Consider tamed symplectic space (V, J, ω) with associated Hermitian space $F(V, J, \omega) = (V, J, h_{J,\omega})$. Define $\mathrm{Aut}(V, J, \omega)$ as the automorphism group of (V, J, ω) in the category TamedSymp:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V, J, \omega) = \{f \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega) | f \circ J = J \circ f\} \quad .$$

Let $\mathrm{U}(V, J, h_{J,\omega})$ be the unitary group of $(V, J, h_{J,\omega})$, which is its automorphism group in the category Herm. Then the equivalence of categories discussed above gives the equality:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V, J, \omega) = \mathrm{U}(V, J, h_{J,\omega}) \quad .$$

The space of tamings of a symplectic space. Let (V, ω) be a symplectic space and $\mathfrak{J}_+(V, \omega)$ denote the set of all tamings of (V, ω). Let $J \in \mathfrak{J}_+(V, \omega)$ be a taming and $Q \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} Q_{J,\omega}$, $h \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} h_{J,\omega}$. A symplectomorphism of (V, ω) commutes with J iff it preserves Q, in which case it also preserves h. Thus:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V, J, \omega) = \mathrm{U}(V, J, h) = \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega) \cap \mathrm{O}(V, Q) = \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega) | A \circ J = J \circ A\} \quad .$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}(V,J,\omega)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)$. The adjoint action $\mathrm{Ad}:\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)\to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V))$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)$:

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\varphi)(A)\stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=}\varphi\circ A\circ\varphi^{-1}\quad\forall\varphi\in\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)\quad\forall A\in\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)\,,$$

preserves the set of tamings of $\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$, on which it induces a smooth action which we denote by $\mathrm{Ad}_0:\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)\to\mathrm{Diff}(\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega))$:

$$\mathrm{Ad}_0(\varphi)\stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=}\mathrm{Ad}(\varphi)|_{\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)}\quad.$$

It is known [8] that Ad_0 is transitive, with isotropy subgroup at $J\in\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$ given by $\mathrm{Aut}(V,J,\omega)$. This gives a homogeneous space presentation:

$$\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)\simeq\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(n)\quad. \tag{A.9}$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$ is a non-compact irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of type III in Cartan's classification; in particular, $\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$ is a simply-connected Kähler manifold of complex dimension:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)=\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)\quad.$$

Notice that $\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$ is diffeomorphic with $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)}$ and hence contractible.

Characterization of tamings using a basis. Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ of dimension $2n$. Let ω be a symplectic pairing on V and J be a complex structure on V. Let $\mathcal{E}=(e_1\dots e_{2n})$ be a real basis of V and $\mathcal{W}=(w_1\dots w_n)$ be a complex basis of (V,J). Let $\Pi\stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=}\Pi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ be the fundamental matrix of (V,J) with respect to the bases $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{W}$. Let $A\in\mathrm{Mat}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ be the matrix of ω with respect to the real basis $\mathcal{E}$:

$$A_{\alpha\beta}\stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=}\omega(e_\alpha,e_\beta)\quad\forall\alpha,\beta=1\dots 2n\quad.$$

The following version of Riemann bilinear relations gives the condition for J to be a taming of (V,ω):

Proposition A.5. *The following statements hold:*

I. J is compatible with ω iff the following relation holds:

$$\Pi A^{-1}\Pi^T=0 \tag{A.10}$$

II. J is a taming of (V,ω) iff (A.10) holds and the real matrix:

$$\mathbf{i}\Pi A^{-1}\Pi^\dagger \tag{A.11}$$

is strictly positive definite.

Proof. The proof is identical to that of [33, Theorem 4.2.1.]. □

The modular matrix of a tamed symplectic space. Recall that the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{SH}_n$ is defined through:

$$\mathbb{SH}_n\stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=}\{\tau\in\mathrm{Mat}_s(n,\mathbb{C})|\mathrm{Im}\tau\text{ is strictly positive definite}\}\quad,$$

where $\mathrm{Mat}_s(n,\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all square and symmetric complex-valued matrices of size n. When endowed with the natural complex structure induced from the affine space $\mathrm{Mat}_s(n,\mathbb{C})$, the space $\mathbb{SH}_n$

is a complex manifold of complex dimension $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ which is biholomorphic with the simply-connected bounded complex domain:

$$\{Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_s(n,\mathbb{C}) | I - \bar{Z}Z^T > 0\} \quad .$$

A symplectic basis of (V,ω) is a basis of the form $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \dots e_n, f_1 \dots f_n)$, whose elements satisfy the conditions:

$$\omega(e_i,e_j) = \omega(f_i,f_j) = 0 \quad , \quad \omega(e_i,f_j) = -\omega(f_i,e_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad ,$$

which state that matrix of ω in such a basis equals the antisymmetric matrix (0.2).

Proposition A.6. *Let $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \dots e_n, f_1 \dots f_n)$ be a symplectic basis of (V,ω). Then the vectors $\mathcal{E}_2 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (f_1,\dots,f_n)$ form a basis of the complex vector space (V,J) over $\mathbb{C}$ and the fundamental matrix of $(\mathcal{S},J)$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ has the form:*

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}_2} = [\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}, I_n] \quad , \tag{A.12}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathrm{Mat}(n,n,\mathbb{C})$ is a complex-valued square matrix of size n. Moreover, J is a taming of (V,ω) iff $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathcal{E}}$ belongs to $\mathbb{SH}_n$. In this case, the matrix of the Hermitian form h with respect to the basis $\mathcal{E}_2$ equals $(\mathrm{Im}\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}$.

Proof. Let W denote the span of $f_1,\dots,f_n$ over $\mathbb{R}$, which is a Lagrangian subspace of (V,ω). For any $x \in W \cap J(W)$, we have $Jx \in W$ and hence $Q(x,x) = \omega(x,Jx) = 0$, which implies $x = 0$. Thus $W \cap J(W) = 0$, which implies that $\mathcal{E}_2$ is a basis of (V,J) over $\mathcal{E}$. It is clear that the fundamental matrix $\Pi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}_2}$ has the form (A.12) for some complex-valued matrix $\boldsymbol{\tau} := \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathrm{Mat}(n,n,\mathbb{C})$. The fact that J is a taming of (V,ω) iff $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ belongs to $\mathbb{SH}_n$ follows from Proposition A.5. Relation (A.8) gives:

$$h(f_i,f_j) = \omega(f_i,Jf_j)$$

Using this and (A.1), we compute:

$$\omega(e_i,f_j) = (\mathrm{Im}\boldsymbol{\tau})_{ik}\omega(f_k,Jf_j) = h(f_j,f_k)(\mathrm{Im}\boldsymbol{\tau})_{ki} \quad .$$

Since $\omega(e_i,f_j) = \delta_{ij}$, this implies that the matrix of h in the basis $\mathcal{E}_2$ equals $(\mathrm{Im}\boldsymbol{\tau})^{-1}$. □

Definition A.7. Let $(\mathcal{S},J,\omega)$ be a tamed symplectic space and $\mathcal{E}$ be a symplectic basis of (V,ω). The fundamental matrix $\Pi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}_2}$ is called the *canonical fundamental matrix* of $(\mathcal{S},J,\omega)$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$, while $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathbb{SH}_n$ is called the *modular matrix* of $(\mathcal{S},J,\omega)$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$.

A.4 The modular map of a symplectic space relative to a symplectic basis

Let (V,ω) be a $2n$-dimensional symplectic space and $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \dots e_n, f_1 \dots f_n)$ be a symplectic basis of (V,ω).

Definition A.8. The *modular map* of (V,ω) relative to the symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$ is the map $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}} : \mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega) \to \mathbb{SH}_n$ which associates to a taming J the modular matrix $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathcal{E}}(J)$ of the tamed symplectic space (V,J,ω).

Proposition A.5 implies that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is a bijection from $\mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$ to the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{SH}_n$. Using (A.9), this gives the homogeneous space presentation:

$$\mathbb{SH}^n \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(n) \quad .$$

The inverse of the modular map is given explicitly as follows:

Proposition A.9. *Let $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_R + i\boldsymbol{\tau}_I \in \mathbb{SH}_n$ be a point of the Siegel upper half space, where $\boldsymbol{\tau}_R, \boldsymbol{\tau}_I \in \mathrm{Mat}_s(n,n,\mathbb{R})$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$:*

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_R \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Re}\boldsymbol{\tau} \quad , \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_I \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Im}\boldsymbol{\tau} \quad .$$

Then the matrix of the taming $J(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ in the symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$ is given by:

$$\hat{J}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_R & \boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1} \\ -\boldsymbol{\tau}_I - \boldsymbol{\tau}_R\boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_R & -\boldsymbol{\tau}_R\boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad . \tag{A.13}$$

Proof. We have:

$$\hat{J} = \begin{bmatrix} K & P \\ Q & R \end{bmatrix} \quad , \tag{A.14}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} Je_i &= K_{ji}e_j + Q_{ji}f_j \\ Jf_i &= P_{ji}e_j + R_{ji}f_j \quad , \end{aligned}$$

and we use Einstein summation over $j = 1 \dots n$. Since the canonical fundamental matrix in the basis $\mathcal{E}$ has the form $\Pi = [\boldsymbol{\tau}, I_n]$, we have:

$$e_i = (\boldsymbol{\tau}_R)_{ij}f_j + (\boldsymbol{\tau}_I)_{ij}Jf_j \quad ,$$

which gives:

$$Jf_i = (\boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1})_{ij}e_j - (\boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_R)_{ij}f_j$$

and:

$$Je_i = (\boldsymbol{\tau}_R)_{ij}Jf_j - (\boldsymbol{\tau}_I)_{ij}f_j = (\boldsymbol{\tau}_R\boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1})_{ij}e_j - (\boldsymbol{\tau}_I + \boldsymbol{\tau}_R\boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_R)_{ij}f_j \quad .$$

Thus $K = \boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_R$, $Q = -\boldsymbol{\tau}_I - \boldsymbol{\tau}_R\boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_R$, $P = \boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1}$ and $R = -\boldsymbol{\tau}_R\boldsymbol{\tau}_I^{-1}$. □

Remark. The matrix $\hat{J}$ satisfies the relations:

$$\hat{J}^2 = -I_{2n} \quad , \quad \hat{J}^t\Omega_n\hat{J} = \Omega_n \quad ,$$

which are equivalent with:

$$\hat{J}^2 = -I_{2n} \quad , \quad \hat{J}^t = \Omega_n\hat{J}\Omega_n \quad ,$$

where we used the relation $\Omega_n^2 = -I_{2n}$. The proposition implies that any matrix $\hat{J} \in \mathrm{Mat}(2n,2n,\mathbb{R})$ satisfying these relations has the form (A.13) for some uniquely-determined complex matrix $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_R + \mathbf{i}\boldsymbol{\tau}_I$ belonging to $\mathbb{SH}_n$. Conversely, the matrix (A.13) satisfies these relations for any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{SH}_n$.

Equivariance properties of the modular map. Let $\mu : \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{SH}_n)$ denote the modular action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ on the Siegel upper half space, i.e. the action through matrix fractional transformations:

$$\mu(M)(\boldsymbol{\tau}) := M \bullet \boldsymbol{\tau} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (A\boldsymbol{\tau} + B)(C\boldsymbol{\tau} + D)^{-1} \quad \forall M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{SH}_n \quad . \tag{A.15}$$

The group $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)$ acts freely and transitively on the space $\mathrm{SB}(V,\omega)$ of symplectic bases of (V,ω) as follows:

$$\varphi(\mathcal{E}) = (\varphi(e_1), \dots \varphi(e_n), \varphi(f_1), \varphi(f_n)) \quad , \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega) \quad , \quad \forall \mathcal{E} = (e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathrm{SB}(V,\omega) \quad .$$

Proposition A.10. *For any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\varphi(\mathcal{E})}(J) &= M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)^T \bullet \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(J) \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathrm{Ad}_0(\varphi)(J)) &= M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi^{-1})^T \bullet \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(J) \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

where $M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ is the matrix of φ in the symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$. In particular, the modular map is invariant under the diagonal action:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\varphi(\mathcal{E})}(\mathrm{Ad}_0(\varphi)(J)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(J) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega) \quad .$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi(\mathcal{E})$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathcal{E}}(J)$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathcal{E}'}(J)$. Setting $M \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$, we have $\mathcal{E}' = M^T\mathcal{E}$, where M has the form (A.4). Using relations (A.6), this gives $e' = A^Te + C^Tf = (A^T\boldsymbol{\tau} + C^T)f$. On the other hand, we have $e' = \boldsymbol{\tau}'f' = \boldsymbol{\tau}'(B^Te + D^Tf) = \boldsymbol{\tau}'(B^T\boldsymbol{\tau} + D^T)f$. Comparing these two expressions gives:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}' = (A^T\boldsymbol{\tau} + C^T)(B^T\boldsymbol{\tau} + D^T)^{-1} = M^T \bullet \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad ,$$

which shows that the first relation in (A.16) holds. The matrix of $\mathrm{Ad}_0(\varphi)(J)$ in the symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$ coincides with the matrix of J in the symplectic basis $\varphi(\mathcal{E})$. This implies $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\varphi(\mathcal{E})}(\mathrm{Ad}_0(\varphi)(J)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(J)$. Replacing $\mathcal{E}$ by $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$ and using the first relation in (A.16), this gives:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathrm{Ad}_0(\varphi)(J)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{E})}(J) = M_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi^{-1})^T \bullet \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(J) \quad ,$$

which shows that the second relation in (A.16) holds. □

The Lagrangian Grassmannian. Let (V,J,ω) be a tamed symplectic space. Then one can show [8, 9] that a subspace $L \subset V$ is Lagrangian with respect to ω iff $V = L \oplus J(L)$ and $h(L \times L) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{L}(V,\omega)$ be the Lagrangian Grassmannian of (V,ω) (i.e. the set of all Lagrangian subspaces). Then $\mathcal{L}(V,\omega)$ is a real analytic manifold of dimension $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, being an embedded submanifold of the ordinary Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_n(V)$ of n-planes of V.

A.5 Positive polarizations of $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$

Let $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexification of V and $^-$ denote the complex conjugation of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Any $x \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ decomposes as:

$$x = \mathrm{Re}x + \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{Im}x \quad ,$$

where $\mathrm{Re}x \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(x + \bar{x})$ and $\mathrm{Im}x \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2\mathbf{i}}(x - \bar{x})$ belong to V. Let $\omega_{\mathbb{C}} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \times V_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the complexification of ω, which is a complex symplectic form on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Consider the non-degenerate Hermitian form $q : V_{\mathbb{C}} \times V_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ (complex-linear in the first variable) defined through:

$$q(x,y) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathbf{i}\omega_{\mathbb{C}}(x,\bar{y}) \quad , \quad \forall x,y \in V_{\mathbb{C}} \quad .$$

A complex Lagrangian subspace $L \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the complex symplectic space $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ is called *positive* if the restriction of q is a Hermitian scalar product on L, i.e. if:

$$\mathbf{i}\omega_{\mathbb{C}}(x,\bar{x}) > 0 \quad , \quad \forall x \in L \setminus \{0\} \quad .$$

A positive Lagrangian subspace of $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ is also called a *positive polarization* of $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$. Let $\mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the set of all positive polarizations, which is an open real submanifold of the complex Lagrangian Grassmannian $\mathcal{L}(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$. For any positive polarization L, the space $\bar{L}$ is also a positive polarization and

we have a direct sum decomposition $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L \oplus \bar{L}$, which implies $V = \mathrm{Re}(L) = \mathrm{Re}(\bar{L}) = \mathrm{Im}(L) = \mathrm{Im}(\bar{L})$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} L = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2n$, this implies that the $\mathbb{R}$-linear maps $\mathrm{Re}|_L : L \to V$ and $\mathrm{Im}|_L : L \to V$ are bijective. The action $\rho : \mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega) \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{L}(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}}))$ given by:

$$\rho(\varphi)(L) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(L) \;\; \forall L \in \mathcal{L}(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}}) \;\; \forall \varphi \in \mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega) \tag{A.17}$$

preserves $\mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$, on which it restricts to an action which we denote by ρ_0. One can show that ρ_0 is transitive, with isotropy group at $L \in \mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ given by $\mathrm{U}(L,q)$, thus giving a homogeneous space presentation:

$$\mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(n) \;\; .$$

A.6 Equivalence of tamings and positive polarizations

Given a taming $J \in \mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$, let $H : V_{\mathbb{C}} \times V_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the Hermitian scalar product (complex-linear in the first variable) induced by Q on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$H(v_1 + \mathbf{i}w_1, v_2 + \mathbf{i}w_2) = Q_{\mathbb{C}}(x,\bar{y}) = Q(v_1,v_2) + Q(w_1,w_2) + \mathbf{i}[Q(w_1,v_2) - Q(v_1,w_2)] \;\; \forall v_1,v_2,w_1,w_2 \in V \;\; .$$

We have:

$$H(x,y) = \omega_{\mathbb{C}}(J^{\mathbb{C}}(x),\bar{y}) = -\omega_{\mathbb{C}}(x,J^{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{y})) \;\; \text{and} \;\; q(x,y) = -\mathbf{i}H(J^{\mathbb{C}}(x),y) \;\; \forall x,y \in V_{\mathbb{C}} \, ,$$

where $J^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the complexification of J, which has eigenvalues $\pm\mathbf{i}$. Then $J^{\mathbb{C}}$ is $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$-compatible and commutes with the complex conjugation of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let:

$$L_J^{\pm} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \ker(J^{\mathbb{C}} \mp \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{id}_{V_{\mathbb{C}}}) \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$$

denote the eigenspaces of $J^{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\pm\mathbf{i}$, which are complementary complex Lagrangian subspaces of $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$. We have $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_J^+ \oplus L_J^-$, $\sigma(L_J^{\pm}) = L_J^{\mp}$ and the operators $P_J^{\pm} \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{id}_{V_{\mathbb{C}}} \mp \mathbf{i}J^{\mathbb{C}}) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ are complementary H-Hermitian projectors on $L_J^{\pm}$, hence L_J^+ and L_J^- are H-orthogonal to each other. In particular, we have $L_J^{\pm} = \ker P_J^{\mp}$. The space:

$$L_J \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} L_J^+ = \ker(J^{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{id}_{V_{\mathbb{C}}})$$

is called *the complex Lagrangian subspace of* $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ *determined by the taming* J. With this notation, we have $L_J^- = \overline{L_J}$ and an H-orthogonal decomposition $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_J \oplus \overline{L_J}$. Moreover, the map:

$$\kappa_J \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \sqrt{2}P_J^+|_V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathrm{id}_V - \mathbf{i}J) : V \stackrel{\sim}{\to} L_J \tag{A.18}$$

is an isomorphism of Hermitian vector spaces between (V,J,h) and (L_J,H), whose inverse is given by:

$$\kappa_J^{-1} = \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}|_{L_J} : L_J \stackrel{\sim}{\to} V \;\; . \tag{A.19}$$

We have:

$$L_J = \{x \in V_{\mathbb{C}} | \mathrm{Im}x = -J(\mathrm{Re}x)\} = \{x \in V_{\mathbb{C}} | \mathrm{Re}x = J(\mathrm{Im}x)\} = \{v - \mathbf{i}Jv | v \in V\} \;\; .$$

and $q|_{L_J \times L_J} = H|_{L_J \times L_J}$, which gives:

$$h(v_1,v_2) = q(\kappa_J(v_1),\kappa_J(v_2)) \;\; \forall v_1,v_2 \in V \;\; .$$

In particular, κ_J is an isomorphism from (V,J,h) to (L_J,q) and hence L_J is a positive polarization of $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$:

$$L_J \in \mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}}) \;\; \forall J \in \mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega) \, .$$

In fact, giving a taming of (V,ω) is *equivalent* to giving a positive polarization of $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$:

Proposition A.11. *[8] The map $\lambda : \mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega) \to \mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ given by $\lambda(J) = L_J$ is bijective and its inverse $\lambda^{-1} : \mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$ is given as follows. Given $L \in \mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$, the corresponding taming $J_L \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \lambda^{-1}(L)$ is the complex structure of V obtained by transporting the complex structure of L through the $\mathbb{R}$-linear bijection $\mathrm{Re}|_L : L \to V$. Explicitly, we have $J_L \circ \mathrm{Re}|_L = \mathrm{Re}|_L \circ (\mathbf{i}\cdot)$, i.e.:*

$$J_L(x+\bar{x}) = \mathbf{i}(x-\bar{x}) \;\; \forall x \in L \;\; ,$$

where $\mathbf{i}\cdot : L \to L$ denotes the operator of multiplication by $\mathbf{i}$ acting on L.

For any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)$, we have $\ker(\mathrm{Ad}(\varphi^{\mathbb{C}})(J^{\mathbb{C}}) - \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{id}_{V_{\mathbb{C}}}) = \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}(\ker(J^{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{id}_{V_{\mathbb{C}}}))$. This reads:

$$\lambda \circ \mathrm{Ad}_0(\varphi) = \rho(\varphi) \circ \lambda \;\; \forall \varphi \in \mathrm{Sp}(V,h) \;\; , \tag{A.20}$$

showing that λ is $\mathrm{Sp}(V,h)$-equivariant.

A.7 The modular matrix of a positive polarization

Given a symplectic basis $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \ldots e_n, f_1 \ldots f_n)$ of (V,ω) and a matrix $\tau \in \mathbb{SH}_n$, the complex subspace $L^{\mathcal{E}}(\tau)$ of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ spanned by the following vectors (which are linearly-independent over $\mathbb{C}$):

$$u_k^{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} e_k + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \tau_{kl} f_l \in V_{\mathbb{C}} \;\; (k = 1 \ldots n) \tag{A.21}$$

is a positive complex Lagrangian subspace of $(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Remark. If one works with the conventions of references [8, 9], then one has to interchange e_i and f_i in relation (A.21). This is because $\mathcal{E} = (e_i, f_i)$ is a symplectic basis for ω iff $\mathcal{E}' = (f_i, e_i)$ is a symplectic basis for $-\omega$.

Proposition A.12. *[8] For any symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$ of (V,ω), the map $L^{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbb{SH}_n \to \mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ given by $L^{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) = \oplus_{k=1}^n \mathbb{C} u_k^{\mathcal{E}}(\tau)$ is a bijection from $\mathbb{SH}_n$ to $\mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$.*

Thus any positive polarization $L \in \mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ and any symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$ of (V,ω) determine a unique matrix $\tau^{\mathcal{E}}(L) \in \mathbb{SH}_n$ with the property that the vectors (A.21) form a basis of L. This is called the *modular matrix of L* with respect to the symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$.

Proposition A.13. *We have:*

$$\tau^{\mathcal{E}} \circ \lambda = -\overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}} \;\; . \tag{A.22}$$

Proof. Fix $J \in \mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega)$ and $L \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \lambda(J)$. Let $\tau \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \tau^{\mathcal{E}}(L) = (\tau^{\mathcal{E}} \circ \lambda)(J)$. Let $u_k \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} u_k^{\mathcal{E}}(\tau)$. Since u_k belong to L, we have $J(\mathrm{Re}u_k) = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}u_k)$ which gives:

$$\mathrm{Re}u_k = -J(\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}u_k)) \;\; .$$

Substituting $u_k = e_k + \tau_{kl} f_l$ in both terms of this relation gives:

$$e_k + (\mathrm{Re}\tau)_{kl} f_l = (\mathrm{Im}\tau)_{kl} J(f_l) \Longrightarrow e_k = -(\mathrm{Re}\tau)_{kl} f_l + (\mathrm{Im}\tau)_{kl} J(f_l) \;\; ,$$

which shows that $\tau = -\overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(J)}$. □

Combining everything, we have a commutative diagram of bijections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{J}_+(V,\omega) & & \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda} & \searrow^{-\overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}}} & \\ \mathcal{L}_+(V_{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}}) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathbb{SH}_n \end{array} \tag{A.23}$$

where all maps are $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)$-equivariant for the actions on their argument. Moreover, $\tau^{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}$ are separately $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega)$-equivariant for the action on $\mathcal{E}$.

Remark. Let $\theta \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Re}\tau$ and $\gamma \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Im}\tau$. Then $\tau = \theta + \mathbf{i}\gamma$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} -\bar{\tau} = -\theta + \mathbf{i}\gamma$. Using (A.13), we have:

$$\hat{J}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\gamma^{-1}\theta & \gamma^{-1} \\ -\gamma - \theta\gamma^{-1}\theta & +\theta\gamma^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad . \tag{A.24}$$

B Tamings and positive polarizations of symplectic vector bundles

B.1 Tamings

Let $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ be a real symplectic vector bundle over a connected manifold N, where $\mathrm{rk}\mathcal{S} = 2n$. Let P denote the principal $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$-bundle of symplectic frames of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is associated to P through the fundamental (=tautological) representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Let $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ be the *bundle of tamings* of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$, which we define to be the fiber bundle whose fiber at $p \in N$ is the space $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S}_p,\omega_p)$ of tamings of the symplectic vector space $(\mathcal{S}_p,\omega_p)$. The discussion of Appendix A shows that $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ is a bundle of homogeneous spaces (with fibers isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(n)$) which is associated to P through the representation Ad_0. Finally, let $\mathbb{SH}(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ denote the *Siegel bundle* of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$, which we define as the fiber bundle with typical fiber $\mathbb{SH}_n$ which is associated to P through the modular representation μ of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$.

Definition B.1. A *taming* of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ is a smooth section of the fiber bundle $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)$, i.e. an almost complex structure J on $\mathcal{S}$ such that J_p is a taming of $(\mathcal{S}_p,\omega_p)$ for every $p \in N$.

A *tamed symplectic vector bundle* is a triple $(\mathcal{S},J,\omega)$, where $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ is a symplectic vector bundle for which J is a taming. The pair (J,ω) defines a Hermitian scalar product h on $\mathcal{S}$ through relation (A.8), which in turn determines ω uniquely once J is given. Thus $(\mathcal{S},J,h)$ is a Hermitian vector bundle and this correspondence defines an equivalence of categories between the category of tamed symplectic vector bundles on N and the category of Hermitian vector bundles on N.

Giving a taming J of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ amounts to giving a reduction of structure group from $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathrm{U}(n)$. Since the homogeneous space $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(n) \simeq \mathbb{SH}_n$ is contractible, such a reduction always exists. In fact, the space $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ of tamings of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ is non-empty and contractible [7].

Local description. Suppose that we are given a local symplectic frame $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(U,P)$ of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ defined above an open subset $U \subset N$. Then the restriction $P|_U$ is trivial and so is the restriction $\mathbb{SH}(\mathcal{S},\omega)|_U \simeq U \times \mathbb{SH}_n$. The discussion of Subsection A.7 shows that specifying a taming $J \in \Gamma(M,\mathfrak{J}_+|_U)$ of $(\mathcal{S}|_U,\omega|_U)$ amounts to specifying a smooth section $\tau \circ (\mathcal{E} \times_M J)$ of $\mathbb{SH}(\mathcal{S},\omega)|_U$, which can be identified with a smooth map $\tau^{\mathcal{E}}(J) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U,\mathbb{SH}_n)$. Let $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ be an open cover of N which supports a symplectic trivialization atlas of (S,ω), whose transition functions U_α to U_β we denote by $g_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \to \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$. Then giving a global taming of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ amounts to specifying maps $\tau_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_\alpha,\mathbb{SH}_n)$ such that $\tau_\beta = g_{\alpha\beta} \bullet \tau_\alpha$.

B.2 Positive polarizations

Let $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexification of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexification of ω. Then $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is associated to P through the complexification of the fundamental representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_+(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the *bundle of positive polarizations* of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$, which we define to be the fiber bundle whose fiber at $p\in N$ is the set of $\mathcal{L}_+(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}_p,\omega^{\mathbb{C}}_p)$ of positive complex Lagrangian subspaces of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}_p,\omega^{\mathbb{C}}_p)$; this is a bundle of homogeneous spaces (with fibers isomorphic with $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(n)$) which is associated to P through the representation ρ defined in (A.17). The equivariant diffeomorphism λ of Subsection A.5 globalizes to a natural isomorphism of fiber bundles $\lambda:\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{J}}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)\xrightarrow{\sim}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)$, while the period maps globalize to natural bundle maps $\boldsymbol{\tau}:P\times_N\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{J}}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)\to\mathbb{SH}(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ and $\tau=-\bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\circ(\mathrm{id}\times_M\lambda):P\times_N\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)\to\mathbb{SH}(\mathcal{S},\omega)$.

Definition B.2. A *positive polarization* of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a smooth section of the fiber bundle $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_+(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$, i.e a $\mathbb{C}$-linear sub-bundle $L\subset\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ whose fiber L_p at each $p\in N$ is a positive complex Lagrangian subspace of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}_p,\omega^{\mathbb{C}}_p)$.

B.3 Correspondence between tamings and positive polarizations

Let $\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)=\Gamma(N,\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{J}}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega))$ and $\mathcal{L}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)=\Gamma(N,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega))$ denote respectively the sets of tamings and positive polarizations. The bundle isomorphism λ induces a bijection between these sets. This takes a taming $J\in\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ into the positive polarization $L_J=\ker(J^{\mathbb{C}}-\mathbf{i}\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}})$ of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$. The inverse of λ takes a positive polarization L of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ into the unique complex structure J_L of $\mathcal{S}$ which makes the $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism $\mathrm{Re}|_L:L\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{S}$ into a complex-linear map. Thus specifying a taming of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ amounts to specifying a positive polarization of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$.

B.4 Polarized two-forms valued in a tamed symplectic vector bundle

Definition B.3. Let $J\in\mathfrak{J}_+(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ be a taming of $(\mathcal{S},\omega)$ and $L\overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=}\lambda(J)\in\mathcal{L}_+(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}},\omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the corresponding positive polarization. The *J-projection* of an $\mathcal{S}$-valued 2-form $\eta\in\Omega^2(N,\mathcal{S})$ is the unique L-valued 2-form $\omega_J\in\Omega^2(N,L)$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\eta_J=\eta$, namely:

$$\eta_J=2P^+_J(\eta)=\sqrt{2}\kappa_J(\eta)=\eta-\mathbf{i}J^{\mathbb{C}}\eta\quad.$$

Assume that N is four-dimensional and endowed with a Lorentzian metric g. Then the Hodge operator $*_g:\Omega^2(N)\to\Omega^2(N)$ defined by g induces an operator $\ast^{\mathbb{C}}_g\overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=}*_g\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}}:\Omega^2(N,\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}})\to\Omega^2(N,\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}})$, which is the complexification of the operator $\ast_g\overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=}*_g\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$. The complexification of the operator $\star\overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=}*_g\otimes J=\ast_g\circ J$ is given by $\star^{\mathbb{C}}=*_g\otimes J^{\mathbb{C}}=\ast^{\mathbb{C}}_g\circ J^{\mathbb{C}}$. The operator $\ast^{\mathbb{C}}_g$ squares to minus the identity, so it has eigenvalues $\pm\mathbf{i}$. On the other hand, $\star^{\mathbb{C}}_g$ squares to the identity, so it has eigenvalues ±1. Let:

$$\Omega^{2\pm}_g(N,L)\overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=}\{\rho\in\Omega^2(N,L)|\,\star^{\mathbb{C}}_g\rho=\pm\rho\}=\{\rho\in\Omega^2(N,L)|\,\ast^{\mathbb{C}}_g\rho=\mp\mathbf{i}\rho\}$$
$$\Omega^{2\pm}_{g,J}(N,\mathcal{S})\overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=}\{\eta\in\Omega^2(N,\mathcal{S})|\,\star_g\eta=\pm\eta\}=\{\eta\in\Omega^2(N,\mathcal{S})|\,*_g\eta=\mp J\eta\}$$

Since the isomorphism $\kappa_J:\mathcal{S}\xrightarrow{\sim}L$ maps J into multiplication by $\mathbf{i}$, we have:

$$\kappa_J(\Omega^{2\pm}_{g,J}(N,\mathcal{S}))=\Omega^{2\pm}_g(N,L)$$

In particular, κ_J identifies the space $\Omega^{2+}_{g,J}(N,\mathcal{S})$ of positively-polarized 2-forms valued in $\mathcal{S}$ with the space $\Omega^{2+}(N,L)$. Thus $\eta\in\Omega^2(N,\mathcal{S})$ is positively polarized with respect to g and J iff η_J belongs to $\Omega^{2+}(N,L)$.

Local form of the J-polarization condition. Let $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \dots e_n, f_1 \dots f_n)$ be a symplectic local frame of $\mathcal{S}$ defined on an open subset $U \subset N$. Given $\eta \in \Omega^2(N, \mathcal{S})$, expand:

$$\eta =_U \alpha_k \otimes e_k + \beta_k \otimes f_k \tag{B.1}$$

with $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \Omega^2(U)$. Set $\boldsymbol{\tau} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(L) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{SH}_n)$ and define $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, 1, \Omega^2(U))$ and $\hat{\eta} \in \mathrm{Mat}(2n, 1, \Omega^2(U))$ through:

$$\hat{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \dots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \ , \ \hat{\beta} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \dots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix} \ , \ \hat{\eta} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$$

The J-projecton of η expands as:

$$\eta_J =_U \alpha_k^+ \otimes e_k + \beta_k^+ \otimes f_k \tag{B.2}$$

with $\alpha_k^+, \beta_k^+ \in \Omega^2_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$, where $\mathrm{Re}\alpha_k^+ = \alpha_k$ and $\mathrm{Re}\beta_k^+ = \beta_k$. Define $\hat{\alpha}^+, \hat{\beta}^+ \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, 1, \Omega^2_{\mathbb{C}}(U))$ and $\hat{\eta}_J \in \mathrm{Mat}(2n, 1, \Omega^2_{\mathbb{C}}(U))$ through:

$$\hat{\alpha}^+ \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^+ \\ \dots \\ \alpha_n^+ \end{bmatrix} \ , \ \hat{\beta}^+ \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^+ \\ \dots \\ \beta_n^+ \end{bmatrix} \ , \ \hat{\eta}_J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha}^+ \\ \hat{\beta}^+ \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, write:

$$\tau = \theta + i\gamma \ ,$$

where $\theta, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathrm{Mat}_s(n, \mathbb{R}))$ and γ is strictly positive-definite.

Proposition B.4. *The following relation holds:*

$$\hat{\beta}^+ = \tau \hat{\alpha}^+ \ . \tag{B.3}$$

Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:

1. *The 2-form $\eta|_U \in \Omega^2(U, \mathcal{S})$ is positively polarized with respect to g and J.*
2. *The following relation holds:*
$$\hat{\beta} + \mathbf{i} *_g \hat{\beta} = \tau(\hat{\alpha} + \mathbf{i} *_g \hat{\alpha}) \ . \tag{B.4}$$
3. *The following relation holds:*
$$\hat{\beta} = \theta\hat{\alpha} - \gamma *_g \hat{\alpha} \ . \tag{B.5}$$
4. *The following relation holds:*
$$*_g \hat{\beta} = \gamma\hat{\alpha} + \theta *_g \hat{\alpha} \ . \tag{B.6}$$
5. *The following relation holds:*
$$*_g \hat{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{-1}\theta & -\gamma^{-1} \\ \gamma + \theta\gamma^{-1}\theta & -\theta\gamma^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \hat{\eta} \ . \tag{B.7}$$

Proof. Recall that the local sections $u_k \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} e_k + \sum_{l=1}^n \tau_{kl} f_l \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}})$ (where $k = 1 \dots n$) form a frame of $L|_U$. The fact that η_J belongs to $\Omega^2(M, L)$ amounts to the condition $\eta_J = \beta_k^+ \otimes u_k$, which in turn is equivalent with the relations:

$$\beta_k^+ = \sum_{l=1}^n \tau_{kl} \alpha_l^+ \quad , \tag{B.8}$$

which are equivalent with (B.3). The positive polarization condition $*_g^{\mathbb{C}} \eta_J = -\mathbf{i}\eta_J$ amounts to the relations $*_g^{\mathbb{C}} \alpha_k^+ = -\mathbf{i}\alpha_k^+$ and $*_g^{\mathbb{C}} \beta_k^+ = -\mathbf{i}\beta_k^+$, which say that α_k^+ and β_k^+ have the form:

$$\alpha_k^+ = \alpha_k + \mathbf{i} *_g \alpha_k \quad , \quad \beta_k^+ = \beta_k + \mathbf{i} *_g \beta_k \quad ,$$

i.e.:

$$\hat{\alpha}^+ = \hat{\alpha} + \mathbf{i} *_g \hat{\alpha} \quad , \quad \hat{\beta}^+ = \hat{\beta} + \mathbf{i} *_g \hat{\beta} \quad . \tag{B.9}$$

Combining (B.3) and (B.9) shows that the positive polarization condition for η amounts to (B.4). Separating real and imaginary parts, relation (B.4) amounts to conditions (B.5) and (B.7), which are mutually equivalent since $*_g$ squares to minus the identity. These conditions amount to the matrix relations:

$$\hat{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta & -\gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ *_g \hat{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad *_g \hat{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \gamma & \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ *_g \hat{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \quad .$$

which in turn give (B.7) upon using the relation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta & -\gamma \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma^{-1}\theta & -\gamma^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad .$$

Notice that (B.7) also follows directly from the polarization condition $*_g \hat{\eta} = -\hat{J}\hat{\eta}$ using (A.24) (recall that $\boldsymbol{\tau} = -\overline{\tau}$, which gives $\boldsymbol{\tau}_R = -\theta$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_I = \gamma$). □

B.5 Interpretation of the fundamental form in the complexified formalism

Let $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}, D^{\mathbb{C}}, J^{\mathbb{C}}, \omega^{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the complexification of $(\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$, and let $L_J^{\pm} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complex Lagrangian subbundles of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}, \omega^{\mathbb{C}})$ defined by:

$$L_J^{\pm} = \ker(J^{\mathbb{C}} \mp \mathbf{i}\, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}}) = \ker P_J^{\mp} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \,, \tag{B.10}$$

where $P_J^{\pm} : \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \to L_J^{\pm}$ are the corresponding projectors. We have:

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} = L_J^+ \oplus L_J^- \,. \tag{B.11}$$

Direct calculation gives[9]:

$$D^{\mathbb{C}} \circ P_J^{\pm} = P_J^{\pm} \circ D^{\mathbb{C}} \mp \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}\Theta \,. \tag{B.12}$$

Consider the induced connections:

$$D_{\pm}^{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} P_J^{\pm} \circ D^{\mathbb{C}}|_{L_J^{\pm}} : \Omega^0(L_J^{\pm}) \to \Omega^1(L_J^{\pm}) \,. \tag{B.13}$$

[9] For simplicity in the notation we will denote $\mathrm{id}_{\Lambda^k T^*N} \otimes P_J^{\pm}$ simply by $P_J^{\pm}$.

Lemma B.5. *The following equation holds:*

$$D^{\mathbb{C}}(\eta) = D^{\mathbb{C}}_{\pm}(\eta) \mp \frac{i}{2}\Theta(\eta)\,, \tag{B.14}$$

for every $\eta \in \Gamma(L^{\pm}_J)$. *In addition,* $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ *preserves* $L^{\pm}_J$ *if and only if* $\Theta \circ P^{\pm}_J = 0$. *In particular,* $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ *preserves both* L^{+}_J *and* L^{-}_J *iff* $\Theta = 0$.

Proof. Equation (B.14) follows directly from equation (B.12). If $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ preserves $L^{\pm}_J$ then we have:

$$\Theta \circ P^{\pm}_J = D^{\mathbb{C}} \circ J \circ P^{\pm}_J - J \circ D^{\mathbb{C}} \circ P^{\pm}_J = 0\,, \tag{B.15}$$

since $J \circ D^{\mathbb{C}} \circ P^{\pm}_J = \pm i\, D^{\mathbb{C}} \circ P^{\pm}_J \colon \Omega^0(L^{\pm}_J) \to \Omega^1(L^{\pm}_J)$ and $D^{\mathbb{C}} \circ J \circ P^{\pm}_J = \pm i D^{\mathbb{C}} \circ P^{\pm}_J \colon \Omega^0(L^{\pm}_J) \to \Omega^1(L^{\pm}_J)$. On the other hand, if $\Theta \circ P^{\pm}_J = 0$ then $D^{\mathbb{C}} \circ P^{\pm}_J = D^{\mathbb{C}}_{\pm}$ and we conclude. □

Remark.

Although $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a flat connection on $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $D^{\mathbb{C}}_{\pm}$ need not be a flat connection on $L^{\pm}_J$.

Proposition B.6. *Let* $\mathcal{R}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_{\pm}} \colon \Omega^0(L^{\pm}_J) \to \Omega^2(L^{\pm}_J)$ *be the curvature endomorphism of* $D^{\mathbb{C}}_{\pm}$. *The following formula holds:*

$$\mathcal{R}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_{\pm}} = \pm\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} P^{\pm}_J \circ \mathrm{d}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}} \circ \Theta\,. \tag{B.16}$$

In particular, if $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ *preserves* $L^{\pm}_J$ *then:*

$$\mathcal{R}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_{\pm}} = 0\,, \tag{B.17}$$

and thus $(L^{\pm}_J, D^{\mathbb{C}}_{\pm})$ *is a flat Lagrangian subbundle of* $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. Composing $P^{\pm}_J \circ \mathrm{d}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}}$ with both sides of equation (B.14) and using the fact that $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a flat connection gives (B.16) follows. Equation (B.17) follows from lemma B.5. □

C Some twisted constructions

C.1 Twisted cohomology

Let $(\mathcal{S}, D)$ be a flat vector bundle of rank r defined over a manifold N of dimension d, where $D : \Omega^0(N) \to \Omega^1(N, \mathcal{S})$ is the flat connection. The exterior bundle $\wedge_N \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \wedge T^*N = \oplus_{k=0}^{d} \wedge^k T^*N$ is a bundle of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded unital associative and supercommutative algebras when endowed with the fiberwise multiplication given by the wedge product. Let:

$$\alpha \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \oplus_{k=0}^{d} (-1)^k \mathrm{id}_{\wedge^k T^*N}$$

be the *main automorphism* of this bundle of algebras, namely the unique unital automorphism which satisfies $\alpha|_{T^*N} = -\mathrm{id}_{T^*N}$. Let $\mathrm{d}_D : \Omega(N, \mathcal{S}) \to \Omega(N, \mathcal{S})$ be the de Rham differential twisted by the flat connection D, where $\Omega(N, \mathcal{S}) = \Gamma(\wedge_N, \mathcal{S})$. For any $\rho \in \Omega(N)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S})$, we have:

$$\mathrm{d}_D(\rho \otimes \xi) = (\mathrm{d}\rho) \otimes \xi + \alpha(\rho) \wedge (D\xi)\quad. \tag{C.1}$$

Definition C.1. For any $k = 0 \ldots d$, the k-th $(\mathcal{S}, D)$-*twisted de Rham cohomology space of* N is the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space defined through:

$$H^k_{\mathrm{d}_D}(N, \mathcal{S}) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \ker(\mathrm{d}_D : \Omega^k(N, \mathcal{S}) \to \Omega^{k+1}(N, \mathcal{S}))/\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{d}_D : \Omega^{k-1}(N, \mathcal{S}) \to \Omega^k(N, \mathcal{S}))\quad.$$

The *total twisted de Rham cohomology space of* N is the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space defined through $H_{\mathrm{d}_D}(N, \mathcal{S}) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \oplus_{k=0}^{d} H_{\mathrm{d}_D}(N, \mathcal{S})$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the sheaf of smooth flat sections of $(\mathcal{S}, D)$, namely the sheaf of vector spaces defined through:

$$\mathfrak{S}(U) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{s \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}) | Ds = 0\}$$

for any open subset $U \subset N$, with the obvious restriction maps. This is a locally-constant sheaf of rank $2n$, whose stalk is isomorphic with the typical fiber $\mathcal{S}_0$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\Pi_1(N)$ be the first homotopy groupoid of N. The *local system defined by* $(\mathcal{S}, D)$ is the functor $T : \Pi_1(N) \to \mathrm{Gp}^\times$ which sends a point $n \in N$ to the group $T(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{S}_x, \omega_x)$ and the homotopy class (with fixed endpoints) of a piecewise-smooth path c from x_1 to x_2 in N into the parallel transport $T(c) : \mathcal{S}_{x_1} \to \mathcal{S}_{x_2}$ defined by D along c. For any $x \in N$, we have $\pi_1(N, x) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Pi_1(N)}(x, x)$ and the holonomy representation $\rho_x = \mathrm{hol}_D(x)$ of D at x is given by $\rho_x = T|_{\pi_1(N,x)} : \pi_1(N, x) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{S}_x)$. There exist natural isomorphisms of graded vector spaces:

$$H^k_{\mathrm{d}_D}(N, \mathcal{S}) \simeq H^k(\mathfrak{S}) \simeq H^k(N, T) \quad \forall k = 0 \dots d \quad ,$$

where $H^k(\mathfrak{S})$ denote sheaf cohomology of $\mathfrak{S}$ and $H^k(N, T)$ denotes singular cohomology with coefficients in the local system T (see [30]). Using these isomorphisms, we identify the three vector spaces above and denote them by $H^k(N, \mathcal{S})$, which we call the k-th *twisted cohomology space defined by* $(\mathcal{S}, D)$. On the other hand, the de Rham isomorphism identifies the de Rham cohomology $H_{\mathrm{d}}(N)$ with the singular cohomology $H(N, \mathbb{R})$, which we denote by $H(N)$.

Let $\tilde{N}$ be the universal covering space of N and $\pi : \tilde{N} \to N$ be the projection. The complex $(\Omega(\tilde{N}) \otimes \mathcal{S}_x, \mathrm{d} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}_x})$ is equivariant under the action of $\pi_1(N, x)$ given by tensoring the pullback through deck transformations with ρ_x. Then $H^k(N, \mathcal{S})$ isomorphic with the k-th cohomology space of the invariant sub-complex $(\Omega(\tilde{N}) \otimes \mathcal{S}_x)^{\pi_1(N,x)}$.

Remark. The exists a similar statement for singular cohomology valued in a local system $T : \Pi_1(N) \to \mathrm{Ab}$, giving an isomorphism:

$$H^k(N, T) \simeq E^k(\tilde{N}, T_x) \quad ,$$

where $E^k(\tilde{N}, T_x)$ denotes the $\pi_1(N, x)$-equivariant singular cohomology of $\tilde{N}$ valued in the group $T_x \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T(x)$. Here $\pi_1(N, x)$ acts on T_x through the holonomy representation $\rho_x \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T|_{\pi_1(N,x)} : \pi_1(N, x) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Gp}}(T_x)$ of T at x, which is defined through $\rho_x(c) = T(c)$ for all $c \in \pi_1(N, x)$. See [30, Chap VI, page 281].

C.2 Twisted wedge product and cup product

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ be a symplectic vector bundle defined over a manifold N. Let $\wedge_N(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \wedge_N \otimes \mathcal{S} = \wedge T^*N \otimes \mathcal{S}$.

Definition C.2. The $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$-*twisted wedge product* is the $\wedge_N$-valued fiberwise bilinear pairing $\wedge_\omega : \wedge_N(\mathcal{S}) \times_N \wedge_N(\mathcal{S}) \to \wedge_N$ which is determined uniquely by the condition (we write $\wedge_\omega$ in infix notation):

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1) \wedge_\omega (\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2) = \alpha(\rho_2)\omega(\xi_1, \xi_2)\rho_1 \wedge \rho_2 \tag{C.2}$$

for all $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \Gamma(N, \wedge_N) = \Omega(N)$ and all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S})$.

The sign prefactor in the right hand side of (C.2) corresponds to viewing $\mathcal{S}$ as the graded vector bundle $\mathcal{S}[-1]$ concentrated in degree one. Accordingly, the bundle $\wedge_N(\mathcal{S}[-1]) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \wedge_N \otimes \mathcal{S}[-1]$ is graded with homogeneous components:

$$\wedge^k_N(\mathcal{S}[-1]) = \wedge^{k-1} T^*N \otimes \mathcal{S}$$

and the map $\wedge_\omega : \wedge_N(\mathcal{S}[-1]) \otimes (\wedge_N \mathcal{S}[-1]) \to \wedge T^*N$ is homogeneous of degree -2. The space of $\mathcal{S}$-valued differential forms $\Omega(N,\mathcal{S}) = \Gamma(N, \wedge_N(\mathcal{S}))$ admits the rank grading, with homogeneous components:

$$\Omega^k(N,\mathcal{S}) = \Gamma(N, \wedge^k_N(\mathcal{S}))\,,$$

as well as the grading induced from $\wedge_N(\mathcal{S}[-1])$:

$$\Omega^k(N,\mathcal{S}[-1]) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(N, \wedge^k_N(\mathcal{S}[-1])) = \Omega^{k-1}(N,\mathcal{S}) \quad .$$

We have $\Omega(N,\mathcal{S}[-1]) = \Omega(N,\mathcal{S})[-1]$. Let $\deg\eta = \mathrm{rk}\eta + 1$ denote the degree of a pure rank $\mathcal{S}$-valued form with respect to the second grading. The pairing $\wedge_\omega$ is graded-commutative with respect to the grading on $\Omega(N,\mathcal{S})[-1]$, i.e. the following relation holds for all pure rank bundle-valued forms $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Omega(N,\mathcal{S})$:

$$\eta_1 \wedge_\omega \eta_2 = (-1)^{\deg\eta_1 \deg\eta_2} \eta_2 \wedge_\omega \eta_1 \quad .$$

Let:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \alpha \otimes (-\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}) = \oplus_{k=0}^d (-1)^{k+1} \mathrm{id}_{\wedge^k_N(\mathcal{S})} \in \mathrm{End}(N, \wedge_N(\mathcal{S}))\,,$$

be the endomorphism of $\wedge_N(\mathcal{S})$ given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\eta) = (-1)^{\deg\eta}\eta$$

for any pure rank bundle-valued form $\eta \in \Omega(N,\mathcal{S})$.

Let $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be a flat symplectic vector bundle over N. Using (C.1), (C.2) and compatibility of D with ω, one finds that $\wedge_\omega$ induces a morphism of complexes from $\Omega(N,\mathcal{S})[-1] \otimes \Omega(N,\mathcal{S})[-1]$ to $\Omega(N)$, i.e. the following identity holds for any $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Omega(N,\mathcal{S})$:

$$\mathrm{d}(\eta_1 \wedge_\omega \eta_2) = (\mathrm{d}_D\eta_1) \wedge_\omega \eta_2 + \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\eta_1) \wedge_\omega (\mathrm{d}_D\eta_2) \quad . \tag{C.3}$$

Thus $\wedge_\omega$ descents to an $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear map from the twisted cohomology $H(N,\mathcal{S})$ to the ordinary cohomology $H(N)$.

Definition C.3. Let $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be a flat symplectic vector bundle over N. The $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$-*twisted cup product* is the $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear graded-symmetric pairing:

$$\cup_\omega : H(N,\mathcal{S})[-1] \times H(N,\mathcal{S})[-1] \to H(N)$$

induced by $\wedge_\omega$, which is homogeneous of degree -2.

Remark. The twisted cup product can also be defined using local systems valued in the groupoid $\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ of symplectic finite-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces and admits a version for local systems valued in the groupoid $\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{sp}}_0$ of integral finite-dimensional symplectic vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ which was mentioned in Subsection 4.5. When N is compact and oriented, a choice of orientation gives an isomorphism $H^d(N) \simeq \mathbb{R}$ by pairing with the fundamental class $[N] \in H(N)$. In that case, the twisted cup product induces the *twisted Kronecker pairing* $\mathcal{K} : H(N,\mathcal{S})[-1] \times H(N,\mathcal{S})[-1] \to \mathbb{R}$, which is defined through:

$$\mathcal{K}(\alpha,\beta) = (\alpha \cup_\omega \beta)(N) \quad .$$

This $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear pairing is graded-symmetric and homogeneous of degree $-d-2$.

C.3 Twisted Hodge operator, twisted codifferential and twisted d'Alembert operator

Let $(\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega)$ be an electromagnetic structure on N and g be a pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature (p, q) on N. Let $Q(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(J\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the Euclidean scalar product induced by J and ω on $\mathcal{S}$, which acts on sections as:

$$Q(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \omega(J\xi_1, \xi_2) = -\omega(\xi_1, J\xi_2) \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S}) \quad .$$

Let $(\ ,\ )_g$ be the pseudo-Euclidean scalar product induced by g on the vector bundle $\wedge_N = \wedge T^*N$, with respect to which the rank decomposition of $\wedge T^*N$ is orthogonal and the normalized volume form ν of (N, g) satisfies $(\nu, \nu)_g = (-1)^q$. Together with Q, this induces a pseudo-Euclidean scalar product $(\ ,\ )$ on the vector bundle $\wedge_N(\mathcal{S})$, which is uniquely determined by the condition:

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1, \rho_2 \otimes \xi_2) = \delta_{k_1,k_2}(-1)^{k_1} Q(\xi_1, \xi_2)(\rho_1, \rho_2)_g \quad .$$

for all $\rho_1 \in \Omega^{k_1}(N)$, $\rho_2 \in \Omega^{k_2}(N)$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(N, \mathcal{S})$. The Hodge operator $* := *_g$ of (N, g) induces the endomorphism $\boldsymbol{*} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} * \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$ of the vector bundle $\wedge_N(\mathcal{S})$. Recall that the J-twisted Hodge operator $\star \in \mathrm{End}(N, \wedge_N(\mathcal{S}))$ is defined through:

$$\star \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} * \otimes J = \boldsymbol{*} \circ J = J \circ \boldsymbol{*} \quad .$$

The relation $\rho_1 \wedge (*\rho_2) = (\rho_1, \rho_2)_g \nu$ implies:

$$\eta_1 \wedge_\omega (\star\eta_2) = \eta_2 \wedge_\omega (\star\eta_1) = (\eta_1, \eta_2)\nu \quad \forall \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Omega(N, \mathcal{S}) \quad , \tag{C.4}$$

while the relation $*^2 = (-1)^q \alpha^{d-1}$ implies:

$$\star^2 = (-1)^{p-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{d-1} \quad . \tag{C.5}$$

Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denote the $\mathbb{R}$-valued symmetric non-degenerate pairing defined on $\Omega_c(N, \mathcal{S})$ through:

$$\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \int_N (\eta_1, \eta_2)\nu = \int_N \eta_1 \wedge_\omega (\star\eta_2) \quad .$$

Relations (C.5) implies that the formal adjoint of d_D with respect to this pairing (which we shall call the *twisted codifferential*) is given by:

$$\delta_D = \star \mathrm{d}_D \star \quad .$$

Definition C.4. The *twisted d'Alembert operator* defined by $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ is the second order differential operator $\Box_D : \Omega(N, \mathcal{S}) \to \Omega(N, \mathcal{S})$ defined through:

$$\Box_D \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{d}_D \delta_D + \delta_D \mathrm{d}_D \quad .$$

When $d = 4$ and g is Lorentzian, we have:

$$*^2 = -\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \star^2 = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \quad . \tag{C.6}$$

In this case, the twisted d'Alembert operator is normally hyperbolic.

D Unbased automorphisms of vector bundles

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a manifold. In this appendix, we discuss certain constructions involving unbased automorphisms of vector bundles $\mathcal{S}$ defined over $\mathcal{M}$, such as the twisted push-forward of $\mathcal{S}$-valued vector fields and the twisted pull-back of $\mathcal{S}$-valued forms. We also prove some properties of these constructions which are used in Section 3.

D.1 Unbased morphisms of vector bundles over $\mathcal{M}$

Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ be vector bundles over $\mathcal{M}$ with projections $\sigma : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma' : \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{M}$. Recall that an unbased morphism of vector bundles from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{S}'$ is a smooth map $f : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}'$ such that there exists a smooth map $f_0 : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{S}' \\ {\scriptstyle\sigma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma'} \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{f_0} & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

and such that the restriction $f_p \overset{\text{def.}}{=} f|_{\mathcal{S}_p} : \mathcal{S}_p \to \mathcal{S}'_{f_0(p)}$ is linear for all $p \in \mathcal{M}$. Since σ is surjective, the map f_0 is uniquely determined by f, being called its *projection* to $\mathcal{M}$, while f is called a *lift* of f_0. We say that f is *based* if $f_0 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Vector bundles over $\mathcal{M}$ and unbased morphisms between such form a category denoted $\mathrm{VB}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{M})$, which contains the category $\mathrm{VB}(\mathcal{M})$ of vector bundles and based morphisms as a non-full subcategory. Let $\mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the category with a single object $\mathcal{M}$ and morphisms given by smooth maps from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. The functor $\mathrm{VB}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{M}}$ which takes $\mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{M}$ and f into f_0 has fiber at $\mathcal{M}$ given by $\mathrm{VB}(\mathcal{M})$. Hence the map $\pi_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ given by $\pi_{\mathcal{S}}(f) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} f_0$ is a morphism of groups. Let $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$ and $\mathrm{Isom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$ denote the sets of unbased morphisms and isomorphisms from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{S}'$. When $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}$, we set $\mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Isom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$. The functor to $B_{\mathcal{M}}$ gives a decomposition $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') = \sqcup_{\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})} \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}_{\psi}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$, where:

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}_{\psi}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \{ f \in \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') | f_0 = \psi \} \quad , \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \quad .$$

We have a similar decomposition $\mathrm{Isom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') = \sqcup_{\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})} \mathrm{Isom}_{\psi}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$, with $\mathrm{Isom}^{\mathrm{ub}}_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$.

D.2 The action of unbased automorphisms on ordinary sections

Recall that a *(smooth) section of $\mathcal{S}$ along a map $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$* is a smooth map $\zeta : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{S}$ such that $\sigma \circ \zeta = \psi$, which amounts to the condition $\zeta_p \in \mathcal{S}_{\psi(p)}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $\Gamma_{\psi}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$ denote the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$-module of sections of $\mathcal{S}$ along ψ, where outer multiplication with $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$ is defined through:

$$(\alpha\zeta)_p \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (\alpha \circ \psi)(p)\zeta_p \in \mathcal{S}_{\psi(p)} \quad .$$

Ordinary sections of $\mathcal{S}$ are sections along the identity map $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$, thus $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) = \Gamma_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$. Composition with ψ from the right gives a morphism of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$-modules:

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \ni \xi \to \xi_{\psi} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \xi \circ \psi \in \Gamma_{\psi}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \quad ,$$

where:

$$\xi_{\psi}(p) = \xi(\psi(p)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\psi(p)} \quad , \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M} \quad .$$

When $\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$, this is an isomorphism of modules whose inverse is given by:

$$\Gamma_\psi(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \ni \zeta \to {}^\psi\zeta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \zeta \circ \psi^{-1} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad ,$$

i.e.:

$$({}^\psi\zeta)(p) = \zeta_{\psi^{-1}(p)} \in \mathcal{S}_p \quad , \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M} \quad .$$

We have ${}^\psi(\xi_\psi) = \xi$ and $({}^\psi\zeta)_\psi = \zeta$.

An unbased morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}')$ induces a map $\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \to \Gamma_{f_0}(M,\mathcal{S}')$ (which we again denote by f), defined through:

$$f(\xi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} f \circ \xi \quad , \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad ,$$

i.e.:

$$f(\xi)_p \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} f_p(\xi_p) \in \mathcal{S}'_{f_0(p)} \quad , \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M} \quad .$$

This map takes ordinary sections of $\mathcal{S}$ into sections of $\mathcal{S}$ along the map f_0. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})$, we have:

$$f((\alpha \circ f_0)\xi) = \alpha f(\xi) \quad .$$

For many purposes, it is convenient to work with with another map induced by f, which takes ordinary sections of $\mathcal{S}$ into ordinary sections.

Definition D.1. The *action of an unbased automorphism* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ *on ordinary sections of* $\mathcal{S}$ is the map $\mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}))$ defined through:

$$\mathbf{f}(\xi) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} {}^{f_0}(f(\xi)) = f \circ \xi \circ f_0^{-1} \quad . \tag{D.1}$$

For any $p \in \mathcal{M}$, we have:

$$\mathbf{f}(\xi)_p = f_{f_0^{-1}(p)}(\xi_{f_0^{-1}(p)}) \in \mathcal{S}_p \quad , \tag{D.2}$$

which also reads:

$$\mathbf{f}(\xi)_{f_0(p)} = f_p(\xi_p) \in \mathcal{S}_{f_0(p)} \quad . \tag{D.3}$$

Proposition D.2. *The map* $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \ni f \to \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}))$ *is a morphism of groups. Moreover, for any* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$*, we have:*

1. *The following relation holds for any* $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ *and any* $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})$*:*

$$\mathbf{f}(\alpha\xi) = (\alpha \circ f_0^{-1})\mathbf{f}(\xi) \quad . \tag{D.4}$$

   In particular, $\mathbf{f}$ *is* not *an automorphism of the* $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})$*-module* $\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})$ *.*

2. *For any* $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ *and any* $p \in \mathcal{M}$*, we have:*

$$\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi)_p = (f^{-1})(\xi)_{f_0(p)} = (f_p)^{-1}(\xi_{f_0(p)}) \quad . \tag{D.5}$$

Proof. The fact that the map which takes f into $\mathbf{f}$ is a morphism of groups follows from (D.1). Relation (D.4) follows by direct computation using (D.2). Relation (D.5) follows from (D.2) using the relation:

$$(f^{-1})_p = (f_{f_0^{-1}(p)})^{-1} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_p, \mathcal{S}_{f_0^{-1}(p)}) \quad .$$

□

D.3 The unbased morphism from the pull-back

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}$. For any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$, the pulled-back bundle $\mathcal{S}^\psi$ has total space given by the fiber product $\mathcal{S}^\psi = \mathcal{M}\times_{\psi,\sigma}\mathcal{S} = \{(p,s)\in\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{S}|\psi(p)=\sigma(s)\} = \{(p,s)|p\in\mathcal{M}\ \&\ s\in\mathcal{S}_{\psi(p)}\}$ and projection σ^ψ given by $\sigma^\psi(p,s)=p$. Let $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi):\mathcal{S}^\psi\to\mathcal{S}$ be the projection of the fiber product on the second factor:

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)(p,s) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} s \quad .$$

Then $\sigma\circ\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi) = \psi\circ\sigma^\psi$, thus $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)$ is an unbased morphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)\in\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{ub}}_\psi(\mathcal{S}^\psi,\mathcal{S})$ whose projection is given by $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)_0=\psi$ and whose restriction to the fibers is a linear isomorphism. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}^\psi & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)} & \mathcal{S} \\ {\scriptstyle\sigma^\psi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

Given any section $\xi\in\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$, the pull-back section $\xi^\psi\in\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}^\psi)$ is uniquely determined by the condition:

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)\circ\xi^\psi = \xi_\psi \in \Gamma_\psi(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad ,$$

i.e.:

$$\xi_\psi = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)(\xi^\psi) \quad . \tag{D.6}$$

Suppose that $\psi\in\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$. Then $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)\in\mathrm{Isom}^{\mathrm{ub}}_\psi(\mathcal{S}^\psi,\mathcal{S})$ and (D.6) gives:

$$\xi^\psi = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)^{-1}(\xi_\psi) \quad , \quad \forall\xi\in\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \tag{D.7}$$

i.e.:

$${}^\psi\zeta = [\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)^{-1}(\zeta)]^{\psi^{-1}} \quad , \quad \forall\zeta\in\Gamma_\psi(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad . \tag{D.8}$$

Given $\psi_1,\psi_2\in\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$, we identify $(\mathcal{S}^{\psi_1})^{\psi_2}$ with $\mathcal{S}^{\psi_1\psi_2}$. We also identify $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{M}}$ with $\mathcal{S}$. The proof of the following statement is immediate:

Proposition D.3. *We have $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{M})=\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, the following relations hold:*

1. *For any $\psi_1,\psi_2\in\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$, we have:*

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi_1\psi_2) = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi_1)\circ\Phi_{\mathcal{S}^{\psi_1}}(\psi_2) \quad .$$

2. *For any $\psi,\theta\in\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ and any $h\in\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^\psi)$, we have:*

$$h^\theta = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}^\psi}(\theta)^{-1}\circ h\circ\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\theta) \quad .$$

D.4 The based isomorphism induced by an unbased automorphism

Definition D.4. Let $f\in\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ be an unbased automorphism of $\mathcal{S}$. The *based isomorphism induced by f* is the based isomorphism of vector bundles defined through:

$$\hat{f} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(f_0)^{-1}\circ f \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0}) \quad . \tag{D.9}$$

Thus $\hat{f}$ is the unique based isomorphism of vector bundles which makes the following diagram commute in the category $\mathrm{VB}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{M})$:



(D.10)

Proposition D.5. *For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ and any $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$, we have:*

$$\mathbf{f}(\xi) = [\hat{f}(\xi)]^{f_0^{-1}} \tag{D.11}$$

$$\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) = f^{-1}(\xi_{f_0}) = \hat{f}^{-1}(\xi^{f_0}) \quad . \tag{D.12}$$

Proof. For any section $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$, relation (D.9) gives $f(\xi) = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(f_0)(\hat{f}(\xi)) \in \Gamma_{f_0}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$, where $\hat{f}(\xi) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}^{f_0})$. Using (D.1) and (D.8), this gives:

$$\mathbf{f}(\xi) = {}^{f_0}(f(\xi)) = [\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(f_0)^{-1}(f(\xi))]^{f_0^{-1}} = [\hat{f}(\xi)]^{f_0^{-1}} \quad ,$$

which shows that (D.11) holds. Relation (D.11) gives $\xi = \hat{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{f}(\xi)^{f_0})$. Replacing ξ with $\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi)$ in this relation, we obtain:

$$\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) = \hat{f}^{-1}(\xi^{f_0}) \quad .$$

On the other hand, (D.9) implies $f^{-1} = \hat{f}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(f_0)^{-1}$. Combining this with (D.6) gives:

$$f^{-1}(\xi_{f_0}) = f^{-1}(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(f_0)(\xi^{f_0})) = \hat{f}^{-1}(\xi^{f_0}) \quad .$$

Combining the two relations above gives (D.12). □

Given $f_1, f_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$, Proposition (D.3) implies:

$$\widehat{f_1 f_2} = \hat{f}_1^{f_{20}} \circ \hat{f}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \quad . \tag{D.13}$$

D.5 Relation to twisted automorphisms

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition D.6. A *twisted automorphism* of $\mathcal{S}$ is a pair (ψ, h), where $\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ and $h \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{\psi})$ is a *based* isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{S}^{\psi}$.

The set:

$$\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tw}}(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \sqcup_{\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})} \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{\psi}) = \{(\psi, h) | \psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \ \& \ h \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{\psi})\}$$

of all twisted automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}$ becomes a group when endowed with the multiplication defined through:

$$(\psi_1, f_1)(\psi_2, f_2) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (\psi_1 \circ \psi_2, f_1^{\psi_2} \circ f_2) \quad ,$$

the unit element being given by $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}})$. The inverse of $(\psi, f) \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tw}}(\mathcal{S})$ is given by:

$$(\psi, f)^{-1} = (\psi^{-1}, (f^{-1})^{\psi^{-1}}) \quad .$$

Consider the map:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tw}}(\mathcal{S})$$

which associates to an unbased automorphism f of $\mathcal{S}$ the pair $\mu_{\mathcal{S}}(f) = (f_0, \hat{f}) \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tw}}(\mathcal{S})$, where $\hat{f} \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{f_0})$ is the based isomorphism induced by f.

Proposition D.7. *The map* $\mu_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tw}}(\mathcal{S})$ *is an isomorphism of groups.*

Proof. The fact that $\mu_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a morphism of groups follows from (D.13). The fact that $\mu_{\mathcal{S}}$ is bijective is clear from the definition of $\hat{f}$ as the unique based isomorphism which makes diagram (D.10) commute. □

In particular, an unbased automorphism f of $\mathcal{S}$ can be identified with the twisted automorphism $(f_0, \hat{f})$. Let $\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M})$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ consisting of those diffeomorphisms ψ of $\mathcal{M}$ for which there exists a *based* isomorphism between $\mathcal{S}^{\psi}$ and $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\mathrm{Diff}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) | \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S}^{\psi}, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset\} \quad .$$

We have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{tw}}(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 1 \quad , \tag{D.14}$$

where the first map takes $h \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$ into the twisted automorphism $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}, h)$ while the second map takes a twisted automorphism (ψ, h) into the diffeomorphism $\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$. Through the isomorphism $\mu_{\mathcal{S}}$, this induces a short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}) \to 1 \quad .$$

D.6 The action of unbased automorphisms on ordinary sections of the bundle of endomorphisms

Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ be vector bundles over $\mathcal{M}$ and $f \in \mathrm{Isom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$ be an unbased isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{S}'$.

Definition D.8. The *adjoint* of f is the unbased isomorphism $\mathrm{Ad}(f) \in \mathrm{Isom}^{\mathrm{ub}}(End(\mathcal{S}), End(\mathcal{S}'))$ whose fiber $\mathrm{Ad}(f)_p : \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}_p) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}'_{f_0(p)})$ at any $p \in \mathcal{M}$ is given by:

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f)_p(t) = f_p \circ t \circ (f_p)^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}'_{f_0(p)}) \quad \forall t \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}_p) \quad .$$

We have $\mathrm{Ad}(f)_0 = f_0$. When $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}$, the map $\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(End(\mathcal{S}))$ is a morphism of groups which satisfies $\pi_{End(\mathcal{S})} \circ \mathrm{Ad} = \pi_{\mathcal{S}}$. For any $\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{S})$, we have:

$$\Phi_{End(\mathcal{S})}(\psi) = \mathrm{Ad}(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\psi)) \quad , \tag{D.15}$$

where we identify $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S})^{\psi} \equiv \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}^{\psi})$.

Proposition D.9. *For any* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$*, we have:*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(f)} = \mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f}) \in \mathrm{Isom}(End(\mathcal{S}), End(\mathcal{S})^{f_0}) \equiv \mathrm{Isom}(End(\mathcal{S}), End(\mathcal{S}^{f_0})) \quad , \tag{D.16}$$

where we identify $End(\mathcal{S})^{f_0}$ *and* $End(\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$*.*

Proof. Relations (D.9) and (D.15) give:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Ad}(f)} = \Phi_{End(\mathcal{S})}(f_0)^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Ad}(f) = \mathrm{Ad}(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(f_0))^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Ad}(f) = \mathrm{Ad}(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(f_0)^{-1} \circ f) = \mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f}) \quad .$$

□

Given a based endomorphism $T \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$, we can apply $\mathrm{Ad}(f)$ to T to obtain a section $\mathrm{Ad}(f)(T) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{Ad}(f) \circ T \in \Gamma_{f_0}(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$ of $End(\mathcal{S})$ along the map f_0. This gives an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\mathrm{Ad}(f) : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) \to \Gamma_{f_0}(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$. For many purposes, it is more convenient to consider instead the action $\mathbf{Ad}(f) : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$ of the unbased automorphism $\mathrm{Ad}(f)$ on ordinary sections of $End(\mathcal{S})$, which is defined as in Subsection D.2.

Definition D.10. The *adjoint action of* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ *on ordinary sections of* $End(\mathcal{S})$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-linear automorphism of the vector space $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) = \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S})$ defined through:

$$\mathbf{Ad}(f)(T) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} {}^{f_0}(\mathrm{Ad}(f)(T)) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) \quad , \quad \forall T \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) \quad . \tag{D.17}$$

We have:

$$\mathbf{Ad}(f)(T)_p = f_{f_0^{-1}(p)} \circ T_{f_0^{-1}(p)} \circ (f_{f_0^{-1}(p)})^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}_p) \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M} \quad , \tag{D.18}$$

i.e.:

$$\mathbf{Ad}(f)(T)_{f_0(p)} = f_p \circ T_p \circ (f_p)^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}_{f_0(p)}) \quad . \tag{D.19}$$

Proposition D.11. *For any* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$*, the map* $\mathbf{Ad}(f) : \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S})$ *is a unital endomorphism of the* $\mathbb{R}$*-algebra* $(\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}), \circ)$ *of vector bundle endomorphisms of* $\mathcal{S}$*. The map:*

$$\mathbf{Ad} : \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}))$$

is a morphism of groups and we have:

$$\mathbf{Ad}(f)(T) = [\mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f})(T)]^{f_0^{-1}} \quad \forall T \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) \tag{D.20}$$

Moreover, for any $T \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$ *and any* $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$*, we have:*

$$\mathbf{Ad}(f)(T)(\xi) = \mathbf{f}(T(\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi))) \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \tag{D.21}$$

$$\mathbf{Ad}(f)^{-1}(T)(\xi) = \mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(T)(\xi) = \mathbf{f}^{-1}(T(\mathbf{f}(\xi))) \quad . \tag{D.22}$$

Proof. The fact that $\mathbf{Ad}(f)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra automorphism follows from (D.19) by direct computation, as does the fact that $\mathbf{Ad}$ is a morphism of groups. Relation (D.20) follows from (D.11) and (D.16). Relation (D.21) follows from (D.19), (D.3) and (D.5). Relation (D.22) follows from (D.21) by replacing f with f^{-1} and using the fact that the map $f \to \mathbf{f}$ and the map $\mathbf{Ad}$ are morphisms of groups. □

Remark. Replacing f by f^{-1} in (D.18) and then replacing p by $f_0(p)$ gives:

$$\mathbf{Ad}(f)^{-1}(T)_p = \mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(T)_p = f_p^{-1} \circ T_{f_0(p)} \circ f_p \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}_p) \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M} \quad . \tag{D.23}$$

D.7 Conventions for the ordinary push-forward of vector fields and ordinary differential pull-back of forms

For any $\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$, the differential $\mathrm{d}\psi$ is an unbased automorphism of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$, whose projection to $\mathcal{M}$ equals ψ. This induces a based isomorphism of vector bundles $\widehat{\mathrm{d}\psi} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Phi_{T\mathcal{M}}(\psi)^{-1} \circ \mathrm{d}\psi : T\mathcal{M} \to (T\mathcal{M})^{\psi}$. Applying $\mathrm{d}\psi$ to a vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M})$ gives a section $(\mathrm{d}\psi)(X) \in \Gamma_{\psi}(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M})$ of $T\mathcal{M}$ above the map ψ (a vector field on $\mathcal{M}$ along ψ). On the other hand, the action of $\mathrm{d}\psi$ on ordinary sections (see Subsection D.2) induces an $\mathbb{R}$-linear automorphism of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ which we denote by $\mathrm{d}\psi$. This associates to every ordinary vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ another ordinary vector field:

$$\psi_*(X) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathbf{d\psi}(X) = {}^{\psi}[\mathrm{d}\psi(X)] = [\widehat{\mathrm{d}\psi}(X)]^{\psi^{-1}} \in \mathcal{X}(M) \quad , \tag{D.24}$$

called the *ordinary push-forward* of X through ψ. We have:

$$\psi_*(X)_p = (\mathrm{d}_{\psi^{-1}(p)}\psi)(X_{\psi^{-1}(p)}) \in T_p\mathcal{M} \quad , \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M} \quad .$$

which also reads:

$$\psi_*(X)_{\psi(p)} = (\mathrm{d}\psi)_p(X_p) \quad , \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{M}$$

as well as:

$$\psi_*(\alpha X) = (\alpha \circ \psi^{-1})X \quad , \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}) \quad .$$

Moreover, the map $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \psi \to \psi_* \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}))$ is a morphism of groups.

Let $\psi^* : \Omega(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega(\mathcal{M})$ denote the ordinary differential pullback of forms, defined in the convention:

$$\psi^*(\rho)_p(u_1, \ldots, u_k) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \rho_{\psi(p)}((\mathrm{d}\psi)_p(u_1), \ldots, (\mathrm{d}\psi)_p(u_k)) \quad ,$$

for all $\rho \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$ and all $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in T^*_p\mathcal{M}$. Then:

$$\psi^*(\alpha\rho) = (\alpha \circ \psi)\psi^*(\rho) \quad , \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$$

and the map $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \psi \to \psi^* \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega(\mathcal{M}))^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a morphism of groups. The ordinary push-forward and differential pull-back are related through:

$$\psi^*(\rho)(X) = \rho(\psi_*(X)) \circ \psi \quad , \quad \forall \rho \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \quad . \tag{D.25}$$

Remark. Other conventions are sometimes used for the ordinary push-forward of vector fields and for the ordinary differential pullback of forms. The present paper uses the conventions explained above.

D.8 Twisted push-forward of bundle-valued vector fields

Let $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{X}(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})} \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$ denote the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$-module of $\mathcal{S}$-valued vector fields defined on $\mathcal{M}$. We extend the ordinary push-forward of vector fields along ψ to the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\psi_* : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}^{\psi^{-1}})$ determined uniquely by the condition:

$$\psi_*(X \otimes \xi) = \psi_*(X) \otimes \xi^{\psi^{-1}} \quad , \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \quad .$$

With this definition, we have:

$$\psi_*(\alpha Z) = (\alpha \circ \psi^{-1})Z \quad , \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}) \quad \forall Z \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$$

and the map $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \psi \to \psi_* \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}))$ is a morphism of groups. Let $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ be an unbased automorphism of $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition D.12. The *$\mathcal{S}$-twisted push-forward along f* is the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $f_* : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$ defined through:

$$f_* \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (f_0)_* \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})} \otimes \hat{f}) \quad ,$$

where $\hat{f} \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{f_0})$ is the based isomorphism of vector bundles induced by f (see Subsection D.4).

Notice that f_* is uniquely-determined by $\mathbb{R}$-linearity and by the property:

$$f_*(X \otimes \xi) = (f_0)_*(X) \otimes \hat{f}(\xi)^{f_0^{-1}} = (f_0)_*(X) \otimes \mathbf{f}(\xi) \quad , \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \quad , \tag{D.26}$$

where in the last line we used relation (D.11). The map $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S}) \ni f \to f_* \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}))$ is a morphism of groups.

For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$, let $L_{\hat{f}} : End(\mathcal{S}) \to Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$ and $R_{\hat{f}} : End(\mathcal{S}^{f_0}) \to Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$ be the based morphisms of vector bundles whose action on sections are given by:

$$L_{\hat{f}}(A) = \hat{f} \circ A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})) \quad , \quad \forall A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$$
$$R_{\hat{f}}(A) = B \circ \hat{f} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})) \quad , \quad \forall B \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}^{f_0})) \quad ,$$

where $\hat{f} \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$ is the based isomorphism induced by f. For any vector bundle $\mathcal{T}$ over $\mathcal{M}$, we extend $R_{\hat{f}}$ to a based morphism of vector bundles $R_{\hat{f}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{T}} \otimes R_{\hat{f}} : \mathcal{T} \otimes End(\mathcal{S}^{f_0}) \to \mathcal{T} \otimes Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$. For any $\Psi \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S})) = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M} \otimes End(\mathcal{S}))$, we have $\mathrm{Ad}(f)_*(\Psi)^{f_0} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, (T\mathcal{M})^{f_0} \otimes End(\mathcal{S}^{f_0}))$ and $R_{\hat{f}}(\mathrm{Ad}(f)_*(\Psi)^{f_0}) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, (T\mathcal{M})^{f_0} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0}))$.

Proposition D.13. *For any $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ and any $\Psi \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, End(\mathcal{S}))$, we have:*

$$R_{\hat{f}}(\mathrm{Ad}(f)_*(\Psi)^{f_0}) = (\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0} \otimes L_{\hat{f}})(\Psi) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, (T\mathcal{M})^{f_0} \otimes Hom(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})) \quad , \tag{D.27}$$

where we identify $End(\mathcal{S})^{f_0}$ with $End(\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\Psi = X \otimes A$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ and $A \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S})$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\hat{f}}(\mathrm{Ad}(f)_*(\Psi)^{f_0}) &= R_{\hat{f}}([(f_0)_*(X)]^{f_0} \otimes [\mathbf{Ad}(f)(A)]^{f_0}) = R_{\hat{f}}(\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0}(X) \otimes \mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f})(A)) \\ &= \widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0}(X) \otimes R_{\hat{f}}(\mathrm{Ad}(\hat{f})(A)) = \widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0}(X) \otimes L_{\hat{f}}(A) = (\widehat{\mathrm{d}f_0} \otimes L_{\hat{f}})(\Psi) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we used (D.26) while in the second equality we used relations (D.24) and (D.20). □

D.9 Twisted differential pull-back of bundle-valued forms

Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be a vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}$. The differential pull-back of $\mathcal{S}$-valued forms is the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\psi^* : \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \to \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}^{\psi})$ defined as follows. For any $\omega \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ and any $X_1 \dots X_k \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$, we have:

$$\psi^*(\omega)(X_1,\dots,X_k) = \omega^{\psi}(\psi_*(X_1),\dots,\psi_*(X_k)) \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}^{\psi}) \quad ,$$

where $\psi_* : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ is the ordinary push-forward of vector fields (in the conventions of Subsection D.7) and $\omega^{\psi} \in \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}^{\psi})$ is the topological pull-back of ω. Thus:

$$\psi^*(\omega)_p(u_1,\dots,u_k) = \omega_{\psi(p)}((\mathrm{d}\psi)_p(u_1),\dots,(\mathrm{d}\psi)_p(u_k))$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and all $u_1,\dots,u_k \in T_p\mathcal{M}$. Notice that ψ^* is uniquely determined by $\mathbb{R}$-linearity and by the property:

$$\psi^*(\rho \otimes \xi) = \psi^*(\rho) \otimes \xi^{\psi} \quad , \quad \forall \rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{M}) \;\; \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad , \tag{D.28}$$

where $\psi^*(\rho)$ is the ordinary differential pull-back of the form $\rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{M})$ (in the conventions of Subsection D.7). We have:

$$\psi^*(\alpha\omega) = (\alpha \circ \psi)\psi^*(\omega) \quad , \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R}) \;\; \forall \omega \in \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$$

and the map $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \psi \to \psi^* \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}))^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a morphism of groups.

Let $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ be an unbased automorphism of $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition D.14. The *$\mathcal{S}$-twisted differential pull-back* through f is the isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces:

$$f^* \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\mathrm{id}_{\Omega(\mathcal{M})} \otimes \hat{f}^{-1}) \circ f_0^* : \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \to \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad ,$$

where $\hat{f} \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{f_0})$ is the based isomorphism induced by f.

We shall occasionally denote the isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R})$-modules $\mathrm{id}_{\Omega(\mathcal{M})} \otimes \hat{f}^{-1}$ simply by $\hat{f}^{-1}$.

Proposition D.15. *The $\mathcal{S}$-twisted differential pull-back f^* is uniquely determined by $\mathbb{R}$-linearity and by the property:*

$$f^*(\rho \otimes \xi) = f_0^*(\rho) \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) \quad \forall \rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{M}) \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad . \tag{D.29}$$

Moreover, the map $\Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \ni f \to f^* \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}))^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a morphism of groups and we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f^*(\xi) = \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) \quad , \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \\
&f^*(\alpha\omega) = (\alpha \circ f_0) f^*(\omega) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R}) \quad , \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \\
&f^*(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = f^*(\omega_1) \wedge f^*(\omega_2) \quad , \quad \forall \omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any $\rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{M})$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$, we have:

$$f^*(\rho \otimes \xi) = f_0^*(\rho) \otimes \hat{f}^{-1}(\xi^{f_0}) = f_0^*(\rho) \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) \quad ,$$

where we used relations (D.28) and (D.12). It is clear that $\mathbb{R}$-linearity and this property determine f^*. The remaining statements follow immediately from this and from the properties of $\mathbf{f}^{-1}$ and of the ordinary differential pull-back of forms. □

Proposition D.16. *For any $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ and any $\omega \in \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$, we have:*

$$f^*(\omega)(X) = \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\omega(f_{0*}(X))) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \quad . \tag{D.30}$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\omega = \rho \otimes \xi$, with $\rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{M})$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$. Then:

$$f^*(\omega)(X) = f_0^*(\rho)(X)\mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) = \rho(f_{0*}(X))^{f_0} \hat{f}^{-1}(\xi^{f_0}) = \hat{f}^{-1}(\omega(f_{0*}(X))^{f_0}) = \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\omega(f_{0*}(X))) \quad ,$$

where we used (D.25) and (D.12) and the fact that $\hat{f}^{-1}$ is a based isomorphism. □

Proposition D.17. *For all $\Theta \in \Omega(\mathcal{M},End(\mathcal{S}))$, $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S})$ and $T \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},End(\mathcal{S}))$, we have:*

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*(\Theta)(\xi) = f^*(\Theta(\mathbf{f}(\xi))) \in \Omega(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}) \tag{D.31}$$

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*(T\Theta) = (\mathrm{id}_{\Omega(\mathcal{M})} \otimes \mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(T))(f^*(\Theta)) \in \Omega(\mathcal{M},End(\mathcal{S})) \quad . \tag{D.32}$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\Theta = \rho \otimes A$, where $\rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{M})$ and $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M},End(\mathcal{S}))$. Then:

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*(\Theta)(\xi) = (f_0^*(\rho) \otimes \mathbf{Ad}(f)^{-1}(A))(\xi) = f_0^*(\rho) \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-1}(A(\mathbf{f}(\xi))) = f^*(\Theta(\mathbf{f}(\xi))) \quad ,$$

where we used relation (D.22). We have $T\Theta = \rho \otimes TA$ and:

$$\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*(T\Theta) = f_0^*(\rho) \otimes \mathbf{Ad}(f)^{-1}(TA) = f_0^*(\rho) \otimes \mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(T)\mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(A) = (\mathrm{id}_{\Omega(\mathcal{M})} \otimes \mathbf{Ad}(f^{-1})(T))(\mathrm{Ad}(f)^*(\Theta)) \quad ,$$

where we used the fact that $\mathbf{Ad}$ is a morphism of groups from $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{ub}}(\mathcal{S})$ to $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}))$ (see Proposition D.11). □

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{M}$. We extend the musical isomorphism $\sharp_{\mathcal{G}} : \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ to an isomorphism $\sharp_{\mathcal{G}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sharp_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}} : \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$.

Proposition D.18. *For any $\Theta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$, we have:*

$$[f^*(\Theta)]^{\sharp_{f_0^*(\mathcal{G})}} = (f^{-1})_*(\Theta^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}}) \quad .$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\Theta = \rho \otimes \xi$ with $\rho \in \Omega(\mathcal{M})$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$. Then $\Theta^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}} = \rho^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}} \otimes \xi$. For any $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$, we have:

$$f_0^*(\rho)(X) = \rho((f_0)_*(X)) \circ f_0 = \mathcal{G}((f_0)_*(X), \rho^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}}) \circ f_0 = f_0^*(\mathcal{G})(X, (f_0^{-1})_*(\rho^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}})) \quad ,$$

where we used (D.25). This relation implies $(f_0^*(\rho))^{\sharp_{f_0^*(\mathcal{G})}} = (f_0^{-1})_*(\rho^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}})$. Since $f^*(\Theta) = f_0^*(\rho) \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi)$, we have $[f^*(\Theta)]^{\sharp_{f_0^*(\mathcal{G})}} = f_0^*(\rho)^{\sharp_{f_0^*(\mathcal{G})}} \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) = (f_0^{-1})_*(\rho^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}}) \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\xi) = (f^{-1})_*(\Theta^{\sharp_{\mathcal{G}}})$. □

E Local form of the equations of motion

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and M be smooth manifolds and $\varphi : M \to \mathcal{M}$ be a smooth function. Assume that M is four-dimensional and endowed with a Lorentzian metric g. Let $(\mathcal{S}, D, \omega)$ be a flat symplectic vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}$ and J be a taming of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$. Notice that we do *not* assume that J is covariantly constant with respect to D. Let $L = \lambda(J)$ be the positive polarization of $(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}}, \omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ defined by J. Pick a D-flat symplectic local frame $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \dots e_n, f_1 \dots e_n)$ of $\mathcal{S}$ defined on an open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a unique smooth map $\tau := \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}}(J|_U) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{SH}_n)$ such that the sections $u_k \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} u_k^{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} f_k + \sum_{l=1}^n \tau_{kl} e_l \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}})$ (where $k = 1 \dots n$) form a frame of $L|_U$.

Consider the pulled-back bundle $\mathcal{S}^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^*(\mathcal{S})$ over M, which is endowed with the pulled-back symplectic pairing $\omega^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^*(\omega)$ and the pulled-back taming $J^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^*(J)$, whose associated positive polarization is $L^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^*(L) \subset \mathcal{S}^{\varphi,\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\mathcal{E}^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (e_1^\varphi \dots e_n^\varphi, f_1^\varphi \dots f_n^\varphi) = \{\mathcal{E}_M^\varphi\}_{M=1,\dots,2n}$ be pulled-back symplectic frame of $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$, which is defined on the open set $U \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset M$, with $e_i^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^*(e_i)$ and $f_i^\varphi \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varphi^*(f_i)$. This frame is flat with respect to the pulled-back connection D^φ, which is a symplectic flat connection on $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$.

E.1 Local form of the electromagnetic equations

Let $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ be a two-form on M valued in the bundle $\mathcal{S}^\varphi$, which we expand locally as:

$$\mathcal{V} =_U F_k \otimes e_k^\varphi + G_k \otimes f_k^\varphi \quad , \tag{E.1}$$

where $F_k, G_k \in \Omega^2(U)$. The J-projection $\mathcal{V}_J \in \Omega^2(M, L^\varphi)$ expands as:

$$\mathcal{V}_J =_U F_k^+ \otimes e_k^\varphi + G_k^+ \otimes f_k^\varphi$$

with $F_k^+, G_k^+ \in \Omega^2_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$, where $\mathrm{Re}F_k^+ = F_k$ and $\mathrm{Re}G_k^+ = G_k$. Define:

$$\hat{F}^+ \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} F_1^+ \\ \dots \\ F_n^+ \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad \hat{G}^+ \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} G_1^+ \\ \dots \\ G_n^+ \end{bmatrix} \quad .$$

By Proposition B.4, we have:

$$\hat{G}^+ = \tau^\varphi \hat{F}^+ \quad . \tag{E.2}$$

The bundle-valued forms $\mathcal{V} \in \Omega^2(U, \mathcal{S}^\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{V}_J|_U \in \Omega^2(U, L^\varphi)$ can be identified in the local symplectic frame $\mathcal{E}^\varphi$ with the $2n$-vectors:

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{F} \\ \hat{G} \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad \hat{\mathcal{V}}_J = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}^+ \\ \hat{G}^+ \end{bmatrix} \quad .$$

and we have $\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \mathrm{Re}\hat{\mathcal{V}}_J$. The form $\mathcal{V}$ is positively polarized iff $*_g^{\mathbb{C}} \hat{F}^+ = -\mathbf{i}\hat{F}^+$ and $*_g^{\mathbb{C}} \hat{G}^+ = -\mathbf{i}\hat{G}^+$, which gives:

$$\hat{F}^+ = \hat{F} + \mathbf{i} * \hat{F} \quad , \quad \hat{G}^+ = \hat{G} + \mathbf{i} * \hat{G} \quad .$$

The entries of the real and imaginary parts of τ:

$$\theta = \mathrm{Re}\tau \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Mat}_s(n, \mathbb{R})) \quad , \quad \gamma = \mathrm{Im}\tau \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Mat}_s(n, \mathbb{R}))$$

capture the gauge coupling constants and "theta angles". Setting $\theta^\varphi \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \theta \circ (\varphi|_U)$ and $\gamma^\varphi \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \gamma \circ (\varphi|_U)$, we have $\tau^\varphi = \theta^\varphi + i\gamma^\varphi$. By Proposition B.4, the positive polarization condition amounts to the relation:

$$\hat{G} = \theta^\varphi \ \hat{F} - \gamma^\varphi *_g \hat{F} \quad , \tag{E.3}$$

which in turn is equivalent with:

$$*_g \hat{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} (\gamma^\varphi)^{-1}\theta^\varphi & -(\gamma^\varphi)^{-1} \\ \gamma^\varphi + \theta^\varphi(\gamma^\varphi)^{-1}\theta^\varphi & -\theta^\varphi(\gamma^\varphi)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{V}} \quad . \tag{E.4}$$

Since $D^\varphi(e_k^\varphi) = D^\varphi(f_k^\varphi) = 0$, the 2-form $\mathcal{V}$ is d_{D^φ}-closed on U iff.:

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{F} = \mathrm{d}\hat{G} = 0 \quad , \tag{E.5}$$

which amounts to the following equations for $\hat{F}$:

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{F} = \mathrm{d}(\theta^\varphi \hat{F} - \gamma^\varphi * \hat{F}) = 0 \quad .$$

This recovers the Maxwell equations and Bianchi identities as written in the supergravity literature (see [2] or [5, Sec. 6]) if one interprets the 2-forms $F_k \in \Omega^2(U)$ as the field strengths and $G_k \in \Omega^2(U)$ are their Lagrangian conjugates, defined with respect to the flat symplectic frame $\mathcal{E}$ of Δ. Any other flat symplectic frame of Δ defined over U has the form:

$$\mathcal{E}' = M\mathcal{E} \quad ,$$

with $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. We have $\hat{\mathcal{V}} = (\hat{\mathcal{V}}')^T \mathcal{E}'$, with $\hat{\mathcal{V}}' = M^{-T}\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\tau' \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \tau^{\mathcal{E}'}(J)$ is given by:

$$\tau' = M \bullet \tau \quad .$$

This reproduces the local formulation of electromagnetic duality transformations.

Remark. Notice that reference [2] uses an unusual definition of the integration measure (see equation (2.3) in loc. cit), which corresponds to working with the opposite orientation of M. Because of this, the matrix γ appearing in loc. cit. corresponds to *minus* our γ. Taking this into account, our modular matrix τ corresponds to the matrix denoted by $\mathcal{N}$ in loc. cit.

E.2 Local form of the Einstein equations

Recall that the Einstein equation of the ESM theory is given by:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\mathrm{G}(g) + \varphi^*(\mathcal{G}) - e_\Sigma(g,\varphi)g - 2\mathcal{V} \oslash \mathcal{V} = 0\,. \tag{E.6}$$

Using the φ-pullback $\{u_M^\varphi\}$ of the local duality frame $\mathcal{E}$ defined on $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and the local coordinate systems $(\mathcal{U}, y^A)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ and (U, x^μ) of M (where $\varphi(U) \subset \mathcal{U}$), we define:

$$Q^\varphi_{MN} = Q^\varphi(u^\varphi_M, u^\varphi_N) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$$

and expand $\mathcal{V}^M \in \Omega^2(U)$ as:

$$\mathcal{V}^M = \mathcal{V}^M_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{V}^M_{\mu\nu} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}) \quad .$$

Then:

$$\mathcal{V} \oslash \mathcal{V} =_U Q^\varphi_{MN} g^{\rho\delta}\mathcal{V}_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{V}^N_{\delta\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \mathrm{d}x^\nu \quad .$$

Thus (E.6) reduces to the following system of equations when restricted to U:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\mathrm{G}_{\mu\nu}(g) + \mathcal{G}_{AB}\partial_\mu\varphi^A\partial_\nu\varphi^B - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}_{AB}\partial_\rho\varphi^A\partial^\rho\varphi^B - g_{\mu\nu}\Phi(\varphi) - 2\,Q^\varphi_{MN}g^{\rho\delta}\mathcal{V}^M_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{V}^N_{\delta\nu} =_U 0 \quad . \tag{E.7}$$

This agrees with the Einstein equations of the local ESM theory, see equation (E.12).

E.3 Local form of the scalar equations

Recall that the scalar equation of the ESM theory take the form:

$$\theta_\Sigma(g,\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}(*\mathcal{V}, \Psi^\varphi\mathcal{V}) = 0. \tag{E.8}$$

Using the local coordinates and the local duality frame introduced above, we find:

$$(*\mathcal{V}, \Psi^\varphi\mathcal{V}) =_U (*\mathcal{V}, \Psi(\varphi)\mathcal{V})^A\partial^\varphi_A \quad ,$$

where the locally-defined functions $(*\mathcal{V}, \Psi(\varphi)\mathcal{V})^A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ are given by:

$$(*\mathcal{V}, \Psi(\varphi)\mathcal{V})^A = \mathcal{V}^{M\mu\nu}\mathcal{V}^N_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^{AB}(\varphi)(\partial_B J)_{MN}(\varphi) \quad .$$

Hence (E.8) reduces to the following system of equations when restricted to U:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi^A \; + \; \Gamma^A_{BC}(\varphi)\partial^\mu\varphi^B\partial_\mu\varphi^C - g^{\mu\nu}K^\rho_{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\varphi^A + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^{AB}(\varphi)\partial_B\Phi(\varphi) - \\ - \; \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}^{M\mu\nu}\mathcal{V}^N_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{G}^{AB}(\varphi)(\partial_B J)_{MN}(\varphi) =_U 0 \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{E.9}$$

The last term in (E.9) can be written as:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}^{M\mu\nu}\mathcal{V}^N_{\mu\nu}(\partial_A J)_{MN}(\varphi) =_U \partial_A G^{\mu\nu}_i(\varphi) * F^i_{\mu\nu}\,. \tag{E.10}$$

Plugging equation (E.10) into equation (E.9) we obtain the local scalar equations of the ESM theory, in agreement with equation (E.13).

E.4 Summary of the local ESM equations

For reader's convenience, we summarize the local equations of motion of the ESM theory using our conventions, which differ from those of reference [5]. The local Lagrangian density with respect to coordinate systems (U, x^μ) on M and $(\mathcal{U}, y^A)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ such that U and $\mathcal{U}$ are simply-connected and $\varphi(U) \subset \mathcal{U}$ and with respect to a local flat symplectic frame $\mathcal{E} = (e_i, f_i)$ of Δ which is defined on $\mathcal{U}$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\mathrm{R}(g) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{G}_{AB} \circ \varphi)\partial_\mu \varphi^A \partial^\mu \varphi^B - (\gamma_{ij} \circ \varphi)F^i_{\mu\nu}F^{j\mu\nu} - (\theta_{ij} \circ \varphi)F^i_{\mu\nu}(*_g F^j)^{\mu\nu} + \Phi \circ \varphi \quad , \tag{E.11}$$

where γ and θ are $n \times n$ real-valued matrix functions defined on $\mathcal{U}$ and $\gamma(p)$ is strictly positive-definite for any $p \in \mathcal{U}$. Here F^i are closed 2-forms defined on U and $*_g$ is the Hodge operator of g. Let

$$G_i = (\theta_{ij} \circ \varphi)F^j - (\gamma_{ij} \circ \varphi) *_g F^j \in \Omega^2(U)$$

Notice that G_i depend on φ. We will use the notation:

$$\partial_B G_i^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [(\partial_B \theta_{ij}) \circ \varphi]F^{j\mu\nu} - [(\partial_B \gamma_{ij}) \circ \varphi](*_g F^j)^{\mu\nu} \quad .$$

Let $\mathcal{V}^M$ be defined through $\mathcal{V}^i = G_i$ and $\mathcal{V}^{i+n} = F^i$, where the index i runs from 1 to n. Then the equations of motion derived from (E.11) are as follows:

- Local form of the Einstein equations:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\mathrm{G}_{\mu\nu}(g) + (\mathcal{G}_{AB} \circ \varphi)\partial_\mu \varphi^A \partial_\nu \varphi^B - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{G}_{AB} \circ \varphi)\partial_\rho \varphi^A \partial^\rho \varphi^B - 2\,Q^\varphi_{MN}\mathcal{V}^M_{\mu\rho}g^{\rho\delta}\mathcal{V}^N_{\delta\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Phi^\varphi = 0\,. \tag{E.12}$$

- Local form of the scalar equations:

$$\nabla^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi^A + (\Gamma^A_{BC} \circ \varphi)\,\partial^\mu \varphi^B \partial_\mu \varphi^C + (\mathcal{G}^{AB} \circ \varphi)\partial_B G_i^{\mu\nu} *_g F^i_{\mu\nu} - (\mathcal{G}^{AB} \circ \varphi)(\partial_B \Phi) \circ \varphi = 0 \tag{E.13}$$

- Local form of the Maxwell Equations (including the Bianchi identities):

$$\mathrm{d}F^i = \mathrm{d}G_i = 0 \quad . \tag{E.14}$$

F Integral spaces and tori

In this appendix, we discuss various categories of integral spaces and tori and the relation between them.

F.1 Real integral spaces and real tori

Definition F.1. A *real integral space* is a pair (V, Λ), where V is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda \subset V$ is a full lattice, i.e. a free subgroup of the Abelian group $(V, +)$ such that $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \simeq V$.

The fullness condition implies that Λ has rank equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$.

Definition F.2. Given two integral spaces (V_1, Λ_1) and (V_2, Λ_2), a *morphism of integral spaces* $f : (V_1, \Lambda_1) \to (V_2, \Lambda_2)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $f : V_1 \to V_2$ such that $f(\Lambda_1) \subset \Lambda_2$. Such a morphism is called *finite* if f is injective.

Integral spaces and morphisms of such form a category Vect_0, while integral spaces and finite morphisms form a subcategory Vect_0^f of Vect_0. Due to the fullness condition, any integral space (V, Λ) is completely determined by the lattice Λ and any morphism $f : (V_1, \Lambda_1) \to (V_2, \Lambda_2)$ of integral spaces is completely determined by its restriction $f_0 : \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$, which is a morphism of Abelian groups. It follows that Vect_0 is equivalent with the category of free finitely-generated Abelian groups and group morphisms.

By definition, a *real torus* is a compact and connected Abelian Lie group X defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Given two real tori X_1, X_2, a *morphism of real tori* $f : X_1 \to X_2$ is a morphism of Lie groups. The morphism is called *finite* if $\ker f$ is a finite subgroup of X_1. With this definition, real tori and morphisms of such form a category denoted Tor, while real tori and finite morphisms form a sub-category Tor^f of Tor.

There exist functors $X : \mathrm{Vect}_0 \to \mathrm{Tor}$ and $\mathcal{T} : \mathrm{Tor} \to \mathrm{Vect}_0$ defined as follows:

- The *quotient functor* $X : \mathrm{Vect}_0 \to \mathrm{Tor}$ associates to each integral space (V, Λ) the real torus $X(V, \Lambda) = V/\Lambda$ and to each morphism of integral spaces $f : (V_1, \Lambda_1) \to (V_2, \Lambda_2)$ the morphism of Abelian groups $X(f) : V_1/\Lambda_1 \to V_2/\Lambda_2$ given by $X(f)([v_1]) = [f(v_1)]$ for all $v_1 \in V_1$.
- The *tangent functor* $\mathcal{T} : \mathrm{Tor} \to \mathrm{Vect}_0$ associates to each real torus X the integral vector space $\mathcal{T}(X) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (T_0X, \ker(\exp_X))$ (where 0 is the neutral element of X and $\exp_X : T_0X \to X$ is the exponential map of X, which is surjective) and to each morphism of real tori $f : X_1 \to X_2$ the morphism of real integral spaces given by the differential $\mathcal{T}(f) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{d}_0 f : \mathcal{T}(X_1) \to \mathcal{T}(X_2)$ of f at 0.

The following statement is well-known from Lie theory:

Proposition F.3. *The functors X and $\mathcal{T}$ are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between* Vect_0 *and* Tor*, which restrict to mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between* Vect_0^f *and* Tor^f*.*

F.2 Integral complex spaces and complex tori

Definition F.4. An *integral complex space* is a triplet $(\mathcal{S}, J, \Lambda)$ such that:

1. $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$.
2. J is a complex structure on $\mathcal{S}$.
3. Λ is a full lattice inside $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition F.5. Given two integral complex spaces $(\mathcal{S}_i, J_i, \Lambda_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, a *morphism of integral complex spaces* from $(\mathcal{S}_1, J_1, \Lambda_1)$ to $(\mathcal{S}_2, J_2, \Lambda_2)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $f : \mathcal{S}_1 \to \mathcal{S}_2$ such that $f(J_1) = J_2$ and $f(\Lambda_1) \subset \Lambda_2$. The morphism is called *finite* if f is injective as a morphism of vector spaces.

With this definition, integral complex spaces and morphism of such form a category denoted Comp_0. Keeping only the finite morphisms gives a subcategory Comp_0^f. These categories map to Vect_0 (respectively Vect_0^f) through the functor which forgets the complex structure.

A *complex torus* is a compact and connected complex Lie group; such a Lie group is necessarily commutative [32]. A *morphism of complex tori* is a morphism of complex Lie groups (in particular, every such morphism is a holomorphic map). A morphism of complex tori is called *finite* if it has finite kernel. With these definitions, complex tori and morphisms between them form a category denoted TorComp, while complex tori and finite morphisms of such form a subcategory $\mathrm{TorComp}^f$. These categories are mapped respectively to Tor and Tor^f through the functor which forgets the complex structure.

There functors X and $\mathcal{T}$ enrich to functors $X_c : \mathrm{Comp}_0 \to \mathrm{TorComp}$ and $\mathcal{T}_c : \mathrm{TorComp} \to \mathrm{Comp}_0$ defined as follows:

- Any integral complex space $(\mathcal{S}, J, \Lambda)$ determines a complex torus $X_c(\mathcal{S}, J, \Lambda) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{S}/\Lambda$, endowed with the addition and complex structure induced from $\mathcal{S}$. Any morphism $f : (\mathcal{S}_1, J_1, \Lambda_1) \to (\mathcal{S}_2, J_2, \Lambda_2)$ of integral complex spaces descends to a morphism of complex tori $X_c(f) : X(\mathcal{S}_1, J_1, \Lambda_1) \to X(\mathcal{S}_2, J_2, \Lambda_2)$.
- For any complex torus X, we set $\mathcal{T}_c(X) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (T_0X, J, \ker(\exp_X))$, where T_0X is the tangent space to X at the neutral element $0 \in X$, $\exp_X : T_0X \to X$ is the exponential map of X (which is surjective) and J is the complex structure of T_0X induced by the complex structure of X. Notice that $\mathcal{T}(X) = (T_0X, \ker(\exp_X))$. For any morphism of complex tori $f : X_1 \to X_2$, we set $\mathcal{T}_c(f) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{d}_0 f : T_0(X_1) \to T_0(X_2)$. The properties of the exponential map imply that $\mathcal{T}_c(f)$ is a morphism of integral complex spaces from $\mathcal{T}_c(X_1)$ to $\mathcal{T}_c(X_2)$.

The following statement summarizes the well-known quotient description of complex tori [32, 34]:

Proposition F.6. *The functors X_c and $\mathcal{T}_c$ are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences of categories between* Comp_0 *and* TorComp. *The restrictions of these functors give mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between* Comp_0^f *and* $\mathrm{TorComp}^f$.

F.3 Integral symplectic spaces and symplectic tori

Definition F.7. A *(real) integral symplectic space* is a triple (V, ω, Λ) such that:

1. (V, ω) is a finite-dimensional symplectic vector space over $\mathbb{R}$.
2. (V, Λ) is an integral space.
3. ω is integral with respect to Λ, i.e. we have $\omega(\Lambda, \Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition F.8. Let $(V_1, \omega_1, \Lambda_1)$ and $(V_2, \omega_2, \Lambda_2)$ be two integral symplectic spaces. A *morphism* from $(V_1, \omega_1, \Lambda_1)$ to $(V_2, \omega_2, \Lambda_2)$ is a symplectic morphism $f : (V_1, \omega_1) \to (V_2, \omega_2)$ which is also a morphism of integral spaces, i.e. which satisfies that $f(\Lambda_1) \subset \Lambda_2$.

Notice that any morphism of integral symplectic spaces is injective (since any morphism of symplectic vector spaces is). Let Symp_0 denote the category of integral symplectic spaces and morphisms between such. This category fibers over Vect_0^f through the functor which forgets the lattice.

A *real symplectic torus* is a pair (X, Ω), where X is a real torus and Ω is a translationally-invariant symplectic form on X. A *morphism of real symplectic tori* $f : (X_1, \Omega_1) \to (X_2, \Omega_2)$ is a morphism of real tori $f : X_1 \to X_2$ such that $f^*(\Omega_2) = \Omega_1$; such a morphism is necessarily finite, i.e. $\ker f$ is automatically a finite subgroup of X_1. These definitions give a category TorSymp of symplectic tori and maps of such. The category TorSymp fibers over Tor^f through the functor which forgets the symplectic form.

The functors X and $\mathcal{T}$ enrich to functors $X_s : \mathrm{Symp}_0 \to \mathrm{TorSymp}$ and $\mathcal{T}_s : \mathrm{TorSymp} \to \mathrm{Symp}_0$, which are defined as follows:

- For any integral symplectic space (V, Λ, ω), we set $X_s(V, \Lambda, \omega) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (X(V, \Lambda), \Omega(\omega))$, where $\Omega(\omega)$ is the translationally-invariant symplectic form induced by ω on $X(V, \Lambda) = V/\Lambda$. For any morphism $f : (V_1, \omega_1, \Lambda_1) \to (V_2, \omega_2, \Lambda_2)$, we set $X_s(f) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} X(f)$.
- For any symplectic torus (X, Ω), we set $\mathcal{T}_s(X, \Omega) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (V, \omega, \Lambda)$, where $(V, \Lambda) = \mathcal{T}(X)$ and $\omega \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Omega_0$ is the value of Ω at the neutral element of X. For any morphism $f : (X_1, \omega_1) \to (X_2, \omega_2)$, we set $\mathcal{T}_s(f) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{T}(f)$.

With these definitions, we have:

Proposition F.9. *The functors X_s and $\mathcal{T}_s$ are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between the categories* Symp_0 *and* $\mathrm{TorSymp}_{\mathbb{R}}$*, which restrict to mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between the categories* Symp_0^f *and* $\mathrm{TorSymp}_{\mathbb{R}}^f$*.*

Integral symplectic bases. Let (V, ω, Λ) be an integral symplectic space of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2n$. Let:

$$\mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega, \Lambda) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega) | A(\Lambda) = \Lambda\}$$

denote the automorphism group of (V, ω, Λ) in the category Symp_0.

Definition F.10. An *integral symplectic basis* of (V, ω, Λ) is a basis $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n, \mu_1 \dots \mu_n)$ of the lattice Λ (i.e. a basis of the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module Λ) such that:

$$\omega(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) = \omega(\mu_i, \mu_j) = 0 \quad , \quad \omega(\lambda_i, \mu_j) = t_j \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i, j = 1 \dots n \quad ,$$

where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ are strictly positive integers satisfying the divisibility conditions $t_1 | t_2 | \dots | t_n$.

Remark. An integral symplectic basis of (V, ω, Λ) need not be a symplectic basis of the vector space V.

The elementary divisor theorem implies that any integral symplectic space admits integral symplectic bases. Moreover, the integers $t_1 \dots t_n$ (which are called the *elementary divisors* of (V, ω, Λ)) do not depend on the choice of integral symplectic basis. Let:

$$\mathrm{Div}^n \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^n \mid \ t_1 | t_2 | \dots | t_n\} \quad .$$

and:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}(n) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathrm{Div}^n \quad .$$

The ordered system of elementary divisors:

$$\mathbf{t}(V, \omega, \Lambda) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathrm{Div}^n$$

is called the *type* of (V, ω, Λ). The integral symplectic space (V, ω, Λ) is called *principal* if $\mathbf{t}(V, \omega, \Lambda) = \boldsymbol{\delta}(n)$. This is equivalent with the requirement that the Liouville volume of (any) elementary cell of Λ with respect to ω be equal to 1. For any $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathrm{Div}^n$, let:

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{t}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \quad .$$

and:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{t}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{t}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \quad .$$

Let $\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be the lattice defined through:

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}} = \{(m_1 \dots m_n, m_{n+1} t_1, \dots, m_{2n} t_n) | m_1, \dots, m_{2n} \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad . \tag{F.1}$$

Then $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_n, \Lambda_{\mathbf{t}})$ is an integral symplectic space, where ω_n denotes the canonical symplectic pairing on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Notice that $\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{\delta}(n)} = \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$. Also notice that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ is a sub-lattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ of index $t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$.

The modified Siegel modular group.

Definition F.11. The *modified Siegel modular group*[10] *of type* $\mathbf{t} \in \mathrm{Div}^n$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ defined through:

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n,\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R}) | \Gamma_{\mathbf{t}} A \Gamma_{\mathbf{t}}^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathbb{Z})\} \quad .$$

We have:

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n,\mathbb{Z}) = \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R}) | A\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}} \subset \Lambda_{\mathbf{t}}\} = \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R}) | A\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}} = \Lambda_{\mathbf{t}}\} \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_n, \Lambda_{\mathbf{t}}) \quad ,$$

and $\mathrm{Sp}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}_n}(2n,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$, we have $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition F.12. *Let* (V,ω,Λ) *be a* $2n$*-dimensional integral symplectic space of type* $\mathbf{t}$*. Then any integral symplectic basis* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} = (\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n, \mu_1 \dots \mu_n)$ *of this space induces an isomorphism of integral symplectic spaces between* (V,ω,Λ) *and* $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_n, \Lambda_{\mathbf{t}})$ *and an isomorphism of groups between* $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\omega,\Lambda)$ *and* $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$*.*

Proof. The vectors $e_1 \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \lambda_1, \dots e_n \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \lambda_n, f_1 \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{t_1}\mu_1, \dots f_n \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{t_n}\mu_n$ form a symplectic basis $\mathcal{E} = (e_1 \dots e_n, f_1 \dots f_n)$ of (V,ω), which induces the stated isomorphism of integral symplectic spaces. Recall that Ω_n denotes the matrix of ω_n in the canonical basis. An invertible $\mathbb{R}$-linear operator $A \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ is a symplectomorphism iff its matrix $\hat{A}$ in the basis $\mathcal{E}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$, which amounts to the condition:

$$\hat{A}^t \Omega_n \hat{A} = \Omega_n \quad .$$

On the other hand, A preserves Λ iff its matrix $\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}$ in the basis $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Mat}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$. The two matrices are related through:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{A}} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{t}} \hat{A} \Gamma_{\mathbf{t}}^{-1} \quad .$$

Hence the condition $A(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda$ amounts to the requirement $\Gamma_{\mathbf{t}} \hat{A} \Gamma_{\mathbf{t}}^{-1} \in \mathrm{Mat}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$. This gives the desired isomorphism of groups. □

F.4 Integral tamed symplectic spaces and polarized Abelian varieties

Definition F.13. An *integral tamed symplectic space* is an ordered system (V,ω,J,Λ) such that:

1. (V,ω,Λ) is an integral symplectic space.
2. J is a taming of the symplectic space (V,ω).

The *type* of an integral tamed symplectic space (V,ω,J,Λ) is the type of the underlying integral symplectic space (V,ω,Λ).

Definition F.14. Given two integral tamed symplectic spaces $(V_i,\omega_i,J_i,\Lambda_i)$ $(i=1,2)$, a *morphism* from $(V_1,\omega_1,J_1,\Lambda_1)$ to $(V_2,\omega_2,J_2,\Lambda_2)$ is a linear map $f : V_1 \to V_2$ such that:

1. f is a morphism of integral symplectic spaces from (V_1,ω_1,Λ_1) to (V_2,ω_2,Λ_2).
2. f is a morphism of complex vector spaces from (V_1,J_1) to (V_2,J_2).

[10] This is the group denoted by G_D in [33, Section 8]. It is isomorphic to the group denoted by $\Gamma_D = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}^D(\mathbb{Z})$ on page 216 of loc. cit., which has a different action on the Siegel upper half space.

Any morphism of integral tamed symplectic spaces is necessarily injective. Integral tamed symplectic spaces and morphisms of such form a category denoted $\mathrm{TamedSymp}_0$. This category is naturally equivalent with the category Herm_0 of integral Hermitian spaces and morphisms of such, whose definition should be obvious to the reader. There exist forgetful functors from $\mathrm{TamedSymp}_0$ to Symp_0 and Comp_0.

Let X be a complex torus of complex dimension n. We identify the Neron-Severi group of X with the image $NS(X) \subset H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ of the morphism $c_1 : \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, which fits into an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X) \stackrel{c_1}{\longrightarrow} NS(X) \longrightarrow 0 \quad .$$

Recall [34] that $NS(X)$ is a free Abelian group whose rank $\rho(X)$ (the Picard number of X) satisfies $\rho(X) \leq n^2$. Since $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, it can be identified with its image in $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$, in which case $NS(X)$ can be identified with the group $H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ of integral $(1,1)$-cohomology classes. By the Kodaira embedding theorem, a holomorphic line bundle on X is ample iff it is positive. The ample cone $NS_+(X) \subset NS(X)$ consists of the first Chern classes of all ample holomorphic line bundles defined on X. This can be identified with the set $\mathcal{K}(X) \cap H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ of integral Kähler classes, where $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the Kähler cone of X. A *polarization* of X is an element $c \in NS_+(X)$; this can be identified with an integral Kähler class of X. The complex torus X is called an Abelian variety if its admits polarizations, i.e. if $NS_+(X) \neq \emptyset$. This is equivalent with the condition that X admits a Kähler-Hodge metric and to the condition that X admits a holomorphic embedding into some complex projective space. In this case, X is a smooth projective algebraic variety, i.e. it can be presented as the zero locus of a system of homogeneous polynomial equations in that projective space. Let $\mathcal{T}_c(X) = (\mathcal{S}, J, \Lambda)$. Any cohomology class $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ contains a unique translationally-invariant representative, whose corresponding Kähler metric is itself translationally invariant and hence induced by a Hermitian form H on the complex the vector space $(\mathcal{S}, J)$. Equivalently, such a Kähler metric is determined by the symplectic form $\omega = \mathrm{Im}H$, which has the property that $(\mathcal{S}, J, \omega)$ is a tamed symplectic vector space. This gives a bijection between the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and the space of all symplectic pairings on $\mathcal{S}$ for which J is a taming. The Kähler class α is integral iff ω is integral with respect to Λ. This gives a bijection between the set $NS_+(X)$ of polarizations of X and the set of all symplectic pairings ω on $\mathcal{S}$ which make $(\mathcal{S}, J, \omega, \Lambda)$ into an integral Hermitian space. We let $c(\omega)$ denote the polarization defined by such a symplectic pairing ω.

A *polarized Abelian variety* is a pair (X, c), where X is an Abelian variety and $c \in N_+(X)$ is a polarization of X. Given two polarized Abelian varieties (X_1, c_1) and (X_2, c_2), a *morphism of polarized Abelian varieties* $f : (X_1, c_1) \to (X_2, c_2)$ is a morphism of complex tori $f : X_1 \to X_2$ such that $f^*(c_2) = c_1$. Such a morphism necessarily has finite kernel. Let AbVar denote the category of complex polarized Abelian varieties and morphisms between such. There exist obvious forgetful functors from AbVar to TorSymp and TorComp.

Equivalence between $\mathrm{TamedSymp}_0$ **and** AbVar**.** Consider the functor $X_h : \mathrm{TamedSymp}_0 \to \mathrm{AbVar}$ defined as follows:

- Given an integral tamed symplectic space $(\mathcal{S}, \omega, J, \Lambda)$, we set $X_h(\mathcal{S}, \omega, J, \Lambda) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} (X_c(\mathcal{S}, J, \Lambda), c(\omega))$, where $c(\omega) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \beta_X^{-1}(\omega) \in NS_+(X)$ is the polarization of $X_c(\mathcal{S}, J, \Lambda)$ defined by ω.

- Given a finite morphism $f : (\mathcal{S}_1, \omega_1, J_1, \Lambda_1) \to (\mathcal{S}_2, \omega_2, J_2, \Lambda_2)$ of integral tamed symplectic spaces, let $X_h(f) := X_c(f) : X_c(\mathcal{S}_1, J_1, \Lambda_1) \to X_2 := X_c(\mathcal{S}_2, J_2, \Lambda_2)$ be the finite morphism of complex tori induced by f. Then $X_h(f)^*(c_2) = c_1$, where c_1 and c_2 are the polarizations induced by ω_1 and ω_2 on X_1 and X_2. Thus $X_h(f)$ is a morphism of polarized Abelian varieties from $X_h(\mathcal{S}_1, J_1, \omega_1, \Lambda_1)$ to $X_h(\mathcal{S}_2, J_2, \omega_2, \Lambda_2)$.

The following well-known statement underlies the description of Abelian varieties through period matrices satisfying the Riemann bilinear relations:

Proposition F.15. *The functor* $X_h : \mathrm{TamedSymp} \to \mathrm{AbVar}$ *is an equivalence of categories.*

The modular parameter relative to an integral symplectic basis. Let $(\mathcal{S}, J, \omega, \Lambda)$ be an integral tamed symplectic space of complex dimension n and type $\mathbf{t} \in \mathrm{Div}^n$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \mu_1 \ldots \mu_n)$ be an integral symplectic basis of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega, \Lambda)$. Let $e_i \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \lambda_i$ and $f_i \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{t_i}\mu_i$. Then $\mathcal{E} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (e_1 \ldots e_n, f_1 \ldots f_n)$ is a symplectic basis of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}^2 \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (f_1 \ldots f_n)$ is a basis (over $\mathbb{C}$) of the complex vector space $(\mathcal{S}, J)$. We have:

$$e_i = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij} f_j \quad ,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathbb{SH}_n$ is the modular parameter of the tamed symplectic vector space $(\mathcal{S}, J, \omega)$ with respect to the symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$ (see Appendix A).

Definition F.16. The modular parameter of $(\mathcal{S}, J, \omega, \Lambda)$ relative to the integral symplectic basis $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is defined through:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathcal{E}} \quad ,$$

where $\tau^{\mathcal{E}}$ is the modular parameter of the tamed symplectic space $(\mathcal{S}, J, \omega)$ with respect to the symplectic basis $\mathcal{E}$ of $(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ defined through $\mathcal{E} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} (e_1 \ldots e_n, \frac{1}{t_1}\mu_1 \ldots \frac{1}{t_n} f_n)$.

The period matrix of $(\mathcal{S}, J, \omega)$ with respect to the real basis $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ and complex basis $\mathcal{E}_2$ is given by [33, Section 8.1]:

$$\Pi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}^{\mathcal{E}_2} = [\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{t}}] \quad \text{where} \quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{t}} \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathrm{diag}(t_1 \ldots t_n) \quad .$$

The pair $(X_h(\mathcal{S}, D, J, \omega), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}})$ is a polarized Abelian variety of type $\mathbf{t}$ *with symplectic basis* in the sense of [33, Section 8] and $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}$ coincides with its modular parameter. As explained in loc cit, the moduli space of such objects is the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{SH}_n$, while the moduli space of polarized Abelian varieties of complex dimension n and type $\mathbf{t}$ equals the quotient $\mathbb{SH}_n / \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathbb{SH}_n$ by matrix fractional transformations. This action is properly discontinuous since $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{t}}(2n, Z)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

F.5 Summary of correspondences

Summarizing everything, we have the following commutative diagram of categories and functors, whose vertical arrows are equivalences and whose remaining arrows are forgetful functors. The functors X_s and

X_h arise in Section 4.



(F.2)

References

[1] M. K. Gaillard, B. Zumino, *Duality Rotations for interacting fields*, Nucl. Phys. **B193** (1981) 221–244.

[2] L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre, T. Magri, $N = 2$ *supergravity and* $N = 2$ *super Yang-Mills theory on general scalar manifolds: symplectic covariance, gaugings and the momentum map*, J. Geom. Phys. **23** (1997) 111–189.

[3] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, *U duality and central charges in various dimensions revisited*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13** (1998) 431–490.

[4] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, *Flat symplectic bundles of N extended supergravities, central charges and black hole entropy*, in "Dualities in gauge and string theories" (Seoul/Sokcho 1997), pp. 283–323.

[5] T. Ortin, *Gravity and Strings*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, 2nd edition, 2015.

[6] B. de Wit, F. Vanderseypen, A. Van Proeyen, *Symmetry structure of special geometries*, Nucl. Phys. **B 400** (1993) 463–521

[7] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 2nd edition, Oxford Univ. Press, 1998.

[8] I. Vaisman, *Symplectic geometry and secondary characteristic classes*, Progress in Mathematics **72**, Birkhauser, 1987.

[9] R. Berndt, *An introduction to symplectic geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **26**, AMS, 2001.

[10] A. Strominger, *Special geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **133** (1990) 163 – 180.

[11] D. S. Freed, *Special Kähler manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **203** (1999) 31–52.

[12] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortes, C. Devchand, *Special complex manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **42** (2002) 85–105.

[13] C. I. Lazaroiu, C. S. Shahbazi, *Geometric U-folds in four dimensions*, arXiv:1603.03095 [hep-th]

[14] P. Baird, J. C. Wood, *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.

[15] J. Krieger, W. Schlag, *Concentration compactness for critical wave maps*, EMS Monographs in Mathematics, 2012.

[16] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, J. Isenberg, D. Pollack, *Applications of theorems of Jean Leray to the Einstein-scalar field equations*, Jour. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **1** (2007) 31–46.

[17] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, J. Isenberg, D. Pollack, *The Einstein-scalar field constraints on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds*, Chinese Ann. Math. **B 27** (2006) 1, 31–52.

[18] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, J. Isenberg, D. Pollack, *The constraint equations for the Einstein-scalar field system on compact manifolds*, Class. Quantum Grav. **24** (2007) 809–828.

[19] J. Milnor, D. Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **73**, Springer, 1973.

[20] D. Z. Freedman, A. Van Proeyen, *Supergravity*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, 2012.

[21] C. I. Lazaroiu, C. S. Shahbazi, *Real pinor bundles and real Lipschitz structures*, arXiv:1606.07894 [math.DG]

[22] C. I. Lazaroiu, C. S. Shahbazi, *On the spin geometry of supergravity and string theory*, arXiv:1607.02103 [hep-th].

[23] M. Hopkins, I. Singer, *Quadratic Functions in Geometry, Topology and M-Theory*, J. Differential Geom. **70** (2005) 3, 329–452.

[24] J. Simons, D. Sullivan, *Axiomatic characterization of ordinary differential cohomology*, J. Topology **1** (2008) 45–56.

[25] C. Bar, N. Ginoux, F. Pfaffle, *Wave Equations on Lorentzian Manifolds and Quantization*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, EMS 2007.

[26] E. Witten, *Five-brane effective action in M-theory*, J. Geom. Phys. **22** (1997) 103–133.

[27] D. Belov, G. W. Moore, *Holographic action for the self-dual field*, hep-th/0605038.

[28] D. S. Freed, G. W. Moore, G. Segal, *The uncertainty of fluxes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **271** (2007) 247–274.

[29] C. Becker, M. Benini, A. Schenkel, R. J. Szabo, *Abelian duality on globally hyperbolic spacetimes*, arXiv:1511.00316 [hep-th].

[30] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1978.

[31] D. Husemöller, *Fibre Bundles*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1993.

[32] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, 2nd edition, Oxford U.P., 1974.

[33] C. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Complex Abelian varieties*, 2nd ed., Springer, 2004.

[34] C. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Complex tori*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhauser, 1999.